

within the meaning of 19 CFR 353.28 (d), *i.e.*, an error in arithmetic functions of the calculation program. We have corrected the program so that the result of the unit duty calculation program is no longer multiplied by a factor of 100. This correction affects only the importer-specific assessment rates, not the margin calculated in the final results.

We also note one additional ministerial error not raised by the parties in this review. In the final results **Federal Register** notice, the Department stated that "[f]or assessment purposes, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total entered value of sales examined during the POR." 63 FR at 55590. This statement is incorrect, and does not reflect the margin calculation program disclosed to the parties with the final results of this review. As stated above, the record of this review does not contain data on the entered value of the sales examined during the POR. Therefore, for the final results of this review we calculated the duty amount to be collected from each importer on a unit basis, *i.e.*, a ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR, not a ratio of antidumping duties to the entered value of these sales.

Amended Final Results of Review

Upon correction of the ministerial errors described above, the margin remains unchanged from the final results published in the **Federal Register** on October 16, 1998. However, as discussed above, the importer-specific assessment rates will change from those disclosed to the parties with the final results. We will instruct the Customs Service accordingly.

Manufacturer/ Exporter	Period	Margin
Saha Thai	3/1/96-2/28/97	1.92%

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department shall issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service. As a result of this review, we have determined that the importer-specific duty assessments rates are necessary. For assessment purposes, therefore, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the

total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements shall be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of review for all shipments of certain welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate stated above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in these reviews, or the original LTFV investigations, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in these reviews, the cash deposit rate for this case will continue to be 15.67 percent, the "All Others" rate made effective by the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This amended administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and sections 353.22 and 353.28(c) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: November 18, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-31555 Filed 11-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of California at Los Angeles; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 98-004R. *Applicant:* University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547.

Instrument: YAG Pumped Dye Laser. *Manufacturer:* Spectron Laser Systems, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 63 FR 8164, February 18, 1998.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides: (1) an internal modular three bar resonator design, (2) operation in "tophat" mode to minimize beam divergence and (3) an internal cavity telescope that compensates for the thermal loading on the laser rod. These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 98-31552 Filed 11-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part