

hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95812.  
Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution  
Control District, Rule Development,  
24850 Silver Cloud Ct., Monterey, CA  
93940-6536.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office  
(AIR-4), Air Division, U.S.  
Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San  
Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone:  
(415) 744-1185.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns MBUAPCD's Rule 430, Leather Processing Operations, submitted to EPA on March 26, 1997 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: January 14, 1999.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 99-2792 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 207-0114b; FRL-6229-8]

**Approval and Promulgation of State  
Implementation Plans; California State  
Implementation Plan Revision, Amador  
County Air Pollution Control District  
and Northern Sonoma County Air  
Pollution Control District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern rules from the Amador County Air Pollution Control District (ACAPCD) and the Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District (NSCAPCD). The intended effect of this proposed action is to remove rules from the SIP in accordance with the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial

action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule and technical evaluation documents. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by March 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rescission requests are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air  
Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW,  
Washington, DC 20460.  
California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95812.

Amador County Air Pollution Control  
District, 500 Argonaut Lane, Jackson,  
CA 95642.

Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution  
Control District, 150 Matheson Street,  
Healdsburg, CA 95448-4908.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns the following rules from the Amador County Air Pollution Control District: Rule 213.2, Organic Solvents; and Rule 213.3, Disposal and Evaporation of Solvents, and the following rules from the Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District: Rule 56, Sulfide Emission Standard; Rule 64, Organic Solvents; Rule 64.1, Architectural Coatings; and Rule 64.2, Disposal and Evaporation of Solvents. These rules were submitted to EPA for removal from the California State Implementation Plan. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action

which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 25, 1999.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 99-2783 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[CO-001-0019b; FRL-6216-7]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air  
Quality Implementation Plans;  
Colorado; Revisions to Regulation No.  
7, Section III, General Requirements for  
Storage and Transfer of Volatile  
Organic Compounds**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve a revision to the Colorado State Implementation Plan (SIP) to Regulation No. 7, "Regulation To Control Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds." The revision to Regulation No. 7 involves the addition of paragraph C to section III, "General Requirements for Storage and Transfer of Volatile Organic Compounds." This new paragraph C exempts beer production and associated beer container storage and transfer operations involving volatile organic compounds with a true vapor pressure of less than 1.5 psia, at actual conditions, from the submerged or bottom-fill requirements of section III. B. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing on or before March 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to: Richard R. Long, Director, Air