

The Beall Company
 The Rose
 Tomino
 Toto Flowers Group
 Flores de Suesca S.A.
 Toto Flowers
 Tropical Garden
 Uniflor Ltda.
 Velez de Monchaux Group
 Velez De Monchaux e Hijos y Cia S. en C.
 Agroteusa
 Victoria Flowers
 Villa Cultivos Ltda.
 Villa Diana
 Vuelven Ltda.
 Zipa Flowers

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We have calculated an importer-specific per-stem duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of AD duties calculated for the examined sales made during the POR to the total quantity of subject merchandise entered during the POR. This rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries of that particular importer made during the POR. The Department will issue appraisement instructions on each exporter directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, on or after the publication date of these final results of review: (1) The cash deposit rate for the individually examined companies will be the most recent rates as listed above, except that for firms whose weighted-average margins are less than 0.5 percent and therefore *de minimis*, the Department shall require a zero deposit of estimated antidumping duties; (2) the cash deposit rate for non-selected companies will be the weighted-average of the cash deposit rates for the individually examined companies; (3) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (4) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (5) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will be the "all other" rate of 3.10 percent. This is the rate established during the Less-Than-Fair-Value (LTFV) investigation, as amended in litigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402 (f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of AD duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of AD duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled AD duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This administrative review is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 2, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-15349 Filed 6-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-818, A-489-805]

Certain Pasta From Italy and Turkey: Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty; First Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 10, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Easton or John Brinkmann, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1777 and (202) 482-5288, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Results of the First Administrative Reviews

On August 28, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the first administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on certain pasta from Italy and Turkey, covering the period January 19, 1996, through

June 30, 1997 (62 FR 45621). Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination in an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. The original deadline for the preliminary results of these reviews was April 2, 1998. However, when it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) allows the Department to extend this time period up to 365 days. Accordingly, on January 28, 1998, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of the administrative review by 90 days (63 FR 4218). The current extended deadline for the preliminary results of these reviews is July 1, 1998. We have now concluded, however, that the full 120-day extension is necessary. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of these administrative reviews by 30 additional days, or until July 31, 1998. We plan to issue the final results of these administrative reviews within 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

These extensions are in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: June 3, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-15473 Filed 6-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-814]

Amended Order and Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel, Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amendment to final determination of antidumping duty investigation in accordance with decision upon remand.

SUMMARY: On August 29, 1997, the United States Court of International Trade (the CIT) remanded to the Department of Commerce (the Department) the final determination in the antidumping duty investigation of