

sharing mechanism and revenue sharing amounts determined for remittance to eligible firm shippers for I/O revenue collected for the November 1, 1997 through October 31, 1998 period, in accordance with Article IV of the Settlement. Great Lakes states that I/O revenue collected for the applicable period did not exceed the fixed costs allocated to I/O services threshold level. Therefore, revenue subject to sharing was zero. Great Lakes further states that as revenue subject to sharing was zero, it did not make any remittances to eligible firm shippers for I/O Revenue Sharing for the November 1, 1997 through October 31, 1998 period.

Great Lakes states that copies of the report were sent to its firm customers, parties to this proceeding and the Public Service Commissions of Minnesota, Wisconsin and Michigan.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before January 4, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-34602 Filed 12-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-189-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

December 24, 1998.

Take notice that on December 22, 1998, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective January 22, 1999.

Twenty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 20
Twenty-third Revised Sheet No. 21
Twenty-fourth Revised Sheet No. 22
Twenty-seventh Revised Sheet No. 24
Second Revised Sheet No. 2708

Koch filed a request with the Commission for authorization to change

the SLN numbering scheme on what was formerly Mobile Bay Pipeline Company. The numbering change will be made at the date of Mobile Bay's transfer into Koch. In addition, Koch is adding two new SLN's to the lost of SLN's that are subject to the incremental transportation rates currently in place on the supply lateral.

Koch states that copies of this filing have been served upon Koch's customers, state commissions and other interested parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-34594 Filed 12-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OA97-402-003; and OA97-460-003]

Louisville Gas and Electric Co.; Kentucky Utilities Co.; Notice of Filing

December 23, 1998.

Take notice that on December 14, 1998, the above-named companies submitted revised standards of conduct in response to the Commission's September 29, 1998 Order on Standards of Conduct. 84 FERC ¶ 61,320 (1998).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest the filings should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before January 6, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the

appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-34621 Filed 12-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-116-000]

Mississippi River Transmission Corp., Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

December 23, 1998.

Take notice that on December 15, 1998, as supplemented December 21, 1998, Mississippi River Transmission Corp. (MRT), 525 Milam, P.O. Box 21734, Shreveport, Louisiana 71151-0001, filed in Docket No. CP99-116-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to abandon, construct and operate certain facilities in St. Clair County, Illinois, under MRT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-489-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT proposes to upgrade an existing delivery point on its Line A-287 to accommodate a request for increased deliveries to Solutia, Inc. (Solutia). Specifically, MRT proposes to abandon by removal two 3-inch orifice meter tubes and to replace them with two 4-inch orifice meter tubes. It is stated that MRT installed the facilities under Commission authorization in Docket No. G-291. MRT states that the upgraded facilities would be used to deliver up to 12,360 MMBtu equivalent of natural gas on a peak day and 3,504,000 MMBtu equivalent on an annual basis.

MRT also proposes to install a separate positive meter station for Solutia's office facilities. It is stated that the meter station would be used for the delivery of up to 300 MMBtu equivalent on a peak day and 54,750 MMBtu on an annual basis. It is estimated that the cost of the measurement facilities would be \$45,035, and it is stated that MRT