

on 800 MHz Public Safety Radio Pool spectrum.

3. In their waiver request, GPU and the Commonwealth submit that the benefits of sharing this 800 MHz radio system will include rapid deployment of a Public Safety/Industrial/Business system that will transmit reliable communications between state and local agencies throughout Pennsylvania. Also, they assert that a unified system will achieve significant spectrum efficiencies.

4. Requests for waiver of the Commission's rules are subject, unless otherwise provided, to treatment by the Commission as restricted proceedings for *ex parte* purposes under 47 CFR 1.1208. Because of the policy implications and potential impact of this proceeding on persons not parties to the waiver request, we believe it would be in the public interest to treat this case as a permit-but-disclose proceeding under the *ex parte* rules. See 47 CFR 1.1200(a), 1.1206. Therefore, any *ex parte* presentations that are made with respect to the issues involved in the subject Request for Waiver, subsequent to the release of this Public Notice, will be permissible but must be disclosed in accordance with the requirements of 47 CFR 1206(b).

Federal Communications Commission.

D'wana R. Terry,

Chief, Public Safety and Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FR Doc. 99-1157 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2311]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

January 12, 1999.

Petitions for reconsideration and clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by February 4, 1999. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Amendment of Parts 1, 21, and 74 to Enable Multipoint Distribution Service and Instructional Television Fixed Service Licensees to Engage in Fixed Two-Way Transmissions (MM Docket No. 97-217, RM-9060).

Number of Petitions Filed: 11

Subject: Petition for Declaratory Ruling and Request for Expedited Action on the July 15, 1997 Order of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Regarding Area Codes 412, 610, 215, and 717 (NSD File No. L-97-42).

Implementation of the Local Competition Provision of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (CC Docket No. 96-98).

Number of Petitions Filed: 12

Subject: Implementation of Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 Restrictions on Over-the-Air Reception Devices: Television Broadcast and Multichannel Multipoint Distribution Service (CS Docket No. 96-83).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-1159 Filed 1-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

National Flood Insurance Program; Standard Flood Hazard Determination Form

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice of availability and effective date.

SUMMARY: FEMA gives notice of the availability of the revised Standard Flood Hazard Determination Form.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 20, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael K. Buckley, Director, Technical Services Division, Mitigation Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-2756, (telefax) (202) 646-4596.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has reviewed and cleared the Standard Flood Hazard Determination form under the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507) and under the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994. OMB approved the form for use, with an effective date of October 1998, expiring October 31, 2001. Because the revised form made no

changes in the information collected, a transition period of 90 days from the date of this publication will be provided for users to update automated applications.

Title: Standard Flood Hazard Determination form (FEMA form 81-93).

OMB Number: 3067-0264.

Availability: The Standard Flood Hazard Determination form is available on FEMA's Web Site at www.fema.gov/library/fform.htm. The form is also available through FEMA's Fax-on-Demand at (202) 646-FEMA, request document #23103, or by mail after December 15, 1998, from the FEMA publications office at (800) 480-2520. Requests for large quantities of the form will not be honored. The form should be locally reproduced.

On May 21, 1998, we published a Notice with request for comments on revisions to the Standard Flood Hazard Determination Form, 63 FR 27969. We received comments from three banking organizations, one real estate broker, and one flood determination firm.

The majority of respondents asked us to reconsider revising the form, since the proposed changes did not substantially alter the meaning or requirements of the form. They noted further that the changes will give rise to added costs for automated systems and printed stock, which must be upgraded and reprinted, and for requisite notification to users.

FEMA is revising the Standard Flood Hazard Determination form to comply with requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507) and the Office of Management and Budget. In order to comply with these requirements, we clarified the instructions and made minor editorial changes to the form based on comments and questions received from users during the first iteration of the form.

Comments about specific items on the form included the need for additional space in some boxes, requests for deletion of some items and the addition of others, including borrower's name and base flood elevation.

Because the form is property-specific we did not include the borrower's name. We did not add the base flood elevation to the form because it is not a determining factor in the requirement of flood insurance; in addition, its procurement would add considerable expense.

We received other comments concerning the mandatory flood insurance purchase requirement and the application process for Letters of Map Change. Because these comments are not pertinent to the Standard Flood