

limited to, changes in (1) management, (2) production facilities, (3) supplier relationships, and (4) customer base. See, e.g., *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460 (1992). While no one or several of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is essentially the same as its predecessor. See, e.g., *Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same entity as the former company, the Department will treat the successor company the same as the predecessor for antidumping purposes, e.g., assign the same cash deposit rate, apply any relevant revocation.

We have examined the information provided by Kinn in its March 2, 1998, letter and determined that Kinn is the successor-in-interest to Skaarfish. The management and organizational structure of the former Skaarfish have remained intact under Kinn, and there have been no changes in the production facilities, supplier relationships, or customer base. Therefore, we determine that Kinn has maintained the same management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer bases as did Skaarfish.

#### Comments

Although we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results, none were submitted.

#### Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

We determine that Kinn is the successor-in-interest to Skaarfish for antidumping duty cash deposit purposes. Kinn, therefore, will be assigned the Skaarfish antidumping cash deposit rate of 2.30 percent. This deposit requirement will apply to all unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 1, 1997, the date on which the corporate name change legally took effect. This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility

under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This changed circumstances review and notice are in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)), and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: February 23, 1999.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-5015 Filed 2-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

##### University of Chicago, Argonne National Laboratory; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 98-061. Applicant: University of Chicago, Operator of Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439. Instrument: Ion Source. Manufacturer: Atomika Instruments, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 69264, December 16, 1998.

*Comments:* None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides an ion current  $>1\mu\text{A}$  in a spot size  $<60$  microns with a dynamic range  $>10^5$  for depth profiling near surface concentrations below one ppt. The Los Alamos National Laboratory advises that: (1) This capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose; and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

**Frank W. Creel,**

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. 99-5019 Filed 2-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

##### University of California, Davis; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 98-062. Applicant: University of California, Davis, CA 94550. Instrument: Titanium Sapphire Oscillator. Manufacturer: Femtolasers Produktions, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 69264, December 16, 1998.

*Comments:* None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides ultrashort (12 femtosecond), ultrahigh intensity laser pulses using patented mirror dispersion control technology for study of laser-electron interactions at high intensities. Brookhaven National Laboratory advised February 10, 1999 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

**Frank W. Creel,**

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. 99-5020 Filed 2-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P