

than June 4, 1999, which is 105 days after the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**. Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

In addition, because the countervailing duty investigation of hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products from Brazil has been aligned with the concurrent antidumping duty investigation under section 705(a)(1) of the Act, the time limit for completion of the final determination in the countervailing duty investigation will be the same date as the final determination of the concurrent antidumping duty investigation.

This notice of postponement is published pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: February 19, 1999.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-4858 Filed 2-25-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-122-822, A-122-823]

#### Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Canada: Notice of Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limits for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 26, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elfi Blum or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 and (202) 482-3020, respectively.

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

The Department of Commerce received a request to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products and certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada. On September 29, 1998 (63 FR 51893), the Department initiated this antidumping administrative review covering the period August 1, 1997 through July 31, 1998.

Because of the complexity of certain issues, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with that section, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results from May 3, 1999, to July 30, 1999. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. This extension of time limits is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: February 18, 1999.

**Roland L. MacDonald,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 99-4752 Filed 2-25-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-820]

#### Ferrosilicon From Brazil; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Time Limit

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ferrosilicon from Brazil. The review covers two manufacturer/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period March 1, 1997, through February 28, 1998.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 26, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Howard Smith or Wendy Frankel, Office 4, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone:

(202) 482-5193, or (202) 482-5849, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the initial time limit established by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (245 days after the last day of the anniversary month for the preliminary results, 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published for the final results), pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until October 4, 1999. See Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Robert S. LaRussa, dated January 8, 1999, on file in the Central Records Unit located in room B-099 of the main Department of Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: February 3, 1999.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-4853 Filed 2-25-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-357-004 and A-357-007]

#### Carbon Steel Wire Rod From Argentina: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the sunset reviews on the suspended countervailing duty investigation and the antidumping duty order on carbon steel wire rod from Argentina. Based on adequate responses from domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting full sunset reviews to determine whether revocation of the suspended countervailing duty investigation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and whether revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. As a result of these