

Taurine.  
Thymol iodide (for topical use only).  
Tinidazole.

(b) FDA balances the following criteria in evaluating substances considered for inclusion on the list set forth in paragraph (a) of this section: The chemical characterization of the substance; the safety of the substance; the historical use of the substance in pharmacy compounding; and the available evidence of the substance's effectiveness or lack of effectiveness, if any such evidence exists.

(c) Based on evidence currently available there are inadequate data to establish substantial evidence or general recognition of the safety or effectiveness of any of the drug substances set forth in paragraph (a) of this section, for any indication.

#### § 216.24 [Reserved]

Dated: December 29, 1998.

**William K. Hubbard,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy  
Coordination.*

[FR Doc. 99-277 Filed 1-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[FL-75-1-9806b; FRL 6196]

#### Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes Florida: Redesignation of the Duval County Sulfur Dioxide Unclassifiable Area to Attainment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On January 28, 1997, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) submitted a request for redesignation to attainment for sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) in Duval County, Florida. The redesignation request included five years of quality assured monitoring data which showed no exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for SO<sub>2</sub>. Duval County was originally designated as an unclassifiable area in 1978 due to lack of adequate monitoring data. Sufficient data have now been collected to make affirmative declaration of attainment status. The EPA is redesignating Duval County from unclassifiable to attainment for SO<sub>2</sub> and approving three permits that provide SO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions.

In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the

Florida State Plan submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates that it will not receive any significant, material, and adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and incorporated herein. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response, to this rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by February 8, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Scott Martin at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the day of the visit.

Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61  
Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia  
30303-3104.

Florida Department of Environmental  
Protection, Twin Towers Office  
Building, 2600 Blair Stone Road,  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Scott Martin at (404) 562-9036.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: November 10, 1998.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. 99-230 Filed 1-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 90

[WT Docket No. 96-86; DA 98-2588]

#### The Development of Operational, Technical and Spectrum Requirements for Meeting Federal, State and Local Public Safety Agency Communication Requirements Through the Year 2010, Establishment of Rules and Requirements for Priority Access Service

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of time for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This document extends the time to file comments concerning the Commission's *Third Notice of Proposed Rule Making* ("Third Notice") adopted on August 6, 1998. Comments on the *Third Notice* were due on or before January 4, 1999, and Reply Comments were due on or before February 1, 1999. Because of the many petitions for reconsideration and clarification filed in response to the *First Report and Order* ("First Report") in this proceeding and the close proximity of the deadlines for responding to these petitions and the *Third Notice*, the Commission extended the time to file comments.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before January 19, 1999, and reply comments are due on or before February 18, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, Publications Branch, Room TW-B204, The Portals II, 445 12th St., SW, Washington, D.C. 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Peter Daronco or Michael Pollak, at the Public Safety & Private Wireless Division, (202) 418-0680.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's *Order* in WT Docket No. 96-86, adopted on December 23, 1998, and released on December 24, 1998, (DA 98-2588). The full text of the *Order* is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M St., NW, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, International Transcription Services, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, 202-857-3800. Alternative formats (computer diskette, large print, audio cassette and Braille) are available to persons with disabilities by contacting

Martha Contee at (202) 418-0260, TTY (202) 418-2555, or at mcontee@fcc.gov.

1. On August 6, 1998, the Commission adopted the *First Report and Third Notice* concerning the Development of Operational, Technical and Spectrum Requirements For Meeting Federal, State and Local Public Safety Agency Communication Requirements Through the Year 2010. The *Third Notice* was published in the **Federal Register** on November 2, 1998. See 63 FR 58685. Comments on the *Third Notice* are due on or before January 4, 1999, and Reply Comments are due on or before February 1, 1999. On December 4, 1998, the Commission received a *Motion for Extension of Time for Filing Comments in Response to Third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* filed by the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC).

2. NPSTC requests that the Commission grant a 30 day extension of time for filing comments to the *Third Notice*. It states that an additional 30 days would afford interested parties

adequate time to prepare full and complete comments in order that the Commission may develop as complete a record as possible. NPSTC indicates that, in addition to preparing comments in response to the *Third Notice*, many organizations will also be required at the same time to prepare responses to the petitions for reconsideration or clarification that were filed in response to the *First Report*. NPSTC notes that a substantial number of petitions for reconsideration or clarification were filed in this proceeding on or before December 2, 1998. In addition, NPSTC points out that the comment date falls immediately after an extended holiday period making it difficult for NPSTC and others to complete timely comments.

3. It is the policy of the Commission that extensions of time are not routinely granted. Upon review, however, we agree that an extension would afford parties the necessary time to coordinate and file substantive comments for the record. We believe, however, that 30

days would delay this proceeding longer than necessary. A 15 day extension of time, until January 19, 1999, within which to file comments for the *Third Notice* should be sufficient. This extension should provide an adequate opportunity for all parties to prepare and file responsive and complete comments in this proceeding.

#### *Ordering Clauses*

It is hereby Ordered that the *Motion for Extension of Time for Filing Comments in Response to Third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* filed by NPSTC on December 2, 1998, is hereby granted in part and denied in part. Parties shall file comments to the *Third Notice* no later than January 19, 1999. Reply comments are due 30 days later on February 18, 1999.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John F. Clark,**

*Acting Chief, Public Safety & Private Wireless Division*

[FR Doc. 99-269 Filed 1-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U