

together with CAAAC meeting minutes, will be available by contacting the Office of Air and Radiation Docket and requesting information under docket item A-94-34 (CAAAC). The Docket office can be reached by telephoning 202-260-7548; FAX 202-260-4400.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Concerning this meeting of the full CAAAC, please contact Paul Rasmussen, Office of Air and Radiation, US EPA (202) 260-6877, FAX (202) 260-8509 or by mail at US EPA, Office of Air and Radiation (Mail code 6102), 401 M St. S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460. For information on the Subcommittee meetings, please contact the following individuals: (1) Energy, Clean Air and Climate Change—Anna Garcia, 202-564-9492; (2) Permits/NSR/Toxics Integration—Debbie Stackhouse, 919-541-5354; (3) Economic Incentives and Regulatory Innovations—Carey Fitzmaurice, 202-260-7433; and (4) Linking Transportation, Land Use and Air Quality Concerns—Gay MacGregor, 734-668-4438.

Dated: March 17, 1999.

**Robert D. Brenner,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 99-7178 Filed 3-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6314-5]

### Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board, Meeting Dates and Agenda

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meetings and request for names.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will convene two open meetings of the Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB) on April 20, 1999, from 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. and on April 29, 1999, from 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Both meetings will be conducted by teleconference. The public is invited to join Ms. Ramona Trovato in Room 911, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC.

Topics for discussion will include at a minimum a continuing address of Open Forum issues identified at the January 14, 1999, meeting, an update on environmental sample shipment issues, and a review of the status of ELAB recommendations. The public is encouraged to attend. Time will be allotted for public comment.

Also, EPA is interested in assembling a roster of potential names for future ELAB membership. Individuals interested in serving on ELAB should contact Ms. Elizabeth Dutrow. Written comments on the meeting agenda and potential names for future ELAB membership should be directed to Ms. Elizabeth Dutrow; Designated Federal Officer; USEPA; 401 M Street, SW (8724R); Washington, DC 20460. If questions arise, please contact Ms. Dutrow by phone at (202) 564-9061, by facsimile at (202) 565-2441 or by email at dutrow.elizabeth@epa.gov.

Dated: March 15, 1999.

**Nancy W. Wentworth,**

*Director, Quality Assurance Division.*

[FR Doc. 99-7180 Filed 3-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6314-3]

### Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Environmental Economics Advisory Committee (EEAC) of the Science Advisory Board (SAB), will meet on April 20, 1999, from 9:00 am to no later than 4:00 pm at The Latham Hotel, 3000 M Street, NW, Washington, DC; telephone (202) 726-5000. All times noted are Eastern Daylight Time. This meeting is open to the public, however, due to limited space, seating will be on a first-come basis. For further information concerning this meeting, please contact the individuals listed below. Documents that are the subject of SAB reviews are normally available from the originating EPA office and are *not* available from the SAB Office.

The primary purpose of the meeting will be to complete the Committee's review of the economic analysis guidelines being developed by the Environmental Protection Agency.

### Background Information on Economic Analysis Guidelines

The Environmental Economics Advisory Committee (EEAC or the Committee) has been asked to review the revised Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses, a document produced under the direction of the EPA's Regulatory Policy Council. The guidelines are designed to reflect Agency policy on the conduct of the economic analyses called for under applicable legislative and administrative

requirements, including, but not limited to Executive Order 12866. These guidelines are intended to provide EPA analysts with a concise but thorough treatment of mainstream thinking on important technical issues so that they can conduct credible and consistent economic analyses. They refer to methods and practices that are commonly accepted in the environmental economics profession; however, they are not intended to preclude new or innovative forms of analysis. The guidelines are shaped by administrative and statutory requirements that contain direct references to the development of economic information during the development of regulations (e.g., evaluations of economic achievability).

This will be the final review meeting on the guidelines. The EEAC was first briefed on the draft guidelines at its August 19, 1998 meeting (see 63 FR 41820, August 5, 1998). Additional discussions occurred on the guidelines at the Committee's November 18, 1998 meeting (see 63 FR 57295, October 27, 1998). At those meetings, the Agency presented information on, and then discussed with EEAC members, each section of the draft guidelines.

### Charge to the Committee

The Agency charge asks the EEAC the following questions:

(1) Do the published economic theory and empirical literature support the statements in the guidance document on the treatment of discounting benefits and costs in the following circumstances: (a) Discounting private and public costs for use in an economic impact analysis?; (b) Discounting social benefits and costs in an intragenerational context?; (c) Discounting social benefits and costs in an intergenerational context?; and (d) Discounting social benefit and cost information that is reported in nonmonetary terms?

(2) Do the published economic theory and empirical literature support the statements in the guidance document on quantifying and valuing the social benefits of reducing fatal human health risks?

(3) Do the published economic theory and empirical literature support the statements in the guidance document on the treatment of certainty equivalents in the assessment of social benefits and costs of environmental policies?

(4) Do the published economic theory and empirical literature support the statements in the guidance document on the merits and limitations of different valuation approaches to the measurement of social benefits from