Dated: January 8, 1999.

Steven R. Wilson,
Designated Federal Officer,
Small Community Advisory Subcommittee of the Local Government Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 99–1129 Filed 1–15–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6221–4]

Proposed Settlement Under Section 122(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act; In the Matter of Johnson Iron Industries Superfund Site

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Request for public comment.

SUMMARY: Notice of Settlement: in accordance with Section 122((i)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”), notice is hereby given of a settlement concerning past and future response costs at the Johnson Iron Industries Superfund Site in Charlotte, Michigan. This proposed agreement has been approved by the Attorney General, as required by Section 122(g)(4) of CERCLA.

DATES: Comments must be provided on or before February 18, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Karen L. Peaceman, Assistant Regional Counsel, Mail Code C–14J, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, and should refer to: In the Matter of Johnson Iron Industries Superfund Site.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following party executed binding certification of its consent to participate in the settlement: Hoover Investments, Inc.

Hoover Investments, Inc. will pay $30,000 for response costs related to the Johnson Iron Industries Superfund Site, if the United States Environmental Protection Agency determines that it will not withdraw or withhold its consent to the proposed settlement after consideration of comments submitted pursuant to this notice.

U.S. EPA may enter into this settlement under the authority of Section 122(g) of CERCLA. Section 122(g) authorizes EPA to settle any claims under Section 107 of CERCLA with de minimis parties if the amount and the toxicity of hazardous substances contributed by that party is minimal in comparison to other hazardous substances at the facility. Pursuant to this authority, the agreement proposes to settle with a party who is potentially responsible for costs incurred by EPA at the Johnson Iron Industries Superfund Site.

A copy of the proposed administrative order on consent and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for review and may be obtained in person or by mail from Karen L. Peaceman, Mail Code C–14J, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency will receive written comments relating to this settlement for thirty days from the date of publication of this notice.


William E. Muno,
Director, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. 99–1130 Filed 1–15–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6220–2]

Technical Correction; Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Storm Water Multi-Sector General Permit for Industrial Activities

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Corrections; and notice of final NPDES storm water general permit for Alaska.

SUMMARY: This action corrects a typographical error and inadvertent omission in the text of “Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Storm Water Multi-Sector General Permit for Industrial Activities” (MSGP) which was published on Friday, August 7, 1998.

This action also provides notice for the final modification to the NPDES MSGP for storm water discharges associated with industrial activity in the State of Alaska.

DATES: Today’s corrections are effective January 19, 1999. In accordance with 40 CFR 23.2, the correction and permit modification for the State of Alaska shall be considered final for the purposes of judicial review at 1 p.m. (Eastern time) on February 2, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joe Wallace at 206–553–6645.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

On August 7, 1998, (63 FR 42534), EPA published a modification to the NPDES Multi-Sector General Permits (MSGP) for storm water discharges associated with industrial activity, which was originally published on September 29, 1995 (60 FR 50804).

Today’s notice also notices the modification of the final NPDES storm water MSGP for storm water discharges associated with industrial activity in the State of Alaska.

II. Technical Correction

The modification to the permit (related to hard rock mining) published on August 7, 1998 (63 FR 42534) contains two inadvertent typographical errors. Specifically, in the note to Table G–4 in the final clarification published at 63 FR at 42545, in the first column, EPA neglected to include one word (“and”) and inadvertently included another word (“not”). In the August 7, 1998, notice, the second sentence in the note read:

For such sources, coverage under this permit would be available if the discharge is composed entirely of storm water and does not combine with other sources of mine drainage that are subject to 40 CFR Part 440, as well as meeting other eligibility criteria contained in Part I.B. of the Permit. (Emphasis added.)

EPA is today correcting those typographical errors so that the sentence will read:

For such sources, coverage under this permit would be available if the discharge is composed entirely of storm water and does not combine with other sources of mine drainage that are subject to 40 CFR Part 440, as well as meeting other eligibility criteria contained in Part I.B. of the Permit. (Emphasis added.)

Based on the explanation in the fact sheet published on August 7, 1998, as well as the other provisions of the Permit at Part I.B., these corrections make the intended meaning of the sentence clear.

III. Notice of Modification of NPDES Storm Water Permit in Alaska

On October 22, 1997 (62 FR 54950), EPA proposed to modify the MSGP in
the State of Alaska. EPA was not able to provide notice of the final permit in Alaska on August 7, 1998, when the Agency modified the MSGP in other jurisdictions where EPA administers the NPDES permitting program, because at that time the State of Alaska had not concluded proceedings to certify compliance with Alaska water quality standards (pursuant to Clean Water Act section 401) and to determine consistency with the State’s coastal zone management program. Today’s action finalizes the modifications to the NPDES MSGP for storm water discharges associated with industrial activity in the State of Alaska.

B. Paperwork Reduction Act

EPA has reviewed the requirements imposed on regulated facilities in the final MSGP in Alaska under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. The information collection requirements in today’s final notice for Alaska have already been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in previous submissions made for the NPDES permit program under the provisions of the Clean Water Act.

C. 401 Certification

Section 401 of the CWA provides that no Federal license or permit, including NPDES permits, to conduct any activity that may result in any discharge into navigable waters, shall be granted until the State in which the discharge originates certifies that the discharge will comply with the applicable provisions of Sections 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA. The Section 401 certification process has been completed for the State of Alaska. The following summary indicates where additional permit requirements have been added as a result of the certification process and also provides a more detailed discussion of additional requirements for Alaska.

Alaska 401 conditions provide that a copy of the Notice of Intent form, in addition to the NOI already required to be submitted to EPA, and a copy of the storm water pollution prevention plan (PPP) must be sent to the appropriate nearest office listed below prior to discharging under the MSGP. Copies of any discharge monitoring reports or other reports required under the permit must also be sent to the appropriate State office. A copy of any Notice of Termination must be submitted to the appropriate State office. The addresses of State offices to which copies are to be sent are:

For projects nearest to Anchorage or Fairbanks, send to the attention of Bill Lamoreaux at: Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Water Quality Permitting Section/Storm Water, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, AK 99501, (907) 563–6529, FAX (907) 563–4026.

For projects nearest to Juneau, send to the attention of Kenwyn George at: Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Water Quality Permitting Section/Storm Water, 410 Willoughby Avenue, Juneau, AK 99801, (907) 465–5300, FAX (907) 465–5274.

Because Alaska DEC has certified the MSGP, authorization under the MSGP constitutes authorization under a State permit as a matter of Alaska law.

IV. Signatures

Region X

Signed this 6th day of October, 1998.

Philip G. Millam,
Director, Office of Water.

Areas of coverage Permit No.

| Alaska | AKR05*### |

Accordingly, I hereby find consistent with the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, that these final permit modifications will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Authority: Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.


Jane S. Moore,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

For the reasons set forth in this preamble, Table G–4, Parts VIII and XII of the NPDES Storm Water Multi-Sector General Permit Modification (63 FR 42534) are amended as follows:

Table G–4—[Amended]

1. The Note following Table G–4 is amended to insert the word “and” and delete the word “not” to read as follows: Note: Discharges from overburden/waste rock and overburden/waste rock-related areas are not subject to 40 CFR Part 440 unless: (1) it drains naturally (or is intentionally diverted) to a point source; and (2) combines with “mine drainage” that is otherwise regulated under the Part 440 regulations. For such sources, coverage under this permit would be available if the discharge is composed entirely of storm water and does not combine with other sources of mine drainage that are subject to 40 CFR Part 440, as well as meeting other eligibility criteria contained in Part I.B. of the permit. Permit applicants bear the initial responsibility for determining the applicable technology-based standard for such discharges. EPA recommends that permit applicants contact the relevant NPDES permit issuance authority for assistance to determine the nature and scope of the “active mining area” on a case-by-case basis, as well as to determine the appropriate permitting mechanism for authorizing such discharges.

Part VIII—[Amended]

1. Part VIII is amended by revising paragraph D. Paperwork Reduction Act, Region X to include “Alaska” above “Alaska Indian Country” in the areas of Coverage table on page 42544, and to include “AKR05***” above “AKR05***” in the Permit No. column of the same table.

Part XII—[Amended]

Alaska 401 certification adds special permit conditions to the permit modification as follows:

Part XII. Coverage Under This Permit

| * * * * * |

Region X

The State of Alaska, except Indian Country Lands (AKR05***

Part IV. F. is added to the Permit as follows:

1. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans are to be submitted to the Department prior to discharging under this permit. Plans are to be submitted to the same Department of Environmental Conservation office the notice of intent is sent to.

2. Any project within the Matanuska-Susitna Borough (MSB) Coastal District must comply with the following conditions:

a. Within the 75-foot shoreline setback, all areas not occupied by allowed development must minimize disturbance of natural vegetation. The intent is to provide natural filtering of surface water runoff, minimize erosion, and provide separation between the water body and potential sources of pollutants.

b. A MSB Development Permit is required for any project located in a federally-designated Flood Hazard Area.

c. The MSB should be contacted to insure that projects comply with local rules applicable to special land use districts or geographic areas affected.

Part IX. B. is added to the Permit as follows:

1. A copy of the Notice of Termination is to be sent to the same Department of Environmental Conservation office the Notice of Intent is sent to.

Part II. Notification Requirements

| * * * * * |

Alaska 401 conditions provide that a copy of the Notice of Intent form, in addition to the NOI already required to be submitted to EPA, and a copy of the storm water pollution prevention plan...
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission


SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before March 22, 1999. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lessmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
OMB Control Number: 3060–0875.
Title: Long-Term Portability Cost Classification Proceeding, CC Docket No. 95–116, M&O, RM 8535, and Telephone Number Portability, CC Docket No. 95–116, Third R&O.
Form Number: N/A.
Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.
Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.
Number of Respondents: 67.
Estimated Time Per Response: 85.5 hours (avg.)
Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements.
Total Annual Burden: 5,729 hours.
Total Annual Costs: $0.

Needs and Uses: Incumbent local exchange carriers that want to recover their carrier-specific number portability costs must file tariffs and cost support with the Commission for federal end-user charges. These tariffs and cost support must detail both the nature and specific amount of those carrier-specific costs that are directly related to number portability, and those carrier-specific costs that are not directly related to number portability. The Commission will use this information to ensure that the end-user charge recovers the incumbent LECS’ cost of implementing and providing number portability in a competitively neutral manner.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0877.
Title: 1999 Central Office Code Utilization Survey (COCUS).
Form Number: N/A.
Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.
Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.
Number of Respondents: 2,900.
Estimated Time Per Response: 9 hours (avg.)
Frequency of Response: Annually; On occasion reporting requirements.
Total Annual Burden: 26,100 hours.
Total Annual Costs: $0.

Needs and Uses: The 1999 Central Office Code Utilization Survey seeks information not only on the number of central office codes assigned to carriers, but also on the amount of individual numbers assigned to consumers from the central office codes. This information will assist the Commission in determining methods to help alleviate some of the costs associated with the addition of new area codes.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0874.
Title: Consumer Complaint Forms.
Form Numbers: FCC 475 and FCC 476.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.
Respondents: Individuals or households; Business or other for-profit entities; Not-for-profit institutions.
Number of Respondents: 80,000.
Estimated Time Per Response: 0.50 hours (avg.)
Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements.
Total Annual Burden: 40,000 hours.
Total Annual Costs: $0.

Needs and Uses: FCC Forms 475 and 476 will allow the Commission to collect detailed data from consumers on the practices of common carriers. The information contained in the collection will allow consumers to provide the Commission with the relevant information required and help consumers to develop a concise statement outlining the issue in dispute. The information will then be used to assist in the resolution of informal complaints and to collect data required to assess the practices of common carriers.

Federal Communications Commission.
Magalie Roman Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–1080 Filed 1–15–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

January 12, 1999.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13.

Form Number:
Title:
OMB Control Number:
Frequency of Response:
Total Annual Burden:
Total Annual Costs:
Needs and Uses:

[FR Doc. 99–1030 Filed 1–15–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–00–P