

cardiovascular disease has not been authorized under existing regulations. The prohibition of this claim in this interim final rule results in no regulatory changes for firms, and therefore no costs to firms are attributable to this interim final rule.

#### B. Small Entity Analysis

FDA has examined the impacts of this interim final rule under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612) requires Federal agencies to consider alternatives that would minimize the economic impact of their regulations on small businesses and other small entities. In compliance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, FDA finds that this interim final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

A health claim relating to the relationship between omega-3 fatty acids and the risk in adults of cardiovascular disease has not been authorized under existing regulations. The prohibition of this claim in this interim final rule results in no regulatory changes for firms, and therefore this rule will not result in a significant increase in costs to any small entity. Therefore, this interim final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), the agency certifies that this interim final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### C. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

FDA has examined the impacts of this interim final rule under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). This interim final rule does not trigger the requirement for a written statement under section 202(a) of UMRA because it does not impose a mandate that results in an expenditure of \$100 million or more by State, local, and tribal governments in the aggregate, or by the private sector, in any 1 year.

#### VII. The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This interim final rule contains no collections of information. Therefore, clearance by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520) is not required.

#### VIII. References

The following references have been placed on display in the Dockets Management Branch (address above)

and may be seen by interested persons between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

1. Notification to Donna E. Shalala, DHHS, from Jonathan W. Emord et al., Emord & Associates, P.C., Counsel for Weider Nutrition International, Inc., February 23, 1998.

2. LSRO, FASEB, "Nutrition Monitoring in the United States—An Update Report on Nutrition Monitoring," prepared for USDA and DHHS, DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 89-1255, PHS, DHHS, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, inside front cover and pp. iii to vii, September, 1989.

3. Letter to Christine Lewis, CFSAN, FDA, from Eileen Kennedy, USDA, May 7, 1998.

Dated: June 16, 1998.

**William B. Schultz,**

*Deputy Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 98-16459 Filed 6-19-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 101

[Docket No. 98N-0422]

#### Food Labeling: Health Claims; Garlic, Reduction of Serum Cholesterol, and the Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in Adults

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing an interim final rule to prohibit the use on foods of a claim relating to the relationship between garlic, decreased serum cholesterol, and the risk in adults of cardiovascular disease. This interim final rule is in response to a notification of a health claim submitted under section 303 of the FDA Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA). FDA has reviewed the statement that the petitioner submitted in that notification, and, in conformity with the requirements of FDAMA, the agency is prohibiting the claim because the statement submitted as the basis of the claim is not an "authoritative statement" of a scientific body, as required by FDAMA; therefore, section 303 of FDAMA does not authorize use of this claim. As provided for in section 301 of FDAMA, this rule is effective immediately upon publication.

**DATES:** The interim final rule is effective June 22, 1998; comments by September 8, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch

(HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine J. Lewis, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-451), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-205-4168.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. The FDA Modernization Act of 1997

On November 21, 1997, the President signed FDAMA into law (Pub. L. 105-115), which amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act). Sections 303 and 304 of FDAMA amended section 403(r)(2) and (r)(3) of the act by adding new paragraphs (r)(2)(G), (r)(2)(H), (r)(3)(C), and (r)(3)(D) to section 403 of the act (21 U.S.C. 343(r)(2)(G), (r)(2)(H), (r)(3)(C), and (r)(3)(D), respectively), which provide for the use in food labeling of nutrient content claims and health claims, respectively, based on authoritative statements. FDAMA requires that a notification of the prospective nutrient content claim or the prospective health claim be submitted to FDA at least 120 days before a food bearing the claim may be introduced into interstate commerce. FDAMA and its requirements are discussed in more detail in a companion document in this issue of the **Federal Register** (see "Food Labeling: Health Claims; Antioxidant Vitamins C and E and the Risk in Adults of Atherosclerosis, Coronary Heart Disease, Certain Cancers, and Cataracts;" hereinafter referred to as "Health Claims; Vitamins C and E"). In particular, aspects of the requirements for an "authoritative statement" that are relevant to this rulemaking and FDA's review process for notifications are discussed in sections I.A and I.B, respectively, of that document.

#### II. The Notification

Section 403(r)(2)(G) and (r)(3)(C) of the act became effective on February 19, 1998. On February 23, 1998, the agency received a notification from Weider Nutrition International, Inc., containing nine prospective claims that were identified in the text of the notification as health claims (Ref. 1). The notification included statements that the submitter described as authoritative statements and a scientific literature review for each claim. FDA has created nine separate dockets, one for each of the nine claims, and is issuing a separate interim final rule responding to each claim.

This interim final rule addresses the seventh claim in the notification. The

notification included one statement that the petitioner identified as an authoritative statement on which the following claim is based: "In adults, garlic may reduce serum cholesterol and the risk of cardiovascular disease." This claim will be discussed in greater detail in section III of this document.

### III. Basis for the Action

FDA has reviewed the notification submitted in support of the prospective claim: "In adults, garlic may reduce serum cholesterol and the risk of cardiovascular disease." The agency has determined that the one statement submitted as a basis for this claim does not meet the requirements in section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act to be an "authoritative statement." Because the prospective claim is not based on an authoritative statement, it is not appropriate for the claim to appear on food labels and labeling. Consequently, FDA is issuing this interim final rule to prohibit the use of this claim. A discussion of the basis for the agency's action on the notification follows.

First, FDA determined that the components required by section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act were present in the notification submitted to support this claim. Second, FDA determined that, as a threshold matter, the statement cited in support of the claim may be attributable either to an appropriate Federal scientific body or to an employee or employees of such a body.

The notification in support of the claim that is the subject of this document cites a statement from a U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) press release provided on the Internet that refers to USDA's Agricultural Research Service (ARS) for further information. Thus, the statement in the notification is attributable to USDA's ARS. FDA believes that USDA/ARS is a scientific body of the U.S. Government with official responsibility for public health protection or research directly relating to human nutrition for the purposes of section 403(r)(2)(G) and (r)(3)(C). Accordingly, the statement provided in the notification in support of the claim may be attributable to an appropriate Federal scientific body or to its employees.

Finally, however, the statement discussed in this section of this document was not found to be an authoritative statement.

#### Statement

The statement reads: "Garlic is well-known for its medicinal benefits: Lowering blood cholesterol, fighting off infections and boosting the immune system." The notification identified the

statement as an "authoritative statement" for purposes of making the claim that is the subject of this rulemaking. The statement is contained in a press release from USDA, dated February 7, 1995, entitled: "Nation's First Garlic from True Seed Produced by USDA Scientist" (Release No. 0102.95), which was provided on the Internet ("http://www.usda.gov/news/releases/1995/02/0102" accessed on 12/16/97). The press release (submitted to the agency as a hardcopy reprint from the Internet) is attributed to Linda Cooke and Maria Bynum (affiliation unknown), but refers editors to Philip W. Simon at ARS for details. The press release summarizes the development of the first garlic seeds and is approximately two standard printed pages in length. The subject sentence is included in a description of garlic and its uses.

The agency asked USDA whether the statement is an "authoritative statement" under FDAMA. USDA responded to FDA that the statement is not an authoritative statement of USDA because it was not based upon a deliberative review of the scientific evidence regarding a relationship between the nutrient and the disease in question (Ref. 2). USDA explained that informational pieces such as press releases describe progress on individual projects without a deliberative review of all relevant scientific evidence. Therefore, FDA has concluded that the statement is not an "authoritative statement" under section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act because it is not based on a deliberative review of the scientific evidence, as discussed in section I.A.3 of "Health Claims; Vitamins C and E," which is published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

In summary, FDA has concluded that the notification does not include any authoritative statement published by a scientific body as required by section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act. Accordingly, the subject claim relating to the relationship between garlic, decreased serum cholesterol, and the risk in adults of cardiovascular disease is not authorized under section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act and is, therefore, prohibited. The agency notes that, at any future time, a notification may be submitted to the agency that bases such a claim on a statement that meets the requirements of section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act. If there is no authoritative statement that may serve as a basis for such a claim, an interested person may petition the agency under section 403(r)(4) of the act and 21 CFR 101.70 to authorize a health claim by regulation under section 403(r)(3)(B) of the act.

### IV. Issuance of an Interim Final Rule, Immediate Effective Date, and Opportunity for Public Comment

For the reasons described in this section of the document, FDA is issuing this rule as an interim final rule, effective immediately, with an opportunity for public comment. New section 403(r)(7)(B) of the act, added by section 301 of FDAMA, provides that FDA "may make proposed regulations issued under [section 403(r)] effective upon publication pending consideration of public comment and publication of a final regulation" if the agency "determines that such action is necessary \* \* \* to enable [FDA] to act promptly to ban or modify a claim" under section 403(r) of the act. For purposes of judicial review, "[s]uch proposed regulations shall be deemed final agency action." The legislative history indicates that the agency should issue rules under this authority as interim final rules (H. Conf. Rept. 105-399, at 98 (1997)).

As described in section III of this document, FDA has determined that the statement submitted in support of the prospective health claim does not meet the requirements for an authoritative statement in section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act. FDA has determined that it is necessary to act promptly to prohibit the claim's use under section 403(r)(3)(C) of the act, and accordingly, is issuing this interim final rule to ban its use under section 403(r)(3)(C).

FDA invites public comment on this interim final rule. The agency will consider modifications to this interim final rule based on comments made during the comment period. Interested persons may, on or before September 8, 1998, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding this interim final rule. Comments must be received by that date. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

### V. Environmental Impact

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.30(k) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

## VI. Analysis of Economic Impacts

### A. Benefit-Cost Analysis

FDA has examined the impacts of this interim final rule under Executive Order 12866. Executive Order 12866 directs Federal agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). According to Executive Order 12866, a regulatory action is "significant" if it meets any one of a number of specified conditions, including having an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million; adversely affecting in a material way a sector of the economy, competition, or jobs; or if it raises novel legal or policy issues. FDA finds that this interim final rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined by Executive Order 12866. In addition, it has been determined that this interim final rule is not a major rule for the purpose of congressional review.

If in the future FDA authorizes health claims relating to the relationship between garlic, decreased serum cholesterol, and the risk in adults of cardiovascular disease after finding that there is significant scientific agreement about these relationships, the cost to consumers of prohibiting this claim at this time would be the cost of having kept, in the interim, information from appearing in food labeling that would ultimately be shown to be scientifically valid, truthful, and not misleading. At this time, the benefit to consumers of prohibiting this claim is that a claim that has not been shown to be scientifically valid will not appear in food labeling. Accordingly, consumers will be able generally to have confidence when they read food labeling that any diet/disease relationship information in that labeling has been shown to be scientifically valid.

A health claim relating to the relationship between garlic, decreased serum cholesterol, and the risk in adults of cardiovascular disease has not been authorized under existing regulations. The prohibition of this claim in this interim final rule results in no regulatory changes for firms, and therefore no costs to firms are attributable to this interim final rule.

### B. Small Entity Analysis

FDA has examined the impacts of this interim final rule under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612)

requires Federal agencies to consider alternatives that would minimize the economic impact of their regulations on small businesses and other small entities. In compliance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, FDA finds that this interim final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

A health claim relating to the relationship between garlic, decreased serum cholesterol, and the risk in adults of cardiovascular disease has not been authorized under existing regulations. The prohibition of this claim in this interim final rule results in no regulatory changes for firms, and therefore this rule will not result in a significant increase in costs to any small entity. Therefore, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), the agency certifies that this interim final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

### C. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

FDA has examined the impacts of this interim final rule under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). This interim final rule does not trigger the requirement for a written statement under section 202(a) of UMRA because it does not impose a mandate that results in an expenditure of \$100 million or more by State, local, and tribal governments in the aggregate, or by the private sector, in any 1 year.

## VII. The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This interim final rule contains no collections of information. Therefore, clearance by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520) is not required.

## VIII. References

The following references have been placed on display in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and may be seen by interested persons between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

1. Notification to Donna E. Shalala, DHHS, from Jonathan W. Emord et al., Emord & Associates, P.C., Counsel for Weider Nutrition International, Inc., February 23, 1998.

2. Letter to Christine Lewis, CFSAN, FDA, from Eileen Kennedy, USDA, May 7, 1998.

Dated: June 16, 1998.

**William B. Schultz,**

*Deputy Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 98-16460 Filed 6-19-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 101

[Docket No. 98N-0421]

### Food Labeling: Health Claims; Zinc and the Body's Ability to Fight Infection and Heal Wounds in Adults

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing an interim final rule to prohibit the use on foods of a claim relating to the relationship between zinc and the body's ability to fight infection and heal wounds in adults. This rule is in response to a notification of a health claim submitted under section 303 of the FDA Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA). FDA has reviewed statements that the petitioner submitted in that notification, and, in conformity with the requirements of FDAMA, the agency is prohibiting the claim because the statements submitted as the basis of the claim are not "authoritative statements" of a scientific body, as required by FDAMA; therefore, section 303 of FDAMA does not authorize use of this claim. As provided for in section 301 of FDAMA, this rule is effective immediately upon publication.

**DATES:** The interim final rule is effective June 22, 1998; comments by September 8, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine J. Lewis, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-451), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-205-4168.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. The FDA Modernization Act of 1997

On November 21, 1997, the President signed FDAMA into law (Pub. L. 105-115), which amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act). Sections 303 and 304 of FDAMA