imports of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') imports; and

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Country.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1997 (report quantity data in thousands of units and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country since the Order Date, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: October 21, 1998.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–29293 Filed 10–30–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act, the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree was lodged in *United States* v. *Chevron Industries Inc.*, Civil Action No. C98–3966–MEJ (N.D. Cal.), on October 15, 1998, with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

The case, regarding Chevron's refinery in Richmond, California, is a civil action under Section 309 of the Clean Water Act (''Act''), 33 U.S.C. 1319, for violations of provisions of the Act and of National Pollution Elimination Discharge System (''NPDES'') permits issued in 1987 and 1992. The United States' compliant alleges that Chevron violated the permits' 'no bypass' provisions by routing wastewater around a granular activated carbon facility (''GAC Facility''), and that Chevron violated the permits' acute toxicity limits. The complaint also alleges that Chevron failed to make certain reports and give certain notices required by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601–9765 and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, 42 U.S.C. 11001–11050.

The Consent Decree requires Chevron to pay a penalty of $540,000. The Consent Decree also requires Chevron to increase the design capacity of its GAC Facility to 20 million gallons (''MGD'') a day, and to use that capacity to treat refinery wastewater, except for 3 MGD, which may be treated in an artificial wetland as long as the wetland effluent meets toxicity standards established in the Decree.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments on the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environmental and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and copied to Robert R. Klotz, Environmental Enforcement Section, U.S. Department of Justice, 301 Howard Street, Suite 870, San Francisco, CA 94105. Comments should refer to *United States* v. *Chevron Industries Inc.*, Civil No. C98–3966–MEJ and DOJ No. 90–11–3–1398.

The proposed Chevron (Richmond) consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library 1120 G Street, N.W. 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. To request a copy of the consent decree in *United States* v.*Chevron Industries Inc.*, please refer to that case title, Civil No. C98–3966–MEJ, DOJ No. 90–11–3–1398, and enclose a check for the amount of $10.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 98–29202 Filed 10–30–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act Pursuant to 28 CFR 50.7

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in the case of *United States* v. *Cytec Industries, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. C–2–98–1020, was lodged on October 5, 1998 with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. The proposed consent decree resolves the United States' claims against Cytec Industries, Inc. (''Cytec'') and R. Baker and Sons All Industrial Services, Inc. (''Baker'') under Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), for violations of Section 112(c) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7412(c), and the National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for asbestos, 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M, as