

1910.7 for the renewal and expansion of recognition, subject to the above conditions and limitations. This preliminary finding does not constitute an interim or temporary approval of the applications for ITSNA.

OSHA welcomes public comments, in sufficient detail, as to whether ITSNA has met the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.7 for the renewal and expansion of its recognition as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory. Your comment should consist of pertinent written documents and exhibits. To consider it, OSHA must receive the comment at the address provided above (see ADDRESSES), no later than the last date for comments (see DATES above). You may obtain or review copies of ITSNA's applications, the on-site review reports, and all submitted comments, as received, by contacting the Docket Office, Room N2625, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, at the above address. You should refer to Docket No. NRTL-1-89, the permanent record of public information on ITSNA's recognition.

The NRTL Program staff will review all timely comments, and after resolution of issues raised by these comments, will recommend whether to grant ITSNA's renewal and expansion requests. The Assistant Secretary will make the final decision on granting the renewal and expansion, and in making this decision, may undertake other proceedings that are prescribed in Appendix A to 29 CFR Section 1910.7. OSHA will publish a public notice of this final decision in the **Federal Register**.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of November, 1998.

Charles N. Jeffress,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-33406 Filed 12-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. NRTL-2-90]

SGS U. S. Testing Company Inc., Correction of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice corrects the renewal and expansion of recognition recently granted to SGS U.S. Testing Company Inc.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This correction becomes effective on December 17, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernard Pasquet, NRTL Program, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N3653, Washington, D.C. 20210, or phone (202) 219-7056.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice of Final Decision

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is correcting the renewal and expansion of recognition granted to SGS U.S. Testing Company Inc. (SGS), on August 28, 1998 (see 463 FR 6084). OSHA recognizes an organization as an NRTL, and processes applications related to such recognitions, following requirements in Section 1910.7 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR 1910.7), and in Appendix A to this section. This correction notice falls outside the requirements of this section, and will be the only notice that OSHA will publish on this matter.

The current addresses of the two sites which OSHA has recognized for SGS are: SGS U.S. Testing Company Inc., 555 Telegraph Road, Los Angeles, California 90040; SGS U.S. Testing Company Inc., 291 Fairfield Avenue, Fairfield, New Jersey 07004.

In the August 28 notice, OSHA renewed the recognition of the SGS site in Los Angeles, which had received its original recognition under the name U.S. Testing Company, Inc., California Division. OSHA also expanded the recognition of SGS to include the additional site located in Fairfield, and an additional 5 test standards. However, OSHA recognized the Fairfield site only for these 5 test standards, since they were part of the application for this site, and renewed the recognition of the Los Angeles site only for the 16 test standards of the original recognition.

Such a "site-specific" recognition of test standards is inconsistent with the recognition that OSHA has granted to other NRTLs that operate multiple sites. For these NRTLs, OSHA generally recognizes the NRTL for the test standards for which it is qualified, and the NRTL may then use a site to perform product testing and certifications only to the test standards for which the site has the proper capability and programs. OSHA does not limit recognition of a site to particular test standards unless that site's capability is limited. In the case of SGS, OSHA did not intend to impose a site-specific limitation, but

just granted what it believed SGS had requested.

Just prior to publication of the August 28 notice, SGS informed OSHA that it had intended to request recognition of its existing and the additional standards for both the Los Angeles and Fairfield sites. SGS also inquired about the possibility of changing the notice, however the deadline for changing the notice had passed. The NRTL Program staff did review the application and the on-site review report to confirm that a site-specific limitation was not necessary.

SGS has formally requested, in a letter dated September 3, 1998 (see Exhibit 12), that OSHA correct the August 28 notice. The NRTL Program staff has determined that the site-specific recognition of the test standards is due to the way that SGS submitted its request for the expansion, and not due to any apparent lack of capability or other factor that would warrant a limitation. The staff therefore recommended to the Assistant Secretary that OSHA correct the recognition of SGS to reflect that it is recognized for 21 test standards and not for particular standards at each site. However, the staff recommendation includes the condition that SGS use a site to perform testing and certifications only to the test standards for which the site has the proper capability and programs.

Based on the recommendation of the staff, the Assistant Secretary is correcting the recognition of SGS to reflect that it is recognized for 21 test standards and not for particular standards at each site. This correction is subject to the above condition recommended by staff. All other terms and conditions of SGS' recognition as an NRTL remain the same.

For information purposes, the 21 test standards are relisted below.

ANSI/UL 1 Flexible Metal Conduit
ANSI/UL 3 Flexible Nonmetallic Tubing for Electric Wiring
ANSI/UL 94 Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances
ANSI/UL 250 Household Refrigerators and Freezers
ANSI/UL 514A Metallic Outlet Boxes, Electrical
UL 544 Electric Medical and Dental Equipment
ANSI/UL 632 Electrically Actuated Transmitters
ANSI/UL 751 Vending Machines
ANSI/UL 913 Intrinsically Safe Apparatus and Associated Apparatus for Use in Class I, II, and III, Division I, Hazardous (Classified) Locations
ANSI/UL 1012 Power Supplies
UL 1236 Electric Battery Chargers
UL 1270 Radio Receivers, Audio Systems, and Accessories

ANSI/UL 1418 Implosion-Protected Cathode-Ray Tubes for Television-Type Appliances
 UL 1459 Telephone Equipment
 ANSI/UL 1484 Residential Gas Detectors
 ANSI/UL 1571 Incandescent Lighting Fixtures
 UL 1604 Electrical Equipment for Use in Class I and II, Division 2 and Class III Hazardous (Classified) Locations
 ANSI/UL 1950 Information Technology Equipment Including Electrical Business Equipment
 UL 2601-1 Medical Electrical Equipment, Part 1: General Requirements for Safety
 UL 3101-1 Electrical Equipment for Laboratory Use; Part 1: General Requirements
 UL 3111-1 Electrical Measuring and Test Equipment, Part 1: General

A copy of SGS' September 3, 1998 letter to OSHA is available for inspection and duplication at the Docket Office, Room N2625, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, at the above address. The letter will be available under Docket No. NRTL-2-90, the permanent record of public information on SGS' recognition.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 8th day of December, 1998.

Charles N. Jeffress,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-33407 Filed 12-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-4]

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Materials License SNM-2503; Duke Power Company; Oconee Nuclear Station Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment 5 to Materials License No. SNM-2503 held by Duke Power Company for the receipt, possession, storage, and transfer of spent fuel at the Oconee independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI), located in Seneca, South Carolina. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

By application dated January 19, 1998, Duke Power Company requested an amendment to revise Materials License SNM-2503 and the Technical Specifications for the Oconee ISFSI to change its corporate name from Duke Power Company to Duke Energy Corporation.

This amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended

(the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

In accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(2), a determination has been made that the amendment does not present a genuine issue as to whether public health and safety will be significantly affected. Therefore, the publication of a notice of proposed action and an opportunity for hearing or a notice of hearing is not warranted. Notice is hereby given of the right of interested persons to request a hearing by December 31, 1998, on whether the action should be rescinded or modified.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of the amendment meets the criteria for a categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10)(ii) of the regulations. Therefore, an environmental assessment need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the amendment.

Documents related to this action are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room located at the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of November 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William F. Kane,

Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 98-33432 Filed 12-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-8681-MLA-5; ASLBP No. 99-758-02-MLA]

International Uranium (USA) Corporation; Designation of Presiding Officer

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 FR 28710 (1972), and Sections 2.105, 2.700, 2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717 and 2.1207 (1998) of the Commission's Regulations, a single member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel is hereby designated to rule on petitions for leave to intervene and/or requests for hearing and, if necessary, to serve as the Presiding Officer to conduct an informal adjudicatory hearing in the following proceeding.

International Uranium (USA) Corporation (IUSA) (Request for Material License Amendment)

The hearing, if granted, will be conducted pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Subpart L of the Commission's Regulations, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings." This proceeding concerns requests for hearing submitted by the State of Utah, Envirocare of Utah, Inc., the Navajo Utah Commission of the Navajo Nation Council of San Juan County, Utah, the Concerned Citizens of San Juan County, Utah, and Ken Sleight in response to a notice of receipt of an application of the International Uranium (USA) Corporation to amend its license. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** at 63 Fed. Reg. 59340 (November 3, 1998).

The Presiding Officer in this proceeding is Administrative Judge Peter B. Bloch. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR § 2.722 (1998), Administrative Judge Richard F. Cole has been appointed to assist the Presiding Officer in taking evidence and in preparing a suitable record for review.

All correspondence, documents and other materials shall be filed with Judge Bloch and Judge Cole in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1203. Their addresses are:

Administrative Judge Peter B. Bloch,
 Presiding Officer, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555;
 Dr. Richard F. Cole, Special Assistant, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of December 1998.

B. Paul Cotter, Jr.,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

[FR Doc. 98-33429 Filed 12-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-443]

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation, et al.; Notice of Withdrawal of Applications for Amendments to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has permitted North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation's (NAESCO) withdrawal of