

to Section 4.1(H)(1) of the General Terms and Conditions in Texas Eastern's FERC Gas Tariff to make reference to the Intraday 2 Nomination Cycle. Texas Eastern states that Order No. 587-H confirmed that to comply with the Commission's regulations and Order No. 587-G it is necessary only to provide that firm intra-day nominations have priority over scheduled interruptible service. Also Texas Eastern states that as currently effective, Section 4.1(H)(1) applies only to firm service.

Accordingly, Texas Eastern states that the substitute tariff sheet is filed to change only the monthly references to daily. In addition, Texas Eastern states that, in response to protests filed by the Indicated Shippers and Dynegy Marketing and Trade, the filing adds Section 4.1(H)(3) to provide that any customer which is bumped will be provided notification of the bump in the same manner as provided for notification of OFO's in Texas Eastern's Tariff.

Texas Eastern states that copies of the filing were mailed to all affected customers of Texas Eastern and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-29238 Filed 10-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GT99-3-000]

Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

October 27, 1998.

Take notice that on October 22, 1998, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the

following revised tariff sheets to become effective October 22, 1998:

Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 825
Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 826
Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 827
Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 828
Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 829
Nineteenth Revised Sheet No. 830
Twenty-seventh Revised Sheet No. 831
Twenty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 832
Twenty-fifth Revised Sheet No. 833

Williston Basin states that the revised tariff sheets are being filed simply to update its Master Delivery Point List.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-29236 Filed 10-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-2791-000, et al.]

Arizona Public Service Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 26, 1998.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER98-2791-001]

Take notice that on October 21, 1998, Arizona Public Service Company (APS), tendered for filing a revised unexecuted service agreement for sales made through the California Power Exchange Corporation (PX), under the market based tariff of APS, in compliance to the Commission's Order issued on June 25, 1998, in Docket No. ER98-2791-000.

Copies of this filing have been served on the Arizona Corporation

Commission, the PX and APS' Merchant Group.

Comment date: November 10, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. The Potomac Edison Company, West Penn Power Company, Monongahela Power Company, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Toledo Edison Company, Ohio Edison Company, Pennsylvania Power Company, Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company and Savannah Electric & Power Company v. Virginia Electric & Power Company

[Docket No. EL99-5-000]

Take notice that on October 20, 1998, The Potomac Edison Company, West Penn Power Company, Monongahela Power Company, Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, Savannah Electric & Power Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company, Ohio Edison Company, and Pennsylvania Power Company, tendered for filing a Complaint against Virginia Electric and Power Company arising out of a dispute under the GAPP Experiment Participation Agreement and the Commission's Order Accepting For Filing GAPP Experiment Participation Agreement dated March 25, 1997 (78 FERC ¶ 61, 314).

Comment date: November 25, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. Answers to the Complaint are also due on or before November 25, 1998.

3. Braintree Electric Light Department v. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. EL99-7-000]

Take notice that on October 22, 1998, Braintree Electric Light Department tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a Petition for Declaratory Order Disclaiming Primary Jurisdiction pursuant to Section 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.207): (1) disclaiming primary jurisdiction over breach of contract, and contract amendment and termination issues, raised in Braintree's complaint in the Massachusetts Superior Court for Norfolk County (Case No. 98-01882—*Braintree Electric Light Department v. Boston Edison Company*); and (2) determining that the Massachusetts state court is the appropriate forum for resolving the contract dispute raised before the Commission by Boston