

NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the allowed time, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-29880 Filed 11-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-107-000]

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 3, 1998.

Take notice that on October 30, 1998, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed on Appendix A to the filing, to become effective December 1, 1998.

Panhandle states that this filing removes the Stranded Transportation Cost Volumetric Surcharge applicable to Rate Schedules IT and EIT for the reconciliation recovery period established in Docket No. RP97-536-000. In accordance with Section 18.13(c)(5) of the GT&C the reconciliation recovery period will terminate on November 30, 1998. Accordingly, Panhandle proposes to remove the 3.00¢ per Dt. Section 18.13 Stranded Transportation Cost Volumetric Surcharge.

Panhandle further states that this filing removes from its currently effective rates the Miscellaneous Stranded Cost Reservation Surcharge applicable to Rate Schedules FT, EFT and LFT and the Miscellaneous Stranded Cost Volumetric Surcharge applicable to Rate Schedule SCT established in a February 12, 1997 Stipulation and Agreement (February 12, 1997 Settlement) in Docket No. RP96-260-000. Accordingly, pursuant to Article I, Section 3(d)(ii) of the February 12, 1997 Settlement Panhandle proposes to remove the \$0.02 per Dt. Initial Docket No. RP96-260-000 Settlement Reservation Surcharge applicable to Rate Schedules FT, EFT and LFT and the 0.13¢ per Dt. Initial Docket No. RP96-260-000 Settlement

Volumetric Surcharge applicable to Rate Schedule SCT.

Panhandle also states that this filing removes from its currently effective rates the Carryover GSR Settlement Interruptible Rate Component applicable to interruptible transportation service provided under Rate Schedules IT and EIT. The Carryover GSR Settlement Interruptible Rate Component, which was established in Docket No. RP98-27-000, was approved by Commission letter order issued November 28, 1997. In accordance with the Commission's letter order issued November 28, 1997 the GSR Settlement Carryover Period will terminate on November 30, 1998.

Accordingly, Panhandle proposes to remove the 8.44¢ per Dt. Carryover GSR Settlement Interruptible Rate Component applicable to Rate Schedules IT and EIT.

Panhandle states that copies of this filing are being served on all affected customers and applicable state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-29873 Filed 11-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GT99-4-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

November 3, 1998.

Take notice that on October 29, 1998, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), filed the following: (1) a Blanket Authorization Agreement among Tennessee, Boston Gas Company

(“Boston Gas”) and Enron Capital & Trade Resources Corporation (“Enron”); (2) a Blanket Authorization Agreement among Tennessee, Boston Gas and El Paso Energy Marketing Company (“El Paso Marketing”); (3) a Blanket Authorization Agreement among Tennessee, Essex County Gas Company (“Essex”) and Enron; (4) a Blanket Authorization Agreement among Tennessee, Essex and El Paso Marketing; (5) First Revised Sheet No. 413 of Tennessee's FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1 (“Volume No. 1 Tariff”); and (6) Alternate First Revised Sheet No. 413 of Tennessee's Volume No. 1 Tariff.

Tennessee states that the four Blanket Authorization Agreements are being submitted for Commission approval because the Blanket Authorization Agreements contain language which differs from Pro Forma Blanket Authorization Agreement contained in Tennessee's Volume No. 1 Tariff. Tennessee further states that, pursuant to Section 154.112(b) of the Commission's regulations, it is submitting First Revised Sheet No. 413 with an effective date of December 1, 1998. However, Boston Gas and Essex strongly desire that they be able to effectuate the terms of the four Blanket Authorization Agreements by November 1, 1998. Therefore, at their behest, Tennessee is also submitting with this filing Alternate First Revised Sheet No. 413 with an effective date of November 1, 1998 in the event that the Commission approves these customers' deemed request for a waiver of the 30-day notice requirement of the Commission's regulations.

Tennessee submits that the four Blanket Authorization Agreements contain provisions which differ from the Pro Forma Blanket Authorization Agreement in several respects. First, the Blanket Authorization Agreements allow Boston Gas and Essex (the “Contract Holders”), to delegate agency authority to both Enron and El Paso Marketing (the “Blanket Agents”), rather than to a single agent. Second, the Blanket Authorization Agreements allow the Contract Holders to delegate only some of the Contract Holders' service agreements on the Tennessee's system and for specified contract quantities for each agreement. Third, the term provisions of the Blanket Authorization Agreements have been modified to reflect an automatic termination of the Blanket Authorization Agreements on March 31, 1999. Finally, the term provision of the Blanket Authorization Agreements has also been modified to allow any Party to terminate the Blanket Authorization