that may be the case, the reviewer shall determine:

1. The nature and extent of foreign ownership, control, or domination, to include whether a foreign interest has a controlling or dominant minority position.

2. The source of foreign ownership, control, or domination, to include identification of immediate, intermediate, and ultimate parent organizations.

3. The type of actions, if any, that would be necessary to negate the effects of foreign ownership, control, or domination to a level consistent with the Atomic Energy Act and NRC regulations.

On the other hand, if the reviewer determines after reviewing the additional information specified in Section 2.2 that there is no further reason to believe that the applicant is an alien or owned, controlled, or dominated by a foreign person or entity, no additional review is necessary.

4.4 Negation Action Plan

If the reviewer continues to conclude following the Supplementary Determination that an applicant may be considered to be foreign owned, controlled, or dominated, or that additional action would be necessary to negate the foreign ownership, control, or domination, the applicant shall be promptly advised and requested to submit a negation action plan. When factors not related to ownership are present, the plan shall provide positive measures that assure that the foreign interest can be effectively denied control or domination. Examples of such measures that may be sufficient to negate foreign control or domination include:

1. Modification or termination of loan agreements, contracts, and other understandings with foreign interests.

2. Diversification or reduction of foreign source income.

3. Demonstration of financial viability independent of foreign interests.

4. Elimination or resolution of problem debt.

5. Assignment of specific oversight duties and responsibilities to board members.

6. Adoption of special board resolutions.

5. Evaluation Findings

The reviewer should verify that sufficient information has been provided to satisfy the regulations and this Standard Review Plan. In consideration of the guidance of this Standard Review Plan, the reviewer should then draft an analysis and recommendation, based on the applicable information specified in Sections 2 and 4 above, concerning whether the reviewer knows, or has reason to believe that the applicant is an alien, or is a corporation or other entity that is owned, controlled, or dominated by an alien, a foreign corporation, or foreign government, and whether there are conditions that should be imposed before granting the application so as to effectively deny foreign control of the applicant.

6. References

1. Sections 103, 104, and 184 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 USC 2133, 2134, and 2234).

2. Part 50 ''Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities'' of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR Part 50).

3. *General Electric Co. and Southwest Atomic Energy Associates*, Docket No. 50–231, 3 AEC 99 (1966).

4. Letter from W. Dircks to J. MacMillan (Dec. 17, 1982) (Re: Babcock & Wilcox/McDermott).

5. Letter from N. Palladino to A. Simpson (Sept. 22, 1983) w/attachment (Re: Union Carbide/Cintichem).

[FR Doc. 99–5079 Filed 3–1–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PEACE CORPS

Information Collection Requests Under OMB Review

AGENCY: The Peace Corps.

ACTION: Notice of public use form review request to the Office of Management and Budget. (0420–0513).

SUMMARY: The Associate Director for Management invites comments on information collection requests as required pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This notice announces that the Peace Corps has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request to approve the continued use of the Peace Corps World Wise Schools enrollment form. A copy of the information collection may be obtained from Betsi Shays, Director of World Wise Schools, Peace Corps, 1111 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20526. Mrs. Shays may be contacted by telephone at 202–692–1455. The Peace Corps invites comments on whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the Peace Corps, including whether the information will have practical use; the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and, ways to minimize the burden the collection of information those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology. Comments on these forms should be addressed to Victoria Becker Wassmer, Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503.

Information Collection Abstract

Title: Educator Information Enrollment Form.

Need for and Use of this Information: The Peace Corps needs this information to officially enroll educators in the World Wise Schools Global Education Program. The information is used to match Educators with currently serving Peace Corps Volunteers.

Respondents: Educators interested in bringing the awareness of Global Education to the classroom.

Respondents Obligation to Reply: Voluntary.

Burden on the Public:

a. *Annual reporting burden:* 833 hours.

b. *Annual record keeping burden:* 250 hours.

c. *Estimated average burden per response:* 10 min.

d. *Frequency of response:* annually.

e. *Estimated number of likely respondents:* 10,000.

f. *Estimated cost to respondents:* $4,466.

This notice is issued in Washington, DC, on February 22, 1999.

Doug Greene,

Associate Director for Management.

[FR Doc. 99–5102 Filed 3–1–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6051–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1–12799]

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (InfoCure Corporation, Common Stock, $.001 Par Value)

February 23, 1999.

InfoCure Corporation (''Company'') has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission''), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'') and Rule 12d2–2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security (''Security'') from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange LLC (''Amex'' or ''Exchange'').

The Board of Directors of the Company unanimously approved a resolution on February 13, 1999, to withdraw the Company's Security from listing on the Amex.

The reasons cited in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the following:

The Company believes: (i) Their market capitalization can now support an over-the-counter trading system like that offered by the Nasdaq Stock Market (''Nasdaq''); (iii) Nasdaq is the preferred stock market for high-technology companies; and (iii) other companies in the Company's market sector that most closely compare to the Company are listed on Nasdaq.

The Company has complied with the rules of the Exchange by notifying the Exchange of its intention to withdraw its Security from listing on the Exchange by letter dated January 14, 1999. The Exchange replied by letter dated January 14, 1999, advising the Company that they would not interpose any objection to the withdrawal of the Company's Security from listing on the Exchange.

On January 29, 1999, the Company's Security started trading on the Nasdaq under the ''INCX'' symbol.

Any interested person may, on or before, March 16, 1999, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Exchange and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–5044 Filed 3–1–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–41087; File No. SR–MBSCC–99–01]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MBS Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Eliminating the Investment Service Fee

February 22, 1999.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act''),[1] notice is hereby given that on January 29, 1999, the MBS Clearing Corporation (''MBSCC'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by MBSCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change eliminates the investment service fee that MBSCC charges a participant to recover the handling costs associated with investing the cash the participant has on deposit in the participants fund.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, MBSCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. MBSCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.[2]

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to eliminate the investment service fee that MBSCC charges its participants. MBSCC's rules allow it to charge this fee to recover the handling costs associated with investing the cash that a participant has on deposit in the participants fund.[3] MBSCC has historically charged a flat fee of one half of one percent of the amount invested.[4]

MBSCC has determined that the investment service fee significantly exceeds the actual cost to MBSCC of handling investments. In addition, MBSCC does not charge participants for handling costs associated with other forms of collateral such as securities or letters of credit deposited to the participants fund. Accordingly, the proposed rule change deletes the provision of MBSCC's rules that allows it to recover its handling costs.

MBSCC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(D) of the Act[5] and the rules and regulations thereunder because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among MBSCC participants.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

MBSCC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have an impact on or impose a burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Partipants, or Others

No Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have been solicited or received. MBSCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by MBSCC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of Act[6] and pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(2)[7] promulgated thereunder because the proposal changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by MBSCC. At any time within sixty days of the filing of such rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is

---

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by MBSCC.

[3] Specifically, Article IV, Rule 2, Section 7 of MBSCC's rules provides that investment income, if any, on cash deposits in excess of required basic deposits, less an amount to compensate the corporation for its handling costs, shall be paid to participants at such intervals, in such manner, and in such amounts as the corporation from time to time may determine. Under the proposed rule change, the provision ''less an amount to compensate the corporation for its handling costs,'' has been deleted.

[4] Telephone conversation between Anthony Davidson, Vice President and Associate General Counsel, MBSCC; Jeffrey Mooney, Special Counsel, Division of Market Regulation (''Division''), Commission; and Jessie L. Nice, Attorney, Division, Commission (February 2, 1999).

[5] 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3)(D).

[6] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

[7] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).