

to the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Administrative Record, Room 101, 1951 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20240. You may also comment via the Internet to OSM's Administrative Record at: [osmrules@osmre.gov](mailto:osmrules@osmre.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** D. J. Growitz, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1951 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20240; Telephone: 202-208-2634. E-Mail: [dgrowitz@osmre.gov](mailto:dgrowitz@osmre.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In response to a telephone request from a member of the public, OSM is reopening and extending the public comment period on the proposed rule, Enhancing AML Reclamation, published in the **Federal Register** on June 25, 1998 (63 FR 34768).

OSM is proposing revisions to its rules regarding the financing of Abandoned Mine Land reclamation (AML) projects that involve the incidental extraction of coal. Projections of receipts to the AML fund through the year 2004, when the authority to collect fees will expire, strongly indicate that there will be insufficient money to address all problems currently listed in the Abandoned Mine Land Inventory System. Given these limited AML reclamation resources, OSM is seeking an innovative way for AML agencies, working with contractors, to maximize available funds to increase AML reclamation.

The first revision would amend the definition of *government-financed construction* to allow less than 50 percent government funding when the construction is an approved AML project under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The existing definition requires a minimum government contribution of 50 percent to exempt government-financed construction from regulation under SMCRA.

The second revision would add a new section which would require specific consultations and concurrences with the Title V regulatory authority for AML construction projects receiving less than 50 percent government financing. These consultations and concurrences are intended to ensure the appropriateness of the project being undertaken as a Title IV AML project and not under the Title V regulatory program.

Dated: July 28, 1998.

**Richard G. Bryson,**

*Acting Assistant Director, Program Support.*  
[FR Doc. 98-20505 Filed 7-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA-071-0069b; FRL-6129-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Mendocino County Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action is an administrative change which revises two definitions and adds one definition in Mendocino County Air Quality Management District (MCAQMD) Rule 130, Definitions.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to incorporate changes to the definitions for clarity and consistency with revised federal and state definitions. EPA is proposing approval of this revision to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) under title I of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by August 31, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business

hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460  
California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812  
Mendocino County Air Quality Management District, 306 East Gobbi Street, Ukiah, California 95482

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1189.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns Mendocino County Air Quality Management District Rule 130, Definitions, submitted to EPA on November 18, 1993 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action that is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: July 8, 1998.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 98-20509 Filed 7-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 98-133, RM-9314]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Zapata, TX

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comments on a petition filed by Arturo Lopez and Eleazar Trevino, proposing the allotment of Channel 274A to Zapata, Texas. The channel can be allotted to Zapata without a site restriction at coordinates 26-54-30 and 99-16-18. Concurrence of the Mexican government will be requested for this allotment.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before September 14, 1998, and reply comments on or before September 29, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC. 20554. In