

completed? (We are particularly interested in information on vessel characteristics and trends, including traffic volume, the size and types of vessels involved, potential interference with the flow of commercial traffic, the presence of any unusual cargoes, etc.).

3. Are modifications to existing vessel routing measures needed to address existing or future hazards and strains and improve traffic management efficiency in the study area? If so, please describe. What positive and negative impacts would changes to existing routing measures or new routing measures have on the study area (consider proximity of fishing grounds, oil and gas drilling and production operations, environmental impact, affect on local practices, or any other potential or actual conflicting activity)?

4. Do you have any specific recommendations regarding aids to navigation design for the lengthened approach channels? If so, please describe.

Dated: March 4, 1999.

R.C. North,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 99-6015 Filed 3-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[DE041-1019b; FRL-6238-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Delaware—Definitions of VOCs and Exempt Compounds

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing approval of revisions to the Delaware State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions amend the definitions of the terms "volatile organic compounds" (VOCs) and "exempt compounds." EPA is proposing to approve these revisions because they make Delaware's definitions consistent with the federal definition of VOCs. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final

rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by April 12, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone and Mobile Sources Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Control, 89 Kings Highway, Dover, Delaware 19901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rose Quinto, (215) 814-2182, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at quinto.rose@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action with the same title that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: February 25, 1999.

Thomas J. Maslany,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 99-5664 Filed 3-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IA 058-1058b; FRL-6308-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Iowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Iowa pertaining to a sulfur dioxide (SO₂) control strategy for the Cedar Rapids, Iowa, area. Approval of this SIP revision will make Federally enforceable source emission reduction requirements and

achieve attainment and maintenance of the SO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by April 12, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 25, 1999.

Diane K. Callier,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VII.

[FR Doc. 99-5825 Filed 3-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

43 CFR Part 428

RIN 1006-AA38

Information Requirements for Certain Farm Operations In Excess of 960 Acres and the Eligibility of Certain Formerly Excess Land

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, DOI.

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation is reopening the comment period on our proposed rule entitled "Information Requirements for Certain Farm Operations In Excess of 960 Acres and the Eligibility of Certain Formerly Excess Land."