requirements of this section in effect on the date of inspection.

(5) A foreign-produced peanut lot entered for consumption or for warehouse may be transferred or sold to another person: Provided, That the original importer shall be the importer of record unless the new owner applies for bond and files Customs Service documents pursuant to 19 CFR 141.133 and 141.20: Provided further, That such peanuts must be certified and reported to the Secretary pursuant to paragraphs (f)(2) and (f)(3) of this section.

(6) Payment of the cost of transportation, sampling, inspection, certification, chemical analysis, and Positive Lot Identification, as well as remilling and blanching, and further inspection of remilled and blanched lots, and disposition of failing peanuts, shall be the responsibility of the importer. Whenever an applicant presents peanuts for inspection, the applicant shall furnish any labor and pay any costs incurred in moving, opening containers for sampling, and the shipment of samples as may be necessary for proper sampling and inspection. The inspection service shall bill the applicant for fees covering quality inspections and other certifications as may be necessary to certify edible quality or non-edible quality inspections and other certifications as may be necessary to certify edible quality or non-edible quality. USDA and PAC-approved laboratories shall bill the applicant separately for aflatoxin assay fees. The importer also shall pay Customs Service costs as required by that agency.

(7) Each person subject to this section shall maintain true and complete records of activities and transactions specified in these regulations. Such records and documentation accumulated during entry shall be retained for not less than two years after the calendar year of acquisition, except that Customs Service documents shall be retained as required by that agency. The Secretary, through duly authorized representatives, shall have access to any such person’s premises during regular business hours and shall be permitted, at any such time, to inspect such records and any peanuts held by such person.

(8) The provisions of this section do not supersede any restrictions or prohibitions on peanuts under the Federal Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, any other applicable laws, or regulations of other Federal agencies, including import regulations and procedures of the Customs Service.

Robert C. Keene,
Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 98–23230 Filed 8–28–98; 8:45 am]
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RIN 2120–AA64
Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney JT9D Series Turbofan Engines
AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).
SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Pratt & Whitney (PW) JT9D series turbofan engines. This proposal would require a fluorescent penetrant inspection (FPI) of the rear skirt of the diffuser case for cracks, and, if necessary, blending down to minimum wall thickness to remove cracks and subsequent FPI to determine if cracks have been removed, polishing, and shotpeening. If the cracks are shown by subsequent FPI not to have been removed, this proposed AD would require removing the diffuser case from service and replace with a serviceable part. This proposal is prompted by a report of a diffuser case rupture during takeoff roll that resulted in damage to the aircraft. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent diffuser case rupture due to cracks which can result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft.
DATES: Comments must be received by October 30, 1998.
ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–ANE–28–AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: "9-ad-enginesopr@faa.dot.gov". Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line.
Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; telephone (860) 565–6600, fax (860) 565–4503. This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98–ANE–28–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs


Discussion

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) received a report of a diffuser case rupture on a Pratt & Whitney (PW)
Model JT9D-70 turbofan engine. The diffuser case rupture occurred when the engine was at takeoff power at the beginning of takeoff roll. As a result of the diffuser case rupture both engine side cowl doors, a precooler, and other hardware were ejected from the engine. The escaping gas and engine debris blew out the engine pylon access panels, and created holes, cracks, and other damage to the wing's leading edge, aileron, and flaps. The investigation revealed the diffuser case fracture was due to a crack that most likely developed in a toolmark in the case outer pressure wall in the rear skirt area, adjacent to the dog bone-shaped embossment at the 11 o'clock circumferential location. Extensive investigation could not determine the source of the excitation that caused the crack to progress in a high cycle fatigue mode. This condition, if not corrected, could result in diffuser case rupture due to cracks, which can result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft.

The FAA has reviewed and approved the technical contents of PW Service Bulletin (SB) No. JT9D-6329, dated May 20, 1998, that describes inspection and rework procedures for cracks.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require a fluorescent penetrant inspection (FPI) of the rear skirt of the diffuser case for cracks, and, if necessary, blending down to minimum wall thickness, to remove cracks, subsequent FPI to determine if cracks have been removed, and polishing and shotpeening. If the cracks are shown by subsequent FPI not to have been removed, this proposed AD would require removing the diffuser case from service for possible weld repair or replacement with serviceable parts. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the SB described previously.

There are approximately 566 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 157 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 68 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is $60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $640,560.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39
Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Pratt & Whitney: Docket No. 98-ANE-28-AD.

Applicability: Pratt & Whitney (PW) Model JT9D-7Q, -703, -59A, and -70A turbofan engines, with diffuser cases, part numbers (P/Ns) 772173, 772173-001, 772173-002, 782222, 782222-001, and 782222-002, installed. These engines are installed on but not limited to Boeing 747 series, McDonnell Douglas DC-10 series, and Airbus A300 series aircraft.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD.

For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent diffuser case rupture due to cracks, which can result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the aircraft, accomplish the following:

(a) At the next piece-part exposure of the diffuser case after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following in accordance with PW Service Bulletin (SB) No. JT9D-6329, dated May 20, 1998:

1. Perform a fluorescent penetrant inspection (FPI) in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB of the areas around the dog bone-shaped bosses in the diffuser case rear skirt identified in the SB for cracks.

2. If no indications of cracks are found in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB, no further action is required.

3. If indications of cracks are found in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB, remove the diffuser case from service, replace with a serviceable part, or blend the cracks as needed down to the minimum wall thickness to remove cracks in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB.

4. After blending down in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB, perform a subsequent etch and FPI for cracks, as follows:

(i) If no indications of cracks are found in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB, polish and shotpeen the area around each dog bone boss in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB.

(ii) If indications of cracks are found in accordance with the procedures and criteria stated in the SB, remove the diffuser case from service and replace with a serviceable part.

(b) For the purpose of this AD, piece-part exposure is defined as when the part is considered completely disassembled when done in accordance with the disassembly instructions in the engine manufacturer's maintenance manual, to give access to the dog bone-shaped bosses in the diffuser case rear skirt.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office. The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.
of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on August 25, 1998.

Jay J. Pardee,
Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–23360 Filed 8–28–98; 8:45 am]
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RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney PW2000 Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This proposal would require revisions to the Time Limits Section (TLS) of the manufacturer’s Engine Manuals (EMs) for Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW2000 series turbofan engines to include required enhanced inspection of selected critical life-limited parts at each piece-part exposure. This proposal would also require an air carrier’s approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program to incorporate these inspection procedures. Air carriers with an approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program would be allowed to either maintain the records showing the current status of the inspections using the record keeping system specified in the air carrier’s maintenance manual, or establish an acceptable alternate method of record keeping. This proposal is prompted by an FAA study of in-service events involving uncontained failures of critical rotating engine parts which indicated the need for improved inspections. The improved inspections are needed to identify those critical rotating parts with conditions, that if allowed to continue in service, could result in uncontained failures. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent critical life-limited rotating engine part failure, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by November 30, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–ANE–61–AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: “9-ad-engineprop@faa.dot.gov”. Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Comments wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Docket Number 98–ANE–61–AD.” The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs


Discussion

A recent FAA study analyzing 15 years of accident data for transport category airplanes identified several failure mode root causes that can result in serious safety hazards to transport category airplanes. This study identified uncontained failure of critical life-limited rotating engine parts as the leading engine-related safety hazard to airplanes. Uncontained engine failures have resulted from undetected cracks in rotating parts that initiated and propagated to failure. Cracks can originate from causes such as unintended excessive stress from the original design, or they may initiate from stresses induced from material flaws, handling damage, or damage from machining operations. The failure of rotating parts can present a significant safety hazard to the airplanes by release of high energy fragments that could injure passengers or crew by penetration of the cabin, damage flight control surfaces, sever flammable fluid lines, or otherwise compromise the airworthiness of the airplane.

Accordingly, the FAA has developed an intervention strategy to significantly reduce uncontained engine failures. This intervention strategy was developed after consultation with industry and will be used as a model for future initiatives. This intervention strategy is to conduct enhanced, nondestructive inspections of fan hubs which could most likely result in a safety hazard to the airplane in the event of a disk failure. The need for additional rule making is also being considered by the FAA. Future ADs may be issued introducing additional intervention strategies to further reduce or eliminate uncontained engine failures.

Properly focused enhanced inspections require identification of the parts whose failure presents the highest safety hazard to the airplane, identifying the most critical features to inspect on these parts, and utilizing inspection procedures and techniques that improve crack detection. The FAA, with close cooperation of the engine manufacturers, has completed a detailed analysis that identifies the most safety significant parts and features, and the most appropriate inspection methods. Critical life-limited high energy rotating parts are currently subject to some form of recommended crack inspection when exposed during engine maintenance or disassembly. As a result of this AD, the inspections currently