

Dated at Washington, DC, February 26, 1999.

Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 99-5568 Filed 3-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1023]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 151; Findlay, OH; Correction

The **Federal Register** notice (64 FR 8542, 2/22/99) describing Foreign-Trade Zones Board Order 1023 (approved 2/10/99) authorizing expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 151 in Findlay, Ohio, is corrected as follows:

Paragraph 6, Sentence 1, should read "The application to expand FTZ 151-Site 1 and to include Site 2 is approved, * * *"

Dated: March 2, 1999.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-5638 Filed 3-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-806]

Carbon Steel Wire Rope From Mexico: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon steel wire rope from Mexico in response to requests by respondent, Aceros Camesa S.A. de C.V. ("Camesa"), and petitioner, the Committee of Domestic Steel Wire Rope and Specialty Cable Manufacturers ("the Committee"). This review covers exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the period March 1, 1997 through February 28, 1998.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have not been made below normal value ("NV"). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate

appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit comments are requested to submit with each comment a statement of the issue and a brief summary of the comment.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 8, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Hoadley, (202) 482-4106, Laurel LaCivita, (202) 482-4236, or Maureen Flannery, (202) 482-3020, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise stated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 1998).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on steel wire rope from Mexico on March 25, 1993 (58 FR 16173). On March 11, 1998 we published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 11868) a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire rope from Mexico covering the period March 1, 1997 through February 28, 1998.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), Camesa requested that we conduct an administrative review of Camesa's sales. The Committee also requested a review of Camesa's sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1). We published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on April 24, 1998 (63 FR 20378).

On May 22, 1998, Camesa requested that it be allowed to limit its sales reporting to sales involving identical or nearly identical merchandise. This request was opposed by the Committee in a letter dated June 19, 1998, but was granted by the Department on June 24, 1998. On June 26, 1998, the Committee submitted a letter objecting to the Department's decision. On September 25, 1998, the Department issued an amendment to its decision, expanding Camesa's reporting requirements while still allowing some limitation to the

sales reported. For further information, see the "Product Comparisons" section below.

On September 1, 1998, the Department, in accordance with section 773(b)(2)(A)(ii), initiated an investigation of sales below cost. The Department determined to initiate this inquiry because, during the first administrative review of this proceeding, the Department disregarded some of Camesa's below-cost sales. The final results of the first administrative review were published on September 2, 1998 (63 FR 46753). We received cost data from Camesa on October 21, 1998.

During this review, the Department did not conduct a verification of the information provided by Camesa.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is carbon steel wire rope. Steel wire rope encompasses ropes, cables, and cordage of iron or carbon steel, other than stranded wire, not fitted with fittings or made up into articles, and not made up of brass plated wire. Imports of these products are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings: 7312.10.9030, 7312.10.9060 and 7312.10.9090.

Excluded from this review is stainless steel wire rope, which is classifiable under the HTS subheading 7312.10.6000, and all forms of stranded wire, with the following exception.

Based on the affirmative final determination of circumvention of the antidumping duty order, 60 FR 10831 (Feb. 28, 1995), the Department has determined that steel wire strand, when manufactured in Mexico by Camesa and imported into the United States for use in the production of steel wire rope, falls within the scope of the antidumping duty order on steel wire rope from Mexico. Such merchandise is currently classifiable under subheading 7312.10.3020 of the HTS.

Although HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive.

This review covers one manufacturer and exporter, Camesa, and the period March 1, 1997 through February 28, 1998.

Product Comparisons

In accordance with section 771(16) of the Act, we considered all products produced by Camesa covered by the description in the "Scope of Review" section, above, and sold in the home market during the period of review (POR) to be foreign like products for the purposes of determining appropriate