

Partnership on implementation of the partnership. The Committee will meet on a quarterly basis, conducting public meetings to discuss management strategies, gather information and review Federal agency accomplishments, and prepare a progress report every 6 months for submission to regional Federal executives.

The 19 committee members represent a broad range of local, regional, state, and national interests concerned with the environmental and economic health of the Lake Tahoe Basin. The following members, in alphabetical order, were appointed by the Secretary of Agriculture: James Baetge, Executive Director of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency; John Bohn, Executive Officer of the Incline Village Board of Realtors; Lori Gaskin, Dean of Instruction at Lake Tahoe Community College; Stanley Hansen, Vice President of Real Estate and Governmental Affairs for Heavenly Ski Resort; Kathryn Kelly, President of Delta Toxicology, Inc.; Ronald McIntyre, Director of the Tahoe-Truckee Sanitation District and board member of the Tahoe City Public Utilities District; Robert McKinney, Executive Director of the North Tahoe Resort Association; Dennis Machida, Executive Director of the California Tahoe Conservancy; Kerry Miller, City Manager for the City of South Lake Tahoe; Jennifer Merchant,

Executive Director of the Truckee-North Tahoe Transportation Management Association; Rochelle Nason, Executive Director of the League to Save Lake Tahoe; Merlyn Payne, land use and transportation consultant; Leo Popoff, atmospheric physicist; Donald Starbard, Director for Tahoe-Truckee Airport District; Steve Teshara, Executive Director of the Lake Tahoe Gaming Alliance; Brian Wallace, Chair of the Washoe Tribe of California and Nevada; Duane Wallace, Executive Director of the South Lake Tahoe Chamber of Commerce; Pamela Wilcox, Administrator for the Nevada Division of State Lands; and Jaime Ziegler, civil engineer.

The Lake Tahoe Basin Federal Advisory Committee will meet jointly with the Lake Tahoe Basin Executive Committee at the January 28 meeting in South Lake Tahoe. The meeting is open to the public; however, participation is limited to scheduled presenters, Committee members, and Lake Tahoe Basin Executives. Persons who wish to bring issues to the attention of the Lake Tahoe Basin Federal Advisory Committee may file written statements with the secretary for the Committee before or after the meeting. Please refer any written comments to the Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit at the contact address stated above.

Dated: January 4, 1999.

**Roberta A. Moltzen,**  
Deputy Regional Forester, Pacific Southwest Region.  
[FR Doc. 99-1063 Filed 1-15-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Rural Housing Service**

**Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Section 515 Rural Rental Housing Program; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Rural Housing Service (RHS), USDA.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Housing Service (RHS) corrects a notice published November 16, 1998 (63 FR 63667). This action is taken to correct the amount of funds available for section 515 new construction purposes. Accordingly, the notice published November 16, 1998 (63 FR 63667), is corrected as follows:

On page 63668 in the third column, Item B, "Distribution Methodology," the first paragraph should read "The total amount available for FY 1999 for section 515 is \$114,321,240. Of that amount, \$79,321,240 is available for new construction as follows":

Set-Aside for Nonprofits .....	\$7,138,912
Set-Aside for Underserved Counties and Colonias .....	3,966,062
Less set-aside for EZ or EC .....	7,253,886
Less general reserve .....	5,740,000
Less State Rental Assistance (RA) Designated Reserve .....	1,500,000
Regular Section 515 Funds .....	53,301,292

On page 63669 in the third column, Item B, the text "7.56 million" should read "\$7,138,912;" Item C, the text "4.2 million" should read "\$3,966,062"; and Item D, the text "7.25 million" should read "\$7,253,886".

Dated: January 6, 1999.

**Eileen Fitzgerald,**  
Acting Administrator, Rural Housing Service.  
[FR Doc. 99-1132 Filed 1-15-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**  
[A-834-802]

**Termination of Suspension Agreement, Resumption of Antidumping Investigation, and Termination of Administrative Review on Uranium From Kazakhstan**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Government of Kazakhstan has provided notice of its intent to terminate the agreement between the United States Department of Commerce ("Department") and the Republic of Kazakhstan suspending the antidumping investigation on uranium from Kazakhstan. Therefore, the Department is resuming the underlying antidumping investigation.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 11, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James C. Doyle, Karla Whalen, or Juanita H. Chen, Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-3793.

**Applicable Statute:** Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective in 1992. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 353 (1992).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 5, 1991, the Department initiated an antidumping investigation concerning uranium from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics ("Soviet

Union"). *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Uranium from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics*, 56 FR 63711 (December 5, 1991). On December 25, 1991, the Soviet Union dissolved and the United States subsequently recognized the twelve newly independent states ("NIS") which emerged, one of which was the Republic of Kazakhstan ("Kazakhstan"). On January 16, 1992, the Department presented an antidumping duty questionnaire to the Embassy of the Russian Federation, the only newly independent state which had a diplomatic facility in the United States at that time, for service on Kazakhstan. On January 30, 1992, the Department sent questionnaires to the United States Embassy in Moscow, which served copies of the questionnaire on the permanent representative to the Russian Federation of each NIS. The questionnaires were served on February 10 and 11, 1992. On March 25, 1992, the Department gave notice that it intended to continue its antidumping duty investigation with respect to the newly independent states of the former Soviet Union. *Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determination: Uranium from the Former Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR)*, 57 FR 11064 (April 1, 1992).

On June 3, 1992, the Department issued its preliminary determination, in its antidumping duty investigation on uranium from Kazakhstan ("Investigation"), that imports of uranium from Kazakhstan were being, or were likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided for in the Act. *Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan; and Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from Armenia, Azerbaijan, Byelarus, Georgia, Moldova and Turkmenistan*, 57 FR 23380 (June 3, 1992). On October 16, 1992, the Department amended the preliminary determination to include highly enriched uranium ("HEU") in the scope of the investigations. *Antidumping; Uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russia, Tajikistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan; Suspension of Investigations and Amendment of Preliminary Determinations*, 57 FR 49221 (October 30, 1992). Also on October 16, 1992, the Department suspended the antidumping duty investigation involving uranium from Kazakhstan. *Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from*

*Kazakhstan*, 57 FR 49222 (October 30, 1992) ("Suspension Agreement"). The basis for the Suspension Agreement was an agreement by Kazakhstan to restrict exports of uranium to the United States. On February 7, 1995, the Department and Kazakhstan signed an amendment to the Suspension Agreement to permit entry of highly enriched uranium ("HEU") within the terms of the Suspension Agreement. *Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan*, 60 FR 13699 (March 14, 1995). On March 27, 1995, the Department and Kazakhstan signed an amendment to the Suspension Agreement to modify the original price-tied quota mechanism by lowering the threshold price from \$13.00 to \$12.00, and re-defined Kazakhstan-origin uranium to include uranium mined in Kazakhstan and enriched in a third country. *Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan*, 60 FR 25692 (May 12, 1995). On September 29, 1998, the Department and Kazakhstan signed an amendment to the Suspension Agreement permitting entry of certain shipments of uranium from Kazakhstan into the United States pursuant to ongoing consultations. *Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from Kazakhstan*, 63 FR 67858 (December 9, 1998).

On October 21, 1998, USEC Inc. and its subsidiary, United States Enrichment Corporation (hereinafter collectively referred to as "USEC"), requested that the Department conduct a hearing related to the issues raised in the administration of the Suspension Agreement for the period October 1, 1997 to September 29, 1998. On October 27, 1998 and October 29, 1998, the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Uranium Producers, and the Oil Chemical and Atomic Workers International Union, AFL-CIO (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Petitioners"), joined in USEC's request for a hearing. On October 30, 1998, Kazakhstan expressed its interest in participating in the hearing. On October 30, 1998, the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Uranium Producers requested an administrative review of the Suspension Agreement for the period October 1, 1997 to September 30, 1998, pursuant to the Department's notice of opportunity to request an administrative review. *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 63 FR 54440 (October 9, 1998).

On November 10, 1998, the Department received notice from

Kazakhstan of its intent to terminate the Suspension Agreement. Section XII of the Suspension Agreement provides that Kazakhstan may terminate the Suspension Agreement at any time upon notice to the Department; termination would be effective 60 days after such notice. On December 23, 1998, the Department initiated an administrative review of the Suspension Agreement for the period October 1, 1997 to September 30, 1998. *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 63 FR 71091 (December 23, 1998). As the 60 day period provided for in the Suspension Agreement has passed, the Department is terminating the Suspension Agreement and resuming the original Investigation effective January 11, 1999. Moreover, as a result of resumption of the Investigation, the Department is also terminating the administrative review of the Suspension Agreement.

#### Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered constitutes one class or kind of merchandise. HEU is included in the scope of the investigation. The merchandise covered includes natural uranium in the form of uranium ores and concentrates; natural uranium metal and natural uranium compounds; alloys, dispersions (including cermets), ceramic products and mixtures containing natural uranium or natural uranium compounds; uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup> and its compounds; alloys, dispersions (including cermets), ceramic products, and mixtures containing uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup> or compounds or uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup>. The uranium subject to these investigations is provided for under subheadings 2612.10.00.00, 2844.10.10.00, 2844.10.20.10, 2844.10.20.25, 2844.10.20.55, 2844.10.50.00, 2844.20.00.10, 2844.20.00.20, 2844.20.00.30, and 2844.20.00.50, of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS"). Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

#### Period of Investigation

The period of investigation ("POI") is June 1 through November 30, 1991.

#### Resumption of Investigation

Because Kazakhstan terminated the Suspension Agreement, there no longer exists a Suspension Agreement under section 734(l) of the Act which "prevent(s) the suppression or undercutting of price levels of domestic products by imports of the merchandise under investigation." Therefore, in

accordance with section 734(l)(2) of the Act, the Department must resort to section 734(i)(1)(B), which directs us to resume the Investigation as if our preliminary determination had been issued on January 11, 1999. In accordance with section 735(a) of the Act, the Department will issue a final determination within 75 days of January 11, 1999, unless Kazakhstan requests an extension of time under 19 CFR 353.20(b).

Since Kazakhstan may not have had a full opportunity to respond to the original antidumping duty questionnaire, in making its final determination in the Investigation, the Department shall issue a supplemental questionnaire for the original POI.

#### International Trade Commission

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, the Department has notified the International Trade Commission ("ITC") of the termination of the Suspension Agreement and resumption of the Investigation. If the Department's final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine whether these imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the United States uranium industry. The ITC shall make this determination before the latter of: (1) 120 days after the effective date of this notice; or, (2) 45 days after publication of the Department's final determination.

#### Termination of Administrative Review

On October 30, 1998, the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Uranium Producers, one of the Petitioners, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the Suspension Agreement for the period October 1, 1997 to September 30, 1998. On December 23, 1998, the Department initiated an administrative review of the Suspension Agreement for the requested period. *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 63 FR 71091 (December 23, 1998). Because the underlying Suspension Agreement is terminated, the administrative review is being terminated as well.

#### Denial of Request for Hearing

On October 21, 1998, USEC, an interested party to the proceeding, requested that the Department conduct a hearing related to the issues raised in the administration of the Suspension Agreement for the period October 1, 1997 to September 29, 1998. USEC was joined in its request by Petitioners. Kazakhstan also expressed its interest in participating if a hearing was held on said issues. Because the underlying

Suspension Agreement is terminated, the Department will not hold the requested hearing.

#### Verification

As provided for in section 776(b) of the Act, the Department will verify all the non-BIA (best information available) material used in reaching its final determination.

#### Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with § 734(i)(1)(A) of the Act, the Department is not aware of any sale within the last 90 days that was in violation of the Suspension Agreement or did not meet the requirements of the Suspension Agreement. Therefore, the Department is instructing the United States Customs Service ("U.S. Customs") to suspend liquidation of all unliquidated entries of uranium, as defined in the Scope of the Investigation section of this notice, that are entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after the effective date of the termination of the Suspension Agreement, which is January 11, 1999. U.S. Customs shall require a cash deposit or bond equal to 115.82 percent *ad valorem* (the original preliminary determination duty rate), the estimated weighted-average amount by which the foreign market value of the subject merchandise exceeds the United States price, for all manufacturers, producers, and exporters of uranium from Kazakhstan. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

#### APO Access

Any party wishing to access business proprietary information in the resumed Investigation must apply for APO access, regardless of whether such APO access was previously granted in the original Investigation or Suspension Agreement.

#### Public Comment

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38, the Department will hold a public hearing, if requested, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary determination on March 12, 1999, at 10 a.m. at the United States Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Individuals who wish to request a hearing must submit such a request within ten days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, United States Department of Commerce, Room 1870, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. Parties should confirm by telephone the time,

date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time.

Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; (3) the reason for attending; and (4) a list of the issues to be discussed. In addition, ten copies of the business proprietary version and five copies of the nonproprietary version of the case briefs must be submitted to the Assistant Secretary no later than March 1, 1999. Ten copies of the business proprietary version and five copies of the nonproprietary version of the rebuttal briefs must be submitted to the Assistant Secretary no later than March 8, 1999. An interested party may make an affirmative presentation only on arguments raised in that party's case or rebuttal briefs. Written arguments should be submitted in accordance with § 353.38 of the Department's regulations and will be considered if received within the time limits specified above.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with section 733(f) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(f)) and 19 CFR 353.15.

Dated: January 11, 1999.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**

*Assistant Secretary Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-1117 Filed 1-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-508-605]

#### Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results and Partial Recission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

---

**SUMMARY:** On September 9, 1998, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on industrial phosphoric acid (IPA) from Israel for the period January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996 (63 FR 48193). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. For information on the net subsidy for each reviewed company, and for all non-reviewed companies, please see the Final Results of Review section of this notice. We will