

membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Emirates Telecommunications Corp. (ETISALAT), Abu Dhabi, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES; MediaOne Group, Boulder, CO; and EHPT, Stockholm, SWEDEN have been added as Corporate Members.

Slovak Telecom, Bratislava, SLOVAK REPUBLIC; Beechwood Data Systems, Clark, NJ; Oracle Corporation, Redwood Shores, CA; SSA Softwright, Langley, Berkshire, ENGLAND; StreamSoft, Inc., San Jose, CA; Kingston Communications PLC, Hull, ENGLAND; BSW Telecoms, Midrand, SOUTH AFRICA; Finnet Nine LTD., Tampere, FINLAND; Hermes Europe Railtel-Her Network Services BVBA, Hoeilaart, BELGIUM; Net2Net Corporation, Hudson, MA; Openet International, Dublin, IRELAND; Concert Communications Company, Reston, VA; Visionael, Tulsa, OK; Teleknowledge Group Ltd., Kfar Saba, ISRAEL; Nightfire Software, Inc., Berkeley, CA; Telkom SA, Pretoria, SOUTH AFRICA; Infostrada SJA, Milan, ITALY; Nextel Communications, Inc., McLean, VA; Teligent, Herndon, VA; Wandel & Goltermann, Ltd., Plymouth, Devon, ENGLAND; InConcert, Inc., Cambridge, MA; ComArch S. A., Krakow, POLAND; and Netcenter Limited, Alvechurch, Worcesterhire, ENGLAND have been added as Associate Members.

Ernst & Young, LLP., Sacramento, CA; Broadband & Networking Consultants, Inc., Herndon, VA; Hanson Cooke, London, ENGLAND; Ukrainian Research Institute of Communications (UNDIZ), Kiev, UKRAINE; Instituto Costarricense de Electricidad, San Jose, COSTA RICA; United Systems, Ltd., Ipswich, Suffolk, ENGLAND; Conexus Global Information AG, Zurich, SWITZERLAND; and JK Zcom, Inc., Manassas, VA have been added as Affiliate Members.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and the Forum intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1988, the Forum filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 8, 1988 (53 FR 49615).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 3, 1998. A

notice for this filing has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 99-2038 Filed 1-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Witech: Widegap Technology, LLC

Notice is hereby given that, on December 29, 1998, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Widegap Technology, LLC has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Widegap Technology, LLC, Wetlake Village, CA; General Electric Company, Cleveland, OH; and GELcore, LLC, Cleveland, OH. The nature and objectives of the venture are to develop and demonstrate high efficiency solid state lighting devices.

The activities of this Joint Venture project will be partially funded by an award from the Advanced Technology Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 99-2037 Filed 1-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

ACTION: Request OMB Emergency Approval; Employment Authorization Document.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted an emergency information collection request (ICR) utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget

(OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with section 1320.13(a)(1)(ii) and (a)(2)(iii) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. OMB approval has been requested by February 5, 1999. If granted, the emergency approval is only valid for 180 days. All comments and/or questions pertaining to this pending request for emergency approval MUST be directed to OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Mr. Stuart Shapiro, 202-395-7316, Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Comments regarding the emergency submission of this information may also be submitted via facsimile to Mr. Shapiro at 202-395-6974.

During the first 60 days of this same period, a regular review of this information collection is also being undertaken. During the regular review period, the INS requests written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning this information collection. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until March 29, 1999. During the 60-day regular review, ALL comments and suggestions, or questions regarding additional information, to include obtaining a copy of the information collection instrument with instructions, should be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan, 202-514-3291, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 5307, 425 I Street, NW, Washington, DC 20536.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.