seq.), and the provisions of § 216.39 of the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR part 216).

Dated: July 1, 1998.

Ann Terbush,

Chief, Permits and Documentation Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 98–18121 Filed 7–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–F

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND PLACE: 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, July 28, 1998.

PLACE: 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington, D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Rule Enforcement Matter.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean A. Webb, 202–418–5100.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 98–18250 Filed 7–6–98; 2:22 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351–01–M

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND PLACE: 2:00 p.m., Wednesday, July 29, 1998.

PLACE: 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington, D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Enforcement Matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean A. Webb, 202–418–5100.

Jean A Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 98–18249 Filed 7–6–98; 2:22 p.m.]

BILLING CODE 6351–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

[DFARS Case 97–D035]

DD Form 2631, Performance Evaluation (Architect-Engineer)

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments regarding a proposed revision of DD Form 2631.

SUMMARY: The Director of Defense Procurement is proposing to revise the form used for preparation of contractor performance evaluations under architect-engineer (A–E) contracts. Additions are made to the form to provide a more complete listing of the disciplines and attributes to be evaluated under A–E contracts, and the descriptive rating terms are changed for consistency with the terms used in evaluating contractor performance under supply and service contracts.

DATES: Comments on the proposed revision should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before September 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Amy Williams, PDUSD (A&T) DP (DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telefax (703) 602–0350.

E-mail comments submitted over the Internet should be addressed to: dfars@acq.osd.mil

Please cite DFARS Case 97–D035 in all correspondence related to this issue. E-mail comments should cite DFARS Case 97–D035 in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, telephone (703) 602–0131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

DoD uses DD Form 2631, Performance Evaluation (Architect-Engineer), to prepare contractor performance evaluations under A–E contracts. DD Form 2631 was developed primarily for conventional design work relating to construction of buildings and other structures. Changes to the form are needed to add disciplines and attributes associated with other types of work performed under A–E contracts, and to address small business subcontracting plan requirements. This proposed revision of the form adds the following to the list of disciplines and attributes to be evaluated under A–E contracts when applicable: Geospatial Information Services; Chemistry; Risk Assessment; Safety/Occupational Health; Hydrographic Surveying; Field Analysis; Innovative Approaches/Technologies; and Implementation of Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

In addition, the proposed revision changes the five overall rating terms in Block 12 of the form, for consistency with the terms used in evaluating contractor performance under supply and service contracts, as follows:

From/To

Excellent—Exceptional

Above Average—Very Good

Average—Satisfactory

Below Average—Marginal

Poor—Unsatisfactory

The proposed revision also removes the three descriptive terms (Outstanding, Satisfactory, and Unsatisfactory) used to rate the disciplines and attributes listed in Blocks 16, 17, and 19 of the form, and replaces these terms with the five terms proposed for use in the overall rating category.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The proposed revision is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the proposed changes to the form are not expected to significantly alter the manner in which contractor performance is evaluated under A–E contracts. Therefore, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis has not been performed. Comments are invited from small businesses and other interested parties. Please cite DFARS Case 98–D035 in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the proposed form does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

BILLING CODE 5000–04–M