Additionally, an alternate route is available by transiting Rigolets Pass, approximately 20 miles east of Chef Menteur Pass, via the Intracoastal Waterway. Thus, the economic impact is expected to be minimal. There is no indication that other waterway users would suffer any type of economic hardship if they are precluded from transiting the waterway during the two hours per day that the draw is scheduled to remain in the closed-tonavigation position. Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities

#### **Collection of Information**

This proposal contains no collectionof-information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### Federalism

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that the proposed rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment. The authority to regulate the permits of bridges over the navigable waters of the U.S. belongs to the Coast Guard by Federal statutes.

#### **Environment**

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposal and concluded that under Figure 2–1, paragraph 32(e) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this proposal is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Part 117 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

## PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

#### §§ 117.435 and 117.436 [Redesignated]

2. § 117.435 is redesignated as § 117.434; § 117.436 is redesignated as

§ 117.435; and a new § 117.436 is added to read as follows:

#### §117.436 Chef Menteur Pass.

The draw of the U.S. Highway 90 bridge, mile 2.8, at Lake Catherine, shall open on signal; except that, from 5:30 a.m. to 7:30 a.m. Monday through Friday except Federal holidays, the draw need not be opened for passage of vessels. The draw shall open at any time for a vessel in distress.

Dated: October 2, 1998.

#### Paul J. Pluta,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 98–30595 Filed 11–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–M

#### **PRESIDIO TRUST**

36 CFR Parts 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, and 1006

RIN 3212-AA01

#### Management of the Presidio

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; partial extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: This action extends until January 8, 1999 the period for public comment on a portion of the proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 50024–50055) on September 18, 1998 concerning management of the area under the administrative jurisdiction of the Presidio Trust (proposed 36 CFR Parts 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005 and 1006). The period for public comment on the remaining portion of this proposed rule (proposed 36 CFR Parts 1007, 1008, and 1009) closed on November 17, 1998.

DATES: Comments on Parts 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005 and 1006 of the proposed rule must be received by January 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on Parts 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005 and 1006 of the proposed rule must be sent to Karen A. Cook, General Counsel, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen A. Cook, General Counsel, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052. Telephone: 415–561–5300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By publication in the **Federal Register** on September 18, 1998 (63 FR 50024–50055), the Presidio Trust proposed regulations for management of the

Presidio and for exercising its authorities in the following nine parts:

Part 1001—General provisions

Part 1002—Resource protection, public use and recreation

Part 1003—Vehicles and traffic safety Part 1004—Commercial and private operations

Part 1005—Rights-of-way

Part 1006—Presidio Trust symbols

Part 1007—Requests under the Freedom of Information Act

Part 1008—Requests under the Privacy Act Part 1009—Administrative claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act

The area under the administrative iurisdiction of the Presidio Trust was formerly under the administrative jurisdiction of the National Park Service (NPS) and is adjacent to an area that continues to be under the administrative jurisdiction of the NPS. At the request of the NPS, the Presidio Trust is extending the public comment period on Parts 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005 and 1006 of the proposed regulations from the original deadline of November 17, 1998 to January 8, 1999. In the meantime, the Presidio Trust's final interim regulations at 36 CFR Parts 1001, 1002, 1004, and 1005, which were adopted by the Presidio Trust and published in the **Federal Register** on June 30, 1998 (63 FR 35694), will remain in effect.

The comment period on Parts 1007, 1008, and 1009 of the proposed regulations closed on November 17, 1998, and the Trust expects to issue final regulations on these topics following consideration of comments received.

**Authority:** Pub. L. 104–333, 110 Stat. 4097 (16 U.S.C. 460bb note).

Dated: November 12, 1998.

### Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 98–30786 Filed 11–17–98; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[AL-048-1-9901b; FRL-6188-8]

# Approval and Promulgation of State Plans For Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Alabama

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the section 111(d)/129 State Plan submitted by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) for

the State of Alabama on September 11, 1998, for implementing and enforcing the Emissions Guidelines applicable to existing Municipal Waste Combustors with capacity to combust more than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste. The Plan was submitted by the ADEM to satisfy certain Federal Člean Air Act requirements. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the Alabama State Implementation Plan revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by December 18, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Kimberly Bingham at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the day of the visit.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–3104.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Air Division, 1751 Congressman W.L. Dickinson Drive, Montgomery, Alabama 36109.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kimberly Bingham at (404) 562-9038 or Scott Davis at (404) 562-9127.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: November 4, 1998.

#### A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 98–30603 Filed 11–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[FRL-6175-3]

Delegation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories; State of Arizona; Pinal County Air Quality Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 112(l) of the 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA), the Pinal County Air Quality Control District (PCAQCD) requested delegation of specific national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs). In the Rules section of this Federal **Register**, EPA is granting PCAQCD the authority to implement and enforce specified NESHAPs. The direct final rule also explains the procedure for future delegation of NESHAPs to PCAQCD. EPA is taking direct final action without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by December 18, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the submitted requests are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours (docket number A–96–25).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1200.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns delegation of unchanged NESHAPs to the Pinal County Air Quality Control District. For further information, please see the

information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Section 7412.

Dated: September 28, 1998.

#### David P. Howekamp,

Director, Air Division, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 98-30723 Filed 11-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### 48 CFR Part 970

RIN 1991-AB02

Acquisition Regulation: Financial Management Clauses for Management and Operating (M&O) Contracts

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) proposes to amend its Acquisition Regulation to designate certain Department of Energy Acquisition Regulation (DEAR) M&O contract clauses and Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clauses as Standard Financial Management Clauses to be included in M&O contracts unless the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) concurs in a deviation. Additionally, this proposed rule will revise selected existing financial management clauses and add financial management related clauses.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted no later than January 19, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to: Michael L. Righi, Office of Policy (HR–51), Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael L. Righi (202–586–8175) at the address above.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Background
- II. Detailed List of Changes
- III. Public Comments
- IV. Procedural Requirements
  - A. Review Under Executive Order 12866
  - B. Review Under Executive Order 12988
  - C. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility
    Act
- D. Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act
- E. Review Under Executive Order 12612
- F. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act
- G. Review Under Small Business Regulation Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996