**Summary:**

OSM is preparing an amendment to the Oklahoma regulatory program (from now on referred to as the “Oklahoma program”) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). For further information, contact Michael Wolfson, Director, Tulsa Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 5100 East Skelly Drive, Suite 470, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74135-6548.

**Effective Date:** January 22, 1999.

**Corresponding Provisions of the Federal Regulations That Have the Same Meaning as the Oklahoma Administrative Code:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definitions: “Other treatment facilities,” “Previously mined area,” and “Siltation structure.”</td>
<td>460:20±3±5</td>
<td>701.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation plan: siltation structures, impoundments, banks, dams, and embankments. (Surface mining activities).</td>
<td>460:20±27±14(a), (a)(2), (a)(3), and (f).</td>
<td>780.25(a), (a)(2), (a)(3), and (f).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation plan: siltation structures, impoundments, banks, dams, and embankments. (Underground mining activities).</td>
<td>460:20±31±9(a), (a)(2), (a)(3), and (f).</td>
<td>784.16(a), (a)(2), (a)(3), and (f).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits incorporating variances from approximate original contour restoration requirements.</td>
<td>460:20±33±6(a).</td>
<td>785.16(a).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program services and data requirements</td>
<td>460:20±35±6(a), (b)(1), and (b)(3)–(b)(5).</td>
<td>795.9(a), (b)(1), and (b)(4)–(b)(6).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant liability</td>
<td>460:20±35±7(a).</td>
<td>795.12(a).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance funding</td>
<td>460:20±35±8.</td>
<td>795.11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrologic balance: siltation structures. (Surface mining activities)</td>
<td>460:20±43±12(a)–(a)(2).</td>
<td>816.46(a)–(a)(2).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because the above State regulations have the same meaning as the corresponding Federal regulations, we find that they are no less effective than the Federal regulations.

B. Revisions to Oklahoma’s Regulations That Are Not the Same as the Corresponding Provisions of the Federal Regulations

   a. Oklahoma revised paragraph (b) to require siltation structures to be designed in compliance with the requirements of Section 460:20–43–12. Also, impoundments or earthen structures which permanently remain on the permit area have to be designed to comply with the requirements of Section 460:20–43–14. We are approving this revision because it is not inconsistent with or less effective than the corresponding Federal regulations at 30 CFR 780.25(b).

b. Oklahoma revised paragraph (c)(3) to allow its Department of Mines to establish, through the State program approval process, engineering design standards for impoundments:
   1. That do not meet the size or other criteria of 30 CFR 77.216(a), or
   3. That are located where failure would not be expected to cause loss of life or serious property damage.

   The design standards would ensure stability comparable to a 1.3 minimum static safety factor in lieu of engineering tests to establish compliance with the minimum static safety factor of 1.3 specified in Section 460:20–43-14(a)(3)(B). We are approving this revision because it is not inconsistent with or less effective than the corresponding Federal regulations at 30 CFR 784.16(c)(3).

   a. Oklahoma revised paragraph (b) to require siltation structures to be designed in compliance with the requirements of Section 460:20–45–12. Also, impoundments or earthen structures which permanently remain on the permit area have to be designed to comply with the requirements of Section 460:20–45–24. We are approving this revision because it is not inconsistent with or less effective than the corresponding Federal regulations at 30 CFR 784.16(b).
   b. Oklahoma revised paragraph (c)(2) to allow its Department of Mines to establish, through the State program approval process, engineering design standards for impoundments:
      1. That do not meet the size or other criteria of 30 CFR 77.216(a), or
      3. That are located where failure would not be expected to cause loss of life or serious property damage.

      The design standards would ensure stability comparable to a 1.3 minimum static safety factor in lieu of engineering tests to establish compliance with the minimum static safety factor of 1.3 specified in Section 460:20–45–14(a)(3)(B). We are approving this revision because it is not inconsistent with or less effective than the corresponding Federal regulations at 30 CFR 784.16(c)(3).

3. OAC 460:20–35–6. Program Services and Data Requirements
   Oklahoma revised paragraph (b)(6) to read as follows:
   The development of cross-section maps and plans required under Section 460:20–25–11, 460:20–29–11, and any other applicable regulation.

We are approving this revision because it is not inconsistent with or less effective than the corresponding Federal regulation at 30 CFR 795.9(b)(3).

   Oklahoma proposed to delete paragraphs (a)(3) which provide the definition for “other treatment facilities.” We are approving these deletions because Oklahoma revised and moved this definition to Section 460:20–3–5. Definitions.

5. OAC 460:20–43–14. Impoundments. (Surface Mining Activities)
   Oklahoma revised paragraphs (a)(4)(A) and (B) to read as follows:
   (A) An impoundment meeting the Class B or C criteria for dams in TR-60, or the size or other criteria of 30 CFR 77.216(a) or located where failure would be expected to cause loss of life or serious property damage shall have a minimum static safety factor of 1.5 for a normal pool with steady state seepage saturation conditions, and a seismic safety factor of at least 1.2.
   (B) Impoundments not included in Section (a)(4)(A) of this Section, except for a coal mine waste impounding structure, or located where failure would be not be expected to cause loss of life or serious property damage shall
have a minimum static safety factor of 1.3 for a normal pool with steady state seepage saturation conditions or meet the requirements of Section 460:20–27–14(c)(3).

We are approving this revision because it is not inconsistent with or less effective than the corresponding Federal regulations at 30 CFR 816.49(a)(4) (i) and (ii).


Oklahoma revised paragraphs (a)(4) (A) and (B) to read as follows:

(A) An impoundment meeting the Class B or C criteria for dams in TR–60, or the size or other criteria of 30 CFR 77.216(a) or located where failure would be expected to cause loss of life or serious property damage shall have a minimum static safety factor of 1.5 for a normal pool with steady state seepage saturation conditions, and a seismic safety factor of at least 1.2.

(B) Impoundments not included in Section (a)(4)(A) of this Section, except for a coal mine waste impounding structure, or located where failure would not be expected to cause loss of life or serious property damage shall have a minimum static safety factor of 1.3 for a normal pool with steady state seepage saturation conditions or meet the requirements of Section 460:20–31–9(c)(2).

We are approving this revision because it is not inconsistent with or less effective than the corresponding Federal regulations at 30 CFR 817.49(a)(4) (i) and (ii).

IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments

Public Comments

We requested public comments on the amendment, but did not receive any.

Federal Agency Comments

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(i), we requested comments on the amendment from various Federal agencies with an actual or potential interest in the Oklahoma program (Administrative Record Nos. OK–981.02 and OK–981.03). In letters dated January 27, and December 14, 1998, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers responded that they found the changes to the Oklahoma program satisfactory (Administrative Record Nos. OK–981.05 and OK–981.19).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(ii), we are required to get a written agreement from the EPA for those provisions of the program amendment that relate to air or water quality standards promulgated under statutory authority of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) or the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.). None of the revisions that Oklahoma proposed to make in this amendment pertain to air or water quality standards. Therefore, we did not ask the EPA to agree to the amendment.

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(i), we requested comments on the amendment from the EPA (Administrative Record Nos. OK–981.01 and OK–981.14). The EPA did not respond to our request.

State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(4), we are required to get comments from the SHPO and ACHP on amendments that may have an effect on historic properties. On December 30, 1997, and November 16, 1998, we requested comments on Oklahoma’s amendment (Administrative Record Nos. OK–981.02 and OK–981.15, respectively), but neither responded to our request.

V. Director’s Decision

Based on the above findings, we approve the amendment as submitted by Oklahoma on December 18, 1997, and as revised on June 22, August 10, September 24, and November 5, 1998. We approve the regulations that Oklahoma proposed with the provision that they be published in identical form to the regulations sent to and reviewed by OSM and the public. To implement this decision, we are amending the Federal regulations at 30 CFR Part 936, which codify decisions concerning the Oklahoma program. We are making this final rule effective immediately to expedite the State program amendment process and to encourage the State to bring its programs into conformity with the Federal standards. SMCRA requires consistency of State and Federal standards.

VI. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) exempts this rule from review under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and published by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on State regulatory programs and program amendments must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously published by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

OSM has determined and certifies under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 et seq.) that this rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 936

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.
Dated: January 8, 1999.

Brent Wahlquist,
Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR Part 936 is amended as set forth below:

PART 936—OKLAHOMA
1. The authority citation for Part 936 continues to read as follows:
Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.
2. Section 936.15 is amended in the table by adding a new entry in chronological order by “Date of final publication” to read as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of final publication</th>
<th>Citation/description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 1997 ....</td>
<td>January 22, 1999 ......</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[FR Doc. 99–1443 Filed 1–21–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Department of the Navy
32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy has determined that USS PORTER (DDG 78) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 26, 1998.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR Part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy, under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS PORTER (DDG 78) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Annex I, paragraph 2(f)(i) pertaining to placement of the masthead light or lights above and clear of all other lights and obstructions; Annex I, paragraph 2(f)(ii) pertaining to the vertical placement of task lights; Annex I, paragraph 3(a) pertaining to the location of the forward masthead light in the forward quarter of the vessel, and the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights; and, Annex I, paragraph 3(c) pertaining to placement of task lights not less than two meters from the fore and aft centerline of the ship in the athwartship direction. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel’s ability to perform its military functions.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Vessels.

Accordingly, 32 CFR Part 706 is amended as follows:

PART 706—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR Part 706 continues to read as follows:

2. Table Four, Paragraph 15 of § 706.2 is amended by adding, in numerical order, the following entry for USS PORTER:

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Horizontal distance from the fore and aft centerline of the vessel in the athwartship direction.