

III. Regulatory Assessment Requirements

This final rule extends a time-limited tolerance that was previously extended by EPA under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled Regulatory Planning and Review (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). In addition, this final rule does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, or impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). Nor does it require any prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 12875, entitled Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or special considerations as required by Executive Order 12898, entitled Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994), or require OMB review in accordance with Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997).

Since this extension of an existing time-limited tolerance does not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) do not apply. Nevertheless, the Agency has previously assessed whether establishing tolerances, exemptions from tolerances, raising tolerance levels or expanding exemptions might adversely impact small entities and concluded, as a generic matter, that there is no adverse economic impact. The factual basis for the Agency's generic certification for tolerance actions published on May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950), and was provided to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

IV. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, the Agency has submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this rule in today's **Federal Register**.

This is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 2, 1998.

James Jones,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

§180.482 [Amended]

2. In §180.482, by amending paragraph (b) by changing the date for cotton gin byproducts; cottonseed hulls; cottonseed meal; cottonseed oil; cottonseed, undelinted; from "6/30/98" to read "12/31/99".

[FR Doc. 98-15744 Filed 6-11-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-300671; FRL-5795-4]
RIN 2070-AB78

Dimethomorph; Extension of Tolerances for Emergency Exemptions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule extends a time-limited tolerance for residues of the fungicide dimethomorph in or on potatoes at 0.05 part per million (ppm) for an additional one and one-half-year period, to March 15, 2000. This action is in response to EPA's granting of emergency exemptions under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act authorizing use of the pesticide on potatoes. Section 408(l)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) requires EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance or exemption from the requirement for a tolerance for pesticide chemical residues in food that will result from the use of a pesticide under an emergency exemption granted by EPA under section 18 of FIFRA.

DATES: This regulation becomes effective June 12, 1998. Objections and requests for hearings must be received by EPA, on or before August 11, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the docket control number, [OPP-300671], must be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Fees accompanying objections and hearing requests shall be labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and forwarded to: EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, OPP (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. A copy of any objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk identified by the docket control number, [OPP-300671], must also be submitted to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring a copy of objections and hearing requests to Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

A copy of objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Follow the instructions in Unit II. of this preamble. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Libby Pemberton, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: Rm. 272, CM 12, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202, (703)-308-9364; e-mail: ie-lpemberton@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a final rule, published in the **Federal Register** of May 14, 1997 (FR 26412) (FRL-5715-5), which announced that on its own initiative and under section 408(e) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(e) and (l)(6), it established a time-limited tolerance for the residues of dimethomorph in or on potatoes at 0.05 ppm, with an expiration date of March 15, 1999. EPA established the tolerance because section 408(l)(6) of the FFDCA requires EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance or exemption from the requirement for a tolerance for pesticide chemical residues in food that will result from the use of a pesticide under

an emergency exemption granted by EPA under section 18 of FIFRA. Such tolerances can be established without providing notice or period for public comment.

EPA received a request to extend the use of dimethomorph for this year's growing season due to continued failure to control immigrant strains of late blight in potatoes with registered fungicides. After having reviewed the submissions, EPA concurs that emergency conditions exist for the states which have requested this use. EPA has authorized under FIFRA section 18 the use of dimethomorph on for control of late blight in potatoes and tomatoes.

EPA assessed the potential risks presented by residues of dimethomorph in or on potatoes and tomatoes. In doing so, EPA considered the new safety standard in FFDCA section 408(b)(2), and decided that the necessary tolerance under FFDCA section 408(l)(6) would be consistent with the new safety standard and with FIFRA section 18. The data and other relevant material have been evaluated and discussed in the final rules of May 14 and July 25, 1997 (FR 26412 and 39956). Based on that data and information considered, the Agency reaffirms that extension of the time-limited tolerances will continue to meet the requirements of section 408(l)(6). Therefore, the time-limited tolerances are extended for an additional one and one-half-year period. Although these tolerances will expire and are revoked on September 15, 2000 and November 15, 2000, under FFDCA section 408(l)(5), residues of the pesticide not in excess of the amounts specified in the tolerances remaining in or on after these dates will not be unlawful, provided the pesticide is applied in a manner that was lawful under FIFRA and the application occurred prior to the revocation of the tolerances. EPA will take action to revoke these tolerances earlier if any experience with, scientific data on, or other relevant information on this pesticide indicate that the residues are not safe.

I. Objections and Hearing Requests

The new FFDCA section 408(g) provides essentially the same process for persons to "object" to a tolerance regulation issued by EPA under new section 408(e) and (l)(6) as was provided in the old section 408 and in section 409. However, the period for filing objections is 60 days, rather than 30 days. EPA currently has procedural regulations which govern the submission of objections and hearing requests. These regulations will require some modification to reflect the new law. However, until those modifications

can be made, EPA will continue to use those procedural regulations with appropriate adjustments to reflect the new law.

Any person may, by August 11, 1998, file written objections to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. Objections and hearing requests must be filed with the Hearing Clerk, at the address given above (40 CFR 178.20). A copy of the objections and/or hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be submitted to the OPP docket for this rulemaking. The objections submitted must specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). Each objection must be accompanied by the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issues on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the requestor (40 CFR 178.27). A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issues in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32). Information submitted in connection with an objection or hearing request may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the information that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice.

II. Public Record and Electronic Submissions

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer any copies of objections and hearing requests received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper

record maintained at the Virginia address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document

Electronic comments may be sent directly to EPA at:

opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov.

Electronic objections and hearing requests must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 51/6.1 or ASCII file format. All copies of objections and hearing requests in electronic form must be identified by the docket control number [OPP-300671]. No CBI should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic copies of objections and hearing requests on this rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

III. Regulatory Assessment Requirements

This final rule extends the time-limited tolerance that was previously extended by EPA under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled Regulatory Planning and Review (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). In addition, this final rule does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, or impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). Nor does it require any prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 12875, entitled Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or special considerations as required by Executive Order 12898, entitled Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994), or require OMB review in accordance with Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997).

Since this extension of an existing time-limited tolerance does not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) do not apply. Nevertheless, the Agency has previously assessed whether establishing tolerances, exemptions from tolerances, raising tolerance levels or expanding exemptions might adversely impact small entities and

concluded, as a generic matter, that there is no adverse economic impact. The factual basis for the Agency's generic certification for tolerance actions published on May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950), and was provided to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

IV. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this rule in today's **Federal Register**. This is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 2, 1998.

James Jones,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

§ 180.493 [Amended]

2. In § 180.493, by amending paragraph (b) by changing the date "3/15/99" to read "9/15/00".

[FR Doc. 98-15745 Filed 6-11-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

[Docket No. NHTSA-98-3773]

RIN 2127-AF91

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document responds to a petition from Volvo Cars of North America (Volvo), by amending the seat belt anchorage strength requirements of FMVSS No. 210, "Seat belt assembly anchorages," to require the anchorages of all lap/shoulder belts to meet a 6,000 pound strength requirement, regardless of whether a manufacturer has the option of installing a lap belt or a lap/shoulder belt at that seating position. Two different requirements existed for testing the anchorages of lap/shoulder belts. One requirement, applicable to lap/shoulder belts installed at locations where manufacturers did not have the option of installing any other type of belt, called for all three anchorages of a lap/shoulder belt to withstand a 6,000 pound strength test. The second requirement, applicable to lap/shoulder belts installed at locations where a manufacturer could install either a lap belt or a lap/shoulder belt, required the anchorages of the lap portions of a lap/shoulder belt to withstand the 5,000 pound strength test applied to lap belts. The adoption of this new certification requirement allows manufacturers to test all lap/shoulder belts alike, i.e. according to the 6,000 pound strength test appropriate for lap/shoulder belts, and no longer need also test the anchorages for the lap belt portion to the 5,000 pound test used for belts consisting of just a lap belt.

DATES: Effective Date: This final rule is effective June 14, 1999. Manufacturers wishing to comply with the requirements of this final rule may do so before the effective date commencing September 10, 1998.

Petition Date: Any petitions for reconsideration must be received by NHTSA no later than July 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Any petitions for reconsideration should refer to the docket and notice number of this notice and be submitted to: Administrator, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The following persons at the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590:

For non-legal issues: Mr. John Lee, Light Duty Vehicle Division, Office of Crashworthiness Standards, NPS-11, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, telephone: (202) 366-4924, facsimile (202) 493-2739, electronic mail "jlee@nhtsa.dot.gov".

For legal issues: Otto Matheke, Office of the Chief Counsel, NCC-20,

telephone (202) 366-5263, facsimile (202) 366-3820, electronic mail "omatheke@nhtsa.dot.gov".

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under Standard No. 208, "Occupant crash protection," manufacturers have the option of installing a Type 1 seat belt (i.e., lap belt) instead of a Type 2 seat belt assembly (i.e., lap/shoulder belts) at these locations:

- Vehicles, including school buses, with a GVWR of more than 10,000 pounds: all seats, except passenger seats in buses;

- School buses with a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of 10,000 pounds or less: the passenger seats; and

- All other vehicles with a GVWR of 10,000 pounds or less: all seats, except forward-facing outboard seats.

Prior to this final rule, the anchorage requirements in Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 210, "Seat belt assembly anchorages," required the lap belt anchorages for Type 2 belts installed at these positions to meet the 5,000 pound load requirement applicable to Type 1 belts. However, the anchorages for the shoulder belt portion were not subject to any load requirement. These requirements were established in a final rule published on April 30, 1990 (55 FR 17970) without any explanatory discussion in the preamble to the final rule. Where Type 2 belts were the only configuration allowed at a seating position, the Standard required the anchorages for Type 2 seat belts to withstand the simultaneous application of a 3,000-pound load applied to the lap belt anchorages and a separate 3,000-pound load to the shoulder belt anchorages.

The Volvo Petition

On May 18, 1995, Volvo Cars of North America, Inc. (Volvo) petitioned NHTSA to amend Standard No. 210. Volvo stated that it subjects the anchorages of its "voluntarily installed Type 2 seat belts" to two different tests.¹ Pursuant to Standard No. 210, it tests the anchorages for the lap belt portion of those belts for compliance with the anchorage requirements for a Type 1 seat belt. In addition, for quality control purposes, it tests the anchorages of its voluntarily installed Type 2 seat belts for compliance with the requirements

¹ Volvo's use of the term "voluntarily installed" reflects that company's interpretation that Standard No. 208 does not require the installation of Type 2 belts at locations where Standard No. 208 allows manufacturers to meet seat belt requirements by installing either a Type 1 or a Type 2 belt. As the minimum requirement for those locations can be met by installing a Type 1 belt, Volvo adheres to the view that Type 2 belts used where only a Type 1 is required are "voluntarily installed" belts.