

plans to require changes to drilling procedures or equipment to ensure that levels of safety and environmental protection are maintained. Nor could we review information concerning requests for approval or subsequent reporting of well-completion or well-workover operations to ensure that procedures and equipment are appropriate for the anticipated conditions.

b. Form MMS-125. District Supervisors use the information to ensure that they have accurate data on the wells under their jurisdiction and to ensure compliance with approved plans. It is also used to evaluate remedial action in well-equipment failure or well-control loss situations.

c. Form MMS-126. MMS Regional Supervisors use the information to determine the MPR for an oil or gas well. The form contains information concerning the conditions and results of a well potential test. This requirement carries out the conservation provisions of the OCS Lands Act. Failure to collect this information could result in waste of energy resources in the OCS by production at imprudent rates, jeopardizing the ultimate full recovery of hydrocarbons.

d. Form MMS-128. Regional Supervisors use this information to evaluate the results of well tests to find out if reservoirs are being depleted in a way that will lead to the greatest ultimate recovery of hydrocarbons. We designed the form to present current well data on a semiannual basis to allow the updating of permissible producing rates and to provide the basis for estimates of currently remaining recoverable gas reserves.

We will protect proprietary information submitted according to the Freedom of Information Act; 30 CFR 250.118, "Data and information to be made available to the public"; and 30 CFR Part 252, "OCS Oil and Gas Information Program." No items of a sensitive nature are collected. Responses are mandatory.

*Estimated Number and Description of Respondents:* Approximately 130 Federal OCS oil and gas or sulphur lessees.

*Frequency:* Forms MMS-124, MMS-125, and MMS-126, are on occasion; Form MMS-128 is semiannual.

*Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping "Hour" Burden:* We previously estimated the following burdens for these forms:

Form MMS-124: 9,950 responses @ 1 hr per response = 9,950 hours  
 Form MMS-125: 2,118 responses @ 1 hr per response = 2,118 hours  
 Form MMS-126: 4,043 responses @ 1¼ hr per response = 5,656 hours

Form MMS-128: 1,716 responses @ 2 hrs per response = 3,432 hours

*Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping "Cost" Burden:* We have identified no information collection cost burdens for these collections of information.

*Comments:* We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our submission for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Based on your comments and our consultations with a representative sample of respondents, we will adjust the burden estimates as necessary in our submissions to OMB. In calculating the burden, we assume that respondents perform many of the requirements and maintain records in the normal course of their activities. We consider these usual and customary and take that into account in estimating the burden.

(1) We specifically solicit your comments on the following questions:

(a) Is the proposed collection of information necessary for us to properly perform our functions, and will it be useful?

(b) Are the estimates of the burden hours of the proposed collection reasonable?

(c) Do you have any suggestions that would enhance the quality, clarity, or usefulness of the information to be collected?

(d) Is there a way to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology?

(2) In addition, the PRA requires agencies to estimate the total annual reporting and recordkeeping "cost" burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. We need to know if you have costs associated with the collection of this information for either total capital and startup cost components or annual operation, maintenance, and purchase of service components. Your estimates should consider the costs to generate, maintain, and disclose or provide the information. You should describe the methods you use to estimate major cost factors, including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, discount rate(s), and the period over which you incur costs. Capital and startup costs include, among other items, computers and software you purchase to prepare for collecting information; monitoring, sampling, drilling, and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.

Generally, your estimates should not include equipment or services purchased: (i) before October 1, 1995; (ii) to comply with requirements not associated with the information collection; (iii) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the Government; or (iv) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

*MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer:* Jo Ann Lauterbach, (202) 208-7744.

Dated: February 3, 1999.

**E.P. Danenberger,**

*Chief, Engineering and Operations Division.*  
 [FR Doc. 99-3397 Filed 2-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Interim Plan for Long-Term Operations, Klamath Project, Oregon and California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Supplemental notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) proposes to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) on an interim plan for long-term operations of the Klamath Project (Project), pending completion of a water rights adjudication currently underway by the State of Oregon. Several alternative operational scenarios will be developed to define project operations in relation to Reclamation's legal responsibilities and obligations within the Klamath River Basin, including the Endangered Species Act, Tribal trust resources, senior water rights, Project water users' contractual rights, wildlife refuges, and other requirements mandated by law and within the authority of the Secretary of the Interior. The proposed action was the subject of a Notice of Intent (NOI) that was previously published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 61343, Nov. 17, 1997). This supplemental NOI is being published because considerable time has passed without significant activity regarding development of the EIS.

**DATES:** Public meetings will be held in March 1999 to update participants on the status of the EIS activities and to solicit any additional issues. Notice of these meetings will appear at a future date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Bernice A. Sullivan, EIS Program Manager, Mid-Pacific Regional Office, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825, telephone (916) 978-5113.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Construction and development of the Project was authorized pursuant to the Act of February 9, 1905, ch. 567, 33 Stat. 714, which is part of the Reclamation Act of 1902, 43 U.S.C. 372 *et seq.*, as amended and supplemented. The Project is located in Klamath County in Oregon, and Siskiyou and Modoc counties in California, occupying portions of the Klamath River and Lost River watersheds within the Klamath River Basin. Major project facilities include Link River, Clear Lake, and Gerber dams. The Project includes approximately 235,000 acres of agricultural lands, although roughly 200,000 acres of land are irrigated annually. In addition, four national wildlife refuges lie adjacent to or within Project boundaries, and receive water from or are associated with Project facilities. Pursuant to a 1956 contract with Reclamation, PacifiCorp operates the Link River Dam and several dams downstream of the Project for hydroelectric power generation. The need for more certainty regarding project operations has been recently demonstrated by drought conditions in 1992 and 1994, listings of species under the Endangered Species Act, and the protection of Tribal trust resources pursuant to the United States' Federal trust responsibility. When completed, the interim plan for long-term operations will supersede annual operations plans and guide Project operations during the adjudication.

**Public Scoping Process**

Reclamation has developed a "Summary of Klamath Project Operation Issues, January 1999," which documents the issues and concerns that the public has communicated to Reclamation through prior public involvement activities in the Klamath River Basin. During February 1999, Reclamation will solicit public review and comments on the summary to ensure that significant issues have not been overlooked.

Dated: February 5, 1999.

**Kirk C. Rodgers,**

*Acting Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 99-3344 Filed 2-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Reclamation**

**Privacy Act of 1974, as Amended; Systems of Records**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of deletion of four systems of records.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552a), notice is hereby given that the Department of the Interior is deleting four systems of records managed by the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). Three systems of records are deleted because the information is no longer used by Reclamation; the fourth system is deleted because it duplicates information in another system of records.

**DATES:** These actions will be effective on February 11, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Casey Snyder, Reclamation Privacy Act Officer, at (303) 445-2048.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Recent Privacy Act Compilations list the following systems of records with a prefix of "Reclamation" (e.g., Reclamation-25). When originally published in the **Federal Register** these systems of records were identified with an organization prefix of "LBR" (e.g., LBR-34). The content of the systems of records is the same; the prefixes on these systems were changed to reflect organizational changes.

The four system of records being deleted and the reason for deletion are listed below:

1. Interior/LBR-25, "Personal Author Reports," previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 1977 (42 FR 19102). Reclamation no longer maintains any information covered by the Privacy Act on authors writing technical reports of interest to Reclamation. Previous records were disposed of in accordance with approved Retention and Disposal Schedules.

2. Interior/LBR-34, "Thefts Listing," previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 1977 (42 FR 19105). Reclamation no longer maintains information in this system of records. The General Services Administration (GSA) is responsible for law enforcement on the Denver Federal Center, and any Privacy Act information collected during an investigation is maintained by GSA.

3. Interior/LBR-42, "Recordable Contracts," previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 1977 (42

FR 19108). The records formerly contained in this system of records are now maintained in Interior/WBR-31, "Acreage Limitation," published in the **Federal Register** on March 9, 1994 (59 FR 11085).

4. Interior/LBR-46, "Employee Trip Reports," previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 1977 (42 FR 19109). Trip reports written by Reclamation personnel contain only technical information related to duties performed on travel; they do not contain any information covered by the Privacy Act.

**Murlin Coffey,**

*Manager, Property and Office Services.*

[FR Doc. 99-3193 Filed 2-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

**INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY**

**Agency for International Development**

**Interim Advisory Committee on Food Security; Notice of Meeting**

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given of the Interim Advisory Committee on Food Security. The meeting will be held from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on February 24, 1999, in the USAID Information Center, Suite M.1, Mezzanine Level, Ronald Reagan Building, located at 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20523.

As part of its agenda, the Interim Advisory Committee on Food Security will discuss implementation actions related to the Food Security Action Plan. The meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend the meeting, may file written statements with the Committee before or after the meeting, or present any oral statements in accordance with procedures established by the Committee, to the extent that time available for the meeting permits.

Those wishing to attend the meeting should contact Mr. George Like at the Agency for International Development, Ronald Reagan Building, Office of Agriculture and Food Security, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 2.11-072, Washington, DC 20523-2110, telephone (202) 712-1436, fax (202) 216-3010 or internet [glike@usaid.gov] with your full name.

Anyone wishing to obtain additional information about the Interim Advisory Committee on Food Security should contact Mr. Tracy Atwood the Designated Federal Officer for BIFAD. Write him in care of the Agency for International Development, Ronald