provide advice to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and through the DDR&E to the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments in planning and managing an effective and economical research and development program in the area of electron devices.

The AGED meeting will be limited to review of research and development programs which the Military Departments propose to initiate with industry, universities or in their laboratories. The agenda for this meeting will include programs on Radiation Hardened Devices, Microwave Tubes, Displays and Lasers. The review will include details of classified defense programs throughout.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Pub. L. No. 92–463, as amended, (5 U.S.C. App. § 10(d) (1994)), it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3)(A) (1994), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.


L.M. Bynum,
Alternate, OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.
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BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary of Defense

Meeting of the DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Working Group A (Microwave Devices) of the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) announces a closed session meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held at 0900, Tuesday, January 19, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at Palisades Institute for Research Services, 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 500, Arlington, VA 22202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cox, AGED Secretariat, 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Square Four, Suite 500, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The mission of the Advisory Group is to provide advice to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and through the DDR&E to the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and the Military Departments in planning and managing an effective and economical research and development program in the area of electron devices.

The Working Group A meeting will be limited to review of research and development programs which the Military Departments propose to initiate with industry, universities or in their laboratories. The agenda for this meeting will include programs on Radiation Hardened Devices, Microwave Tubes, Displays and Lasers. The review will include details of classified defense programs throughout.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Pub. L. No. 92–463, as amended, (5 U.S.C. App. § 10(d) (1994)), it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3)(A) (1994), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.


L.M. Bynum,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.
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DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99–51–003]
Algonquin Gas Transmission Company: Notice of Supplemental Compliance Filing


Take notice that on December 8, 1998, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin) submitted for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to become effective January 11, 1999:

Second Revised Sheet No. 658A

Fifth Revised Sheet No. 659

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 660

Algonquin asserts that the above listed tariff sheets are being filed to supplement Algonquin’s earlier filings in the Docket Nos. RP99–51–000 and RP99–51–001 to comply with the Commission’s Order No. 587–H, Final Rule Adopting Standards for Intraday Nominations and Order Establishing Implementation Date (Order No. 587–H) issued on July 15, 1998, in Docket No. RM96–1–008. Algonquin states that in its answer to a protest filed by Yankee Gas Services Company (Yankee) asserting that Algonquin’s filings eliminated the flexibility in Algonquin’s tariff to make hourly nomination changes, Algonquin stated that it had reevaluated the nomination flexibility in its filings and that it would submit tariff sheets to reinstate the flexibility which existed prior to Algonquin’s filings in the referenced docket, with the addition of the GISB intraday requirements as minimum standards. Algonquin states that these tariff sheets incorporate to the maximum extent possible the nomination flexibility previously included in Algonquin’s Tariff.

Algonquin states that copies of the filing were mailed to all affected customers of Algonquin and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.21 of the Commission’s Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission’s Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission.