

and disposal of the fill known as "black beauty" in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, and regrading, replanting, monitoring, and maintenance of the restored wetlands.

The United States Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Judgment for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to David A. Carson, Environment & Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Suite 945—North Tower, 999 18th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202, and should refer to *Reichelt v. United States Army Corps of Engineers*, No. 2:93 CV 332 AR (N.D. Ind.), DJ #90-5-1-6-560.

The proposed Consent Judgment may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, Hammond Division, 507 State Street, Hammond, Indiana 46320.

**Letitia J. Grishaw,**

*Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 98-32029 Filed 12-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

Notice is hereby given that on November 17, 1998, a proposed Material Modification of Consent Decree and Final Order Between United States of America; State of Missouri; Syntex Corporation; Syntex (U.S.A.) Inc.; Syntex Laboratories, Inc.; and Syntex Agribusiness, Inc. To Address LeMar Drive and McDonnell Park Sites (the Material Modification) was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri in *United States v. Russell Martin Bliss, et al.* (the *Missouri Dioxin Litigation*), Civil Action No. 84-200C-1 (Consolidated).

The Material Modification amends the Consent Decree, entered by the Court on December 31, 1990, between the United States, the State of Missouri and the Syntex defendants under, *inter alia*, Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, and Section 7003 of the Resource, Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA),

42 U.S.C. 6973, pursuant to which 28 eastern Missouri dioxin-contaminated sites were remediated and the wastes incinerated at the twenty-eighth site, Times Beach, Missouri. After the satisfactory completion of the work pursuant to that settlement, the incinerator was removed and Times Beach was rededicated as Route 66 State Park. The Material Modification resolves similar potential claims in connection with two subsequently-discovered dioxin sites in St. Louis County, Missouri, the LeMar Drive Site in Ellisville, Missouri and the McDonnell Park Site near St. Ann, Missouri. Pursuant to the proposed settlement, EPA will excavate dioxin-contaminated materials and restore the Sites and the Syntex defendants will contract to incinerate the dioxin-contaminated materials at a commercial facility operated by Safety-Kleen Services, Inc. in Coffeyville, Kansas, which is permitted to incinerate dioxin, and properly dispose of the ash.

For thirty (30) days following this publication, the Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Material Modification. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Russell Martin Bliss, et al.* (the *Missouri Dioxin Litigation*), Civil Action No. 84-200C-1 (Consolidated), DOJ No. 90-11-2-41H. Also, pursuant to Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d), opportunity for a public meeting on the proposed settlement in the affected area shall be afforded if requested.

The proposed Material Modification may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of Missouri, United States Court and Custom House, 1114 Market Street—Room 401, St. Louis, Missouri 63101. The Material Modification may also be examined at, or a copy obtained in person or by mail from, the United States Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW—3d Floor, Washington, DC 20005.

In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$31.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost).

**Joel M. Gross,**

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 98-32032 Filed 12-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Applicant Background Questionnaire

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management (OASAM), Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Department of Labor is soliciting comments concerning the proposed revision of the "Applicant Background Questionnaire".

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before February 1, 1999.

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other