

482-3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication to Maya A. Bernstein, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 2, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 99-3076 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Patent and Trademark Office (PTO).

Title: Disclosure Document Program.
Form Number: PTO/SB/95.

Agency Approval Number: 0651-0030.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 5,400 hours.

Number of Respondents: 27,000 responses.

Avg. Hours Per Response: The PTO estimates that it will take 12 minutes to gather, prepare, and submit a Disclosure Document Deposit Request.

Needs and Uses: The Disclosure Document Deposit Request is used by the public to prove the date of conception for an invention. The PTO uses this form to establish the date of conception for an invention and to assign an identifying number to the Disclosure Document Deposit Request. The identifying number is used to verify whether the Disclosure Document is referenced in a related patent application filed within two years of the date that the Disclosure Document was filed.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, businesses or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, farms, federal government, and state, local, or tribal governments.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Maya A. Bernstein, (202) 395-3785.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DoC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication to Maya A. Bernstein, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 1, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-3077 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 USC Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Southeast Region Dealer and Interview Family of Forms.

Agency Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: 0648-0013.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 4,357 hours.

Number of Respondents: 1,441.

Avg. Hours Per Response: 15 minutes for the requirement discussed below.

Needs and Uses: Fish dealers in the Southeast Region of the U.S. who purchase red snapper have been required to report these purchases to port agents when requested. This action proposes that dealers will now be required to mail or fax a written report to NOAA within 2 days of the end of each 7-day reporting period. The objective is to reduce misreporting and to make the dealer attest to the accuracy of the data submitted.

Affected Public: Businesses and other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: Weekly, monthly, other.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202)

482-3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5033, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 2, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-3078 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

Current Retail Sales and Inventory Survey

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506 (c)(2) (A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before April 12, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Nancy Piesto, Bureau of the Census, Room 2654-FOB 3, Washington, DC 20233-6500, (301) 457-2708.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Current Retail Sales and Inventory Survey provides estimates of monthly sales and end-of-month merchandise inventories of retail stores in the United States by selected kinds of business. The Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) uses this information to prepare the National Income and Products Accounts and to benchmark the annual input-output tables.

Statistics provided from the Current Retail Sales and Inventory Survey are used to calculate the gross domestic product (GDP).

Estimates produced from the Current Retail Sales and Inventory Survey are based on a probability sample. The sample design consists of one fixed panel where all cases are requested to report sales and/or inventories each month.

We currently publish retail sales and inventory estimates on the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) basis. Starting in the spring of 2001, we will publish on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The SIC definition of retail trade and the NAICS definition of retail trade are substantially different. The SIC defines retailers as establishments engaged in selling merchandise for personal or household consumption and rendering services incidental to the sale of the goods. Restaurants are included in retail trade under the SIC, but will move to the Accommodation and Food Services sector under NAICS. NAICS distinguishes retailers from wholesalers based on what the establishment does rather than to whom the establishment sells. Retailers are defined as those establishments that sell merchandise and attract customers using methods such as advertising, point-of-sale location, and display of merchandise. A store retailer has a selling place open to the public, merchandise on display or available through sales clerks, facilities for making cash or credit card transactions, and service provided to retail customers.

NAICS provides a better way to classify individual businesses, and will be widely adopted throughout both the public and private sectors. NAICS will

change the information that is currently available with reclassifications, definitional changes, and movement of activities in or out of retail trade. NAICS is more relevant as it identifies more industries that contribute to today's growing economy. NAICS was developed by the United States, Canada, and Mexico in order to produce comparable data between neighboring countries.

Changes From SIC to NAICS—Sales

Conversion from the SIC to NAICS will significantly affect selected industries and retail trade in total. For example:

- Restaurants move from retail trade to a new sector-Accommodation and Food Services. We will continue to collect monthly sales on restaurants and publish a separate Food Services Total.
- Retail Bakeries (without seating) will move to the manufacturing sector.
- Pawn Shops will move to the finance sector.
- The Building Materials, SIC 52, currently includes mobile home dealers. Under NAICS, mobile home dealers will be included in Miscellaneous Store Retailers.
- Computer and Software Stores will be recognized as separate industries under NAICS because of growing interest among public and private data users.
- The Durable and Nondurable aggregate totals will be eliminated from publication under NAICS.

Changes From SIC to NAICS—Inventories

- Under NAICS, we will discontinue collecting inventories from the food service subsector. We will collect and publish inventories only for the Retail Sector.

- Under NAICS, all auxiliary facilities such as warehouses are classified based on the primary activity. Under SIC, warehouses are classified based on their industry classification of the establishments they primarily serve. We will continue to publish the warehouse inventory in its respective kind-of-business.

- The Durables and Nondurable aggregate totals will be eliminated from publication under NAICS.

In addition to changes concerning the conversion from SIC to NAICS, the Current Retail Sales and Inventory Survey is converting its monthly pin fed report forms to a print-on demand system referred to as DocuPrint. The key benefit of this system is its ability to print a specific document or set of related documents (when requested), and overlay variable data, bar code and address label in predetermined locations throughout the document(s), all in one pass through the printer. This process reduces the time and cost of preparing mailout packages that contain unique variable data, while improving the look and quality of the products being produced.

DocuPrint allows us to tailor survey questions to a specific respondent. For example, sales only reporters will now only see sales questions, and inventory only respondents will only see inventory questions. Company and EIN reporters will use the same report form. DocuPrint will print (overlay) the appropriate EIN or Company question.

The migration to DocuPrint will split the four forms currently used into seven in order to accommodate the sales only and inventory only respondents. The numbering system will change as follows as a result of this migration:

Old	New	Description
B-101(97)	B-101(97)S B-101(97)B	Department Stores-Sales Only. Department Stores-Inventory Only.
B-111(97)	B-111(97)S B-111(97)B B-111(97)L B-113(97)I B-113(97)L	Non Department Stores-Sales Only. Non Department Stores-Sales and Inventory. Department and Non Department Stores-Sales and Inventory/LIFO. Department and Non Department Stores-Inventory Only. Department and Non Department Stores-Inventory/LIFO.
B-102(97)		Form eliminated-DocuPrint will print the appropriate EI/Company question on B-101.
B-112(97)		Form eliminated-DocuPrint will print the appropriate EI/Company question on B-111.

II. Method of Collection

We collect this information by mail, fax, and telephone follow-up.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607-0717.

Form Number: B-101(97)S, B-101(97)B, B-111(97)S, B-111(97)B, B-111(97)L, B-113(97)I, and B-113(97)L.
Type of Review: Regular Submission.
Affected Public: Retail firms in the United States.
Estimated Number of Respondents:

10,500 under NAICS. 12,022 under SIC.
Estimated Time Per Response: 7.8 minutes.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 16,380 hours under NAICS. 18,754 hours under SIC.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The cost to the respondents for fiscal year 1999 is estimated to be \$313,754 based on the median hourly salary of \$16.73 for accountants and auditors. (Occupational Employment Statistics-Bureau of Labor Statistics "1996 National Occupational Employment and Wage Data Professional, Paraprofessional, and Technical Occupations," \$16.73 represents the median hourly wage of the full-time wage and salary earnings of accountants and auditors) http://stats.bls.gov/oes/national/oes_prof.htm.

Respondent's Obligation: The collection of information is voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 3, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-3075 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

Silicon Metal from Brazil: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the

Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. This review covers five manufacturers/exporters of silicon metal from Brazil during the period July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997.

Based on our analysis of the comments received and the correction of certain ministerial errors, we have changed our results from those presented in our preliminary results as described below in the "Changes From the Preliminary Results" section of this notice. The final results are listed below in the section "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 9, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Zev Primor or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office Four, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4114 and (202) 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR 351 (1998).

Background

On August 6, 1998, the Department published its preliminary results of review, *Silicon Metal from Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 63 FR 42001 (*Silicon Metal Preliminary Results*), of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil (56 FR 36135, July 31, 1991).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. On October 2, 1998, we received comments from: Companhia Brasileira Carbureto De Calcio (CBCC); Ligas de Alumínio S.A. (LIASA); Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais-Minasligas (Minasligas); and RIMA Industrial S/A (RIMA), (collectively, the four respondents), American Silicon Technologies, Elkem Metals Company, Globe Metallurgical, Inc. and SKW Metals & Alloys, Inc., (collectively the petitioners) and General Motors Corporation (GM).

On October 21, 1998, the same parties submitted rebuttal comments.

Eletrosilex Belo Horizonte (Eletrosilex) did not submit a case or rebuttal brief regarding the preliminary results. We held a public hearing on December 10, 1998, to give interested parties the opportunity to express their views directly to the Department. Based on our analysis of the comments received and the correction of certain ministerial and computer programming errors, we have made changes from the preliminary results, as described below in "Changes From the Preliminary Results" section of this notice. The final results are listed below in the section "Final Results of Review." The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with Section 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise covered by this administrative review is silicon metal from Brazil containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this administrative review is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Changes From the Preliminary Results

We have made the following changes for these final results.

CBCC

We have recalculated the general and administrative (G&A) expense, financial expense, and depreciation expense included in CBCC's cost of production (COP) and constructed value (CV). In addition, we have recalculated U.S. credit expense and reclassified various expense adjustments for U.S. price as movement expenses rather than direct selling expenses. For further information refer to the discussion of CBCC in the "Company-Specific Issues" section below; also see the Memorandum to the File regarding