

Treatment Plant and South Bay Ocean Outfall, Updated Information, Interim Operation, Tijuana River, San Diego, CA, Due: November 30, 1998, Contact: Elizabeth Borowiec (415) 744-1165.

U.S. EPA had applied to the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) under Section 1502(c)(4) of the CEQ Regulations for the Approval of Alternative Procedures. CEQ has approved the request by EPA for a 30-day Review Period.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98-29841 Filed 11-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

ER-FRL-5496-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 19, 1998 Through October 23, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1998 (62 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-DOA-G36149-OK. Rating LO, Double Creek Watershed Plan, Implementation, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, National Economic Development (NED), Town of Ramona, Washington and Osage Counties, OK.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the lead agency's preferred alternative as described in the DEIS.

ERP No. D-FAA-E51046-NC. Rating EC2, Charlotte/Douglas International Airport, Construction and Operation, New Runway 17/35 (Future 18L/36R) Associated Taxiway Improvements, Master Plan Development, Approval Airport Layout Plan (ALP) and COE Section 404 Permit, Mecklenburg County, NC.

Summary: EPA's review found that the noise analysis was deficient and needs to be redone. Both general and

transportation conformity criteria must be met for the project to go forward.

ERP No. D-FHW-L40209-WA. Rating EC2, WA-16/Union Avenue Vicinity to WA-302 Vicinity of Tacoma Improvements, Construction, Funding, Coast Guard Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Pierce County, WA.

Summary: EPA had concerns with the likely increase of urban growth and the resulting impact. EPA requested that these issues be fully discussed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-NOA-E39044-FL. Rating LO, Guana, Tolomato, Matanzas, Site Designation, National Estuarine Research Reserve, Management Plan, City of Jacksonville, St. Johns and Flagler Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA supports the proposed action.

ERP No. D-NOA-E39045-MS. Rating EC2, Grand Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR), Designation, To Conduct Research, Educational Project and Construction, East of the City of Biloxi, Jackson County, MS.

Summary: EPA requested additional information on phosphogypsum waste storage facility impacts on ground surface water quality. Comments were made on rock reed wastewater cell maintenance problems compared to conventional septic tank systems.

ERP No. DS-NOA-A64057-00. Rating EC2, Comprehensive Amendment Addressing Essential Fish Habitat in Fishery Management Plans for the South Atlantic Region for Shrimp, Red Drum, Coral, Coral Reefs and Live/Hard Bottom Habitat, Spiny Lobster, Snapper-Grouper, Coastal Migratory Pelagics and Golden Crab, South Atlantic Region.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the Calico Scallop Fishery Management Plan contained data that was too old to fully assess impact of the fishery and collateral impacts threatened and endangered species. EPA requested that these issues be fully discussed in the next environmental document.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BLM-G65021-00. Rio Grande Corridor Coordinated Resource Management Plan and Taos Management Plan Amendment, Activity-Level-Plans, Implementation, NM and CO.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-L08053-00. Lower Valley Transmission Project, Construction of a New 115 kV Transmission Line from Swan Valley Substation near Swan Valley, Special-

Use-Permits, Bonneville and Teton Counties, ID and Teton County, WY.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-JUS-K80035-CA. Service Processing Center (SPC) for Detainees, Construction and Operation, Possible Sites, Stockton and Tracy Sites, San Joaquin Counties, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98-29842 Filed 11-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6183-4]

Extension of the Policy on Enforcement of RCRA Section 3004(j) Storage Prohibition at Facilities Generating Mixed Radioactive/Hazardous Waste

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Policy statement.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing a limited extension of its policy (56 FR 42730, August 29, 1991) on the civil enforcement of the storage prohibition in sec. 3004(j) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) at facilities that generate "mixed waste" regulated under both the RCRA subtitle C hazardous waste program and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA). The policy affects only mixed wastes that are prohibited from land disposal under the RCRA land disposal restrictions (LDR) and for which there are no available options for treatment or disposal. EPA has determined that for a few of these mixed wastes, treatment technology and disposal capacity still is not commercially available. Based on this determination, EPA is hereby renewing for three years the August 1991 policy for those mixed wastes. For purposes of this policy statement, "available treatment technology and disposal capacity" means that a facility is commercially available to treat or dispose of a particular waste and the facility has either (1) a RCRA permit or interim status; (2) a research, development, and demonstration permit under 40 CFR 270.65; or (3) a land treatment permit under 40 CFR 270.63.