

concentrations (mg/kg) for these six data sets are as follows: untreated 5.17, treated 10.2; untreated 17.9, treated 11.0; untreated 7.89, treated 10.1; untreated 3.40, treated 8.90; untreated 4.82; treated 10.3; and untreated 8.14, treated 9.61. No quality assurance/quality control documentation is provided with this data. The source(s) of the potliner is not identified.

b. Ormet Delisting Petition

Data from the Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation facility in Hannibal, Ohio was submitted to EPA in April 1994. The report, titled *Petition for Exclusion for Vitrified Product From Spent Potliner*, requested a delisting of their residues from their vitrification treatment process. The data in the report include arsenic concentrations found in five samples, taken from a pilot-scale combustion melting system (CMS) vitrification process to treat K088 wastes. Total arsenic concentrations (mg/kg) in the five treated waste samples are reported as: <2, <5, <5, <5, and 4.2. Quality assurance/quality control documentation for this data set consists of duplicate samples and matrix spike recoveries reported for three of the five samples.

c. Correspondence From Vortec Corporation

Pursuant to a request by the Agency for "totals" data from residuals produced from the vitrification of K088 in Vortec Corporation's vitrification process, data was received by the Agency in a letter from R. Sarah Compton, Kilpatrick Stockton LLP to Ms. Marilyn Goode, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, dated February 26, 1997. In this letter, total arsenic concentrations are reported from two pilot-scale treatability studies conducted on K088 waste. The first data set concerned tests conducted on waste generated by Ravenswood Aluminum. This data consists of only one datum point for total arsenic, which was measured as "not detected" (less than 3 mg/kg total arsenic). The second data set shows the results of a pilot-scale treatability study of K088 wastes generated by Alumax Corporation. Total arsenic concentrations (mg/kg) for this data set consist of seven data points: 4, 5, <3, <3, <3, <3, and <3. No quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) documentation is provided for either of the data sets.

III. Development of Total Arsenic Treatment Standard

As noted, the Agency is noticing for comment five data sets, one or more of which will likely be used to develop a

total arsenic treatment standard for K088 waste that will be promulgated by September 24, 1998. The Agency's Land Disposal Restrictions Program (LDR) has specific requirements for any data set evaluated for possible Best Demonstrated Available Technology (BDAT) analysis. A full range of information is necessary to determine whether a treatment and its corresponding performance data warrants further evaluation for possible development of the treatment standard. For example, waste characterization; treatment design and operating conditions; and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) documentation are all necessary components of a "BDAT quality" data set. See USEPA "Final Best Demonstrated Available Technology (BDAT) Background Document for Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures and Methodology," Office of Solid Waste, October 23, 1991.

The Agency is currently conducting a thorough evaluation of the aforementioned data sets with regard to the BDAT protocols. If, however, the Agency were to calculate a treatment standard from one of these data sets as they are presented today, a total arsenic treatment standard for K088 would range between 5 and 26 mg/kg total arsenic, depending on the data set.

IV. Documents Supporting This NODA

The documents being placed in the docket for this NODA include:

1. USEPA, Preliminary Calculation of Nonwastewater Treatment Standard for Total Arsenic Found in Spent Potliners From Primary Aluminum Reduction, July 1998.

2. Spent Potliner Analytical Data, Partial Petition for Exclusion, Ormet Corporations, Hannabil, Ohio. (To view entire petition, refer to (F-95-PH3P-S0108 and F-95-PH3P-S0108.a))

3. "Special Laboratory Report," December 6, 1996. Reynolds Metals Company.

4. Letter from R. Sarah Compton, Kilpatrick Stockton LLP to Ms. Marilyn Goode, U.S. EPA, February 26, 1997.

5. Reynolds Lab Reports, Reynolds Metals Company, November 27, 1997 through December 26, 1997.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 268

Environmental protection, Hazardous waste, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: July 23, 1998.

Elizabeth A. Cotsworth,

Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste.

[FR Doc. 98-20607 Filed 8-3-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 1, 43 and 63

[IB Docket No. 98-118, FCC 98-149]

Biennial Review of International Common Carrier Regulations; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the dates that comments and reply comments are to be filed on a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on July 24, 1998, regarding Biennial Review of International Common Carrier Regulations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Klein, Attorney-Advisor, Policy and Facilities Branch, Telecommunications Division, International Bureau, (202) 418-1470. Correction: In proposed rule FR Doc. 98-43, beginning on page 39793 in the **Federal Register** issue of July 24, 1998, the **DATES** section is corrected to read:

DATES: Comments are due on or before August 13, 1998; and reply comments are due on or before August 28. Written comments by the public on the proposed information collections are due September 22, 1998.

Dated: July 29, 1998.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-20748 Filed 8-3-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 575

[Docket No. 98-3866; Notice 2]

Consumer Information Regulations; Uniform Tire Quality Grading Standards

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This notice grants a request to extend the comment period on an agency proposal to amend the Uniform Tire Quality Grading Standards (UTQGS) to change the treadwear grading procedures. Subsequent to