

6(b) of the Act on February 27, 1998, 63 FR 10041.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 98-19738 Filed 7-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; National Media Laboratory Strategic Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on December 19, 1997, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the National Media Laboratory Strategic Alliance has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company ("3M"), St. Paul, MN; Ceridian Corporation, acting through its Computing Devices International Division, Bloomington, MN; Ampex Data Systems Corporation, Redwood City, CA; Imation Corporation, Oakdale, MN; Lucent Technologies, Inc., Murray Hill, NJ; Motorola, Schaumburg, IL. The name of the venture is the "National Media Laboratory Strategic Alliance", and was entered on November 4, 1997. The nature and objectives of the venture are to perform research and development in the area of information technologies and provide prototype solutions necessary to support military and intelligence community requirements. Some of the information technologies covered include high bandwidth information communication, compression, computing displays, information processing, records management, on-line interactive training, assisted target recognition, multi-media databases, data architectures, storage media, and storage devices.

Membership in the Consortium will remain open and the Consortium will

file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 98-19743 Filed 7-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; Portland Cement Association ("PCA")

Notice is hereby given that, on February 25, 1998, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Portland Cement Association ("PCA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the following changes in the PCA list of members have occurred: Independent Cement Corporation should be deleted and now listed as St. Lawrence Cement Company, Albany, NY; and Fuller-Kovako should also be deleted and now listed as Fuller Bulk Handling, Bethlehem, PA. New members are: Roanoke Cement Company, Roanoke, VA; and Lone Star Northwest, Inc., Seattle, WA.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Portland Cement Association ("PCA") intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 7, 1985, Portland Cement Association ("PCA") filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 5, 1985 (50 FR 5015).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 15, 1997. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 31, 1997 (62 FR 58982).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 98-19746 Filed 7-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of Existing Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Affidavit of Support under Section 213A of the Act and Notification of Reimbursement of Means-Tested Benefits.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 2, 1998 at 63 FR 16277, allowing for emergency review with a 60-day public comment period. No comments were received by the Immigration and Naturalization Service. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until August 24, 1998. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR Part 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Dan Chenok, 202-395-7316, Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202-395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20530. Comments may also be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumption used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and