

Los Alamos, NM which meet the definition of "sacred object" under Section 2 of the Act.

The two cultural items are lightning stones.

In 1988, these cultural items were recovered from the Burnt Mesa Pueblo site, located within the Monument boundaries, by Dr. Timothy Kohler of the Washington State University. Based on ceramics, this site has been identified as a Pueblo II occupation, dating to AD 1200-1350.

The two other cultural items are a lightning stone and a kiva bell.

Monument accession records do not record the provenience for a third lightning stone and one kiva bell. However, they are believed to have been recovered from the Monument, as they are similar to the type and appearance of other items found at other sites in the Monument area. The estimated dates of the two objects are between AD 1200-1600 on the basis of similar objects found in the area.

Anthropological, archeological, and oral tradition evidence indicates that the monument area has been continuously occupied by Keres-speaking pueblo groups (including the Pueblo of Acoma, Pueblo of Cochiti, Pueblo of Laguna, Pueblo of San Felipe, Pueblo of Santa Ana, Pueblo of Santo Domingo, and Pueblo of Zia) and the Tewa-speaking pueblo groups (including the Pueblo of Nambe, Pueblo of Pojoaque, Pueblo of San Ildefonso, Pueblo of San Juan, Pueblo of Santa Clara, Pueblo of Tesuque, and the present-day Hano Village at Hopi) since at least AD 1100.

In 1995, representatives of Bandelier National Monument began consultation with the Pueblo of Cochiti as part of its NAGRPA compliance process. Cochiti traditional religious leaders reviewed the Monument's archeological collection and identified these four specific items as needed for the practice of traditional Cochiti religion by present-day adherents. Information regarding the names of the traditional religious leaders and specific ceremonies in which these objects will be used is being withheld from this notice by the Superintendent of the Monument, at the request of the Cochiti representatives, in order not to compromise the Pueblo of Cochiti's code of religious practice.

Based on the above-mentioned information, officials of the National Park Service have determined that, pursuant to 42 CFR 10.2 (d) (3), these four objects are specific ceremonial objects needed by traditional Native American religious leaders for the practice of traditional Native religion by present-day adherents. Officials of the

National Park Service have also determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (e), that there is a shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these objects and the Pueblo of Acoma, Pueblo of Cochiti, Pueblo of Laguna, Pueblo of Nambe, Pueblo of Pojoaque, Pueblo of San Felipe, Pueblo of San Ildefonso, Pueblo of San Juan, Pueblo of Santa Ana, Pueblo of Santa Clara, Pueblo of Santo Domingo, Pueblo of Tesuque, Pueblo of Zia, and Hopi Tribe.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Pueblo of Acoma, Pueblo of Cochiti, Pueblo of Isleta, Pueblo of Jemez, Pueblo of Laguna, Pueblo of Nambe, Pueblo of Pojoaque, Pueblo of Picuris, Pueblo of San Felipe, Pueblo of San Ildefonso, Pueblo of San Juan, Pueblo of Sandia, Pueblo of Santa Ana, Pueblo of Santa Clara, Pueblo of Santo Domingo, Pueblo of Taos, Pueblo of Tesuque, Pueblo of Zia, Pueblo of Zuni, Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas and the Hopi Tribe. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these objects should contact Roy W. Weaver, Superintendent, Bandelier National Monument, National Park Service, HCR 1, Box 1, Suite 15, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544; telephone: (505) 672-3861, ext. 501 before November 2, 1998. Repatriation of these cultural items to the Pueblo of Cochiti will begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: September 28, 1998.

Francis P. McManamon,

Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program.

[FR Doc. 98-26419 Filed 10-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Meeting of the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

DATES: January 7-8, 1999.

TIME: 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Radisson Suite Beach Resort, 600 S. Collier Blvd., Marco Island, Florida.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: September 28, 1998.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.

[FR Doc. 98-26376 Filed 10-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Bureau of Justice Statistics; Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection, Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired; National Crime Victimization Survey, School Crime Supplement.

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. The proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register on July 10, 1998, and allowed 60 days for public comment.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments until November 2, 1998. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to (202) 514-1590.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the collection of information