will not be accepted. Receipt of FAX transmittals will not be acknowledged. Facsimile transmitted comments will be treated as originals.

Executive Order 12866

It has been determined that this proposed regulation is not a significant regulatory action as defined by Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this proposal is not subject to the analysis required by this Executive Order.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

It is hereby certified that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This regulation will extend the phase-out period for the use of the term 'Johannisberg Riesling' and it will permit the use of other grape varietal names. The regulation will not impose any recordkeeping or reporting requirements. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required because the final rule is not expected (1) to have significant secondary or incidental effects on a substantial number of small entities; or (2) to impose, or otherwise cause a significant increase in the recording, recordkeeping, or other compliance burdens on a substantial number of small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(j)) and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR Part 1320, do not apply to this notice of proposed rulemaking because no requirement to collect information is proposed.

Disclosure

Copies of this notice and written comments will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at: ATF Reading Room, Disclosure Branch, Room 6300, 650 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC.

Drafting Information. This notice was written by Charles N. Bacon and Teri H. Byers, Regulations Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 4

Advertising, Consumer protection, Customs duties and inspections, Imports, Labeling, Packaging and containers, Wine.

Authority and Issuance

Accordingly, 27 CFR Part 4, Labeling and Advertising of Wine, is amended as follows:

PART 4—AMENDED

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for Part 4 continues to read as follows:


Par. 2. Section 4.91 is amended by adding the names “Aglianico” and “Traminette,” in alphabetical order, to the list of prime grape names, to read as follows:

§ 4.91 List of approved prime names.

* * * * *

Aglianico

* * * * *

Traminette

* * * * *

Par. 3. Section 4.92 is amended by removing the name “Johannisberg Riesling” from paragraph (b) and revising paragraph (b), and by adding a new paragraph (c), to read as follows:

§ 4.92 Alternative names permitted for temporary use.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(b) Wines bottled prior to January 1, 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative name</th>
<th>Prime name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet ..........</td>
<td>Cabernet Sauvignon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Riesling ......</td>
<td>Trousseau gris.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscat Frontignan ....</td>
<td>Muscat blanc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscat Pantelleria .....</td>
<td>Muscat of Alexandria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa Gamay .............</td>
<td>Valdiguié.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinot Saint George ....</td>
<td>Négrete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauvignon vert ..........</td>
<td>Muscadelle.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Wines bottled prior to January 1, 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative name</th>
<th>Prime name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johannisberg Riesling</td>
<td>Riesling.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * *


John W. Magaw,
Director.


John P. Simpson,
Deputy Assistant Secretary (Regulatory, Tariff & Trade Enforcement).

[FR Doc. 98-34844 Filed 12-31-98; 2:07 pm]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-U

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 917

[KY-219-FOR]

Kentucky Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

SUMMARY: OSM is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Kentucky regulatory program (herein after the “Kentucky program”) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment would change the Kentucky program regulations to authorize silviculture or managed woodland, and fish and wildlife, postmining land uses on mountaintop removal mining operations. The amendment is intended to encourage reforestation and creation of fish and wildlife habitat on reclaimed mine lands.

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., February 5, 1999. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on February 1, 1999. Requests to speak at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., on January 21, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to William J. Kovacic, Director, at the address listed below.

Copies of the Kentucky program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM’s Lexington Field Office.

William J. Kovacic, Director, Lexington Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 2675 Regency Road, Lexington, Kentucky 40503, Telephone: (606) 233-2494

Department of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 2 Hudson Hollow Complex, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601, Telephone: (502) 564-6940

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William J. Kovacic, Director, Lexington Field Office.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Kentucky Program

On May 18, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Kentucky program. Background information on the Kentucky program, including the Secretary’s findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the May 18, 1982, Federal Register (47 FR 21404). Subsequent actions concerning the conditions of approval and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 917.11, 917.13, 917.15, 917.16, and 917.17.

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated December 3, 1998 (Administrative Record No. KY–1445), Kentucky submitted the following proposed amendments to the Kentucky program.

1. 405 KAR 8:050 Section 4. Mountaintop Removal Mining

Section 4.3(a) of Kentucky’s permitting requirements for mountaintop removal mining would be amended as described below. The amended provision is counterpart to the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 785.14(c)(1).

In section 4.3(a), “fish and wildlife” is added as a postmining land use. As amended, section 4.3(a) reads as follows: “1. An industrial, commercial, agricultural, fish and wildlife, residential, or public facility (including recreational facilities) use is proposed and approved for the affected land; or.”

New section 4.3(b) is added to authorize silviculture or managed woodland as a postmining land use on mountaintop removal mining operations. As amended, section 4.3(b) reads as follows: “Forest land use, if the forest will be managed for silviculture or commercial woodland and a flat or gently rolling land surface is necessary for the operation of mechanical harvesting equipment, is proposed and approved for the affected land;”

III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Kentucky program.

Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter’s recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under DATES or at locations other than the Lexington Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of sections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National
Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4322(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 917

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.


Michael K. Robinson,
Acting Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

ADDRESSES:

Comments may be mailed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule and EPA’s evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA’s Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule are also available for inspection at the following locations:

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182
California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 “L” Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Applicability

The rule being proposed for approval into the California SIP is South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1150.1, Control of Gaseous Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. This rule was submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on June 23, 1998. This Federal Register action for the SCAQMD excludes the Los Angeles County portion of the Southeast Desert AQMA, otherwise known as the Antelope Valley Region in Los Angeles County, which is now under the jurisdiction of the Antelope Valley Air Pollution Control District as of July 1, 1997.

II. Background

On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a list of ozone nonattainment areas under the provisions of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977 (1977 CAA or pre-amended Act), that included the Los Angeles-South Coast Air Basin Area. 43 FR 8964; 40 CFR 81.305. On May 26, 1988, EPA notified the Governor of California, pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(H) of the pre-amended Act, that the SCAQMD’s portion of the California SIP was inadequate to attain and maintain the ozone standard and requested that deficiencies in the existing SIP be corrected (EPA’s SIP-Cal). On November 15, 1990, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 were enacted, Pub. L. 101-549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q. In amended section 182(a)(2)(A) of the CAA, Congress statutorily adopted the requirement that nonattainment areas fix their deficient reasonably available control technology (RACT) rules for ozone and established a deadline of May 15, 1991 for states to submit corrections of those deficiencies.

Section 182(a)(2)(A) applies to areas designated as nonattainment prior to enactment of the amendments and classified as marginal or above as of the date of enactment. It requires such areas to adopt and correct RACT rules pursuant to pre-amended section 172(b) as interpreted in pre-amendment guidance.

1 The State has recently changed the names and boundaries of the air basins located within the Southeast Desert Modified AQMA. Pursuant to State regulation the Coachella-San Jacinto Planning Area is now part of the Salton Sea Air Basin (17 Cal. Code Reg. § 6014); the Victor Valley/Bartow region in San Bernardino County and Antelope Valley region in Los Angeles County is a part of the Mojave Desert Air Basin (17 Cal. Code Reg. § 6010). In addition, in 1996 the California Legislature established a new local air agency, the Antelope Valley Air Pollution Control District, to have the responsibility for local air pollution planning and measures in the Antelope Valley region (California Health & Safety Code § 40106).

2 Among other things, the pre-amendment guidance consists of those portions of the proposed post-1987 ozone and carbon monoxide policy that concern RACT, 52 FR 45444 (November 24, 1987); “Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviations, Clarification to Appendix D of November 24, 1987 Federal Register Notice” (Blue Book) (notice of availability was published in the Federal Register on May 25, 1988);