

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 62**

[WY-001-0001b; FRL-6104-8]

**Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Wyoming; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve the Wyoming plan and associated regulations for implementing the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill Emission Guidelines at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc, which were required pursuant to section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Act). The State's plan, which was submitted to EPA on February 13, 1998, establishes performance standards for existing MSW landfills and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those standards.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's submittal in a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this proposed rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing on or before July 1, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be mailed to Vicki Stamper, 8P2-A, at the EPA Regional VIII Office listed. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466. Copies of the State documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection at the Air Quality Division, Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, 122 West 25th Street, Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vicki Stamper, EPA Region VIII, (303) 312-6445.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 21, 1998.

**Carol Rushin,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.*

[FR Doc. 98-14436 Filed 5-29-98; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****47 CFR Part 1**

[MM Docket No. 97-247; DA 98-962]

**Fees for Ancillary or Supplementary Use of Digital Television Spectrum**

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of reply comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On December 18, 1997, the Commission adopted a *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* in this proceeding, FCC 97-414, regarding the assessment of fees for the use of digital television bitstream for the provision of ancillary or supplementary services. Comments in this proceeding were initially due March 3, 1998, and reply comments were due April 2, 1998. By Order Granting Extension of Time for Filing Comments of February 23, 1998 ("February 23 Order"), the Mass Media Bureau extended the deadline for filing comments to May 4, 1998 and for filing reply comments to June 2, 1998. On May 13, 1998, The Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ, the Benton Foundation, the Center for Media Education, the Civil Rights Forum, and the Media Access Project ("Petitioners") submitted a Request for Extension of Time to file reply comments. The Commission hereby grants petitioners request and extends the reply comment deadline to August 3, 1998.

**DATES:** Reply Comments are due on or before August 3, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M St., NW, room 222, Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerry Duvall, Chief Economist, Mass Media Bureau (202) 418-2600, Susanna Zwerling, Policy and Rules Division,

Mass Media Bureau (202) 418-2140, or Jonathan Levy, Office of Plans and Policy (202) 418-2030.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Mass Media Bureau's Order Granting Extension of Time for Filing Reply Comments, DA 98-962 adopted May 20, 1998 and released May 20, 1998. The full text of this Mass Media Bureau Order is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 239), 1919 M Street NW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this Order may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services (202) 857-3800 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

**Synopsis of Order**

On December 18, 1997, the Commission adopted a *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* 63 FR 460, January 6, 1998, in this proceeding, regarding the assessment of fees for the use of digital television bitstream for the provision of ancillary or supplementary services. Comments in this proceeding were initially due March 3, 1998, and reply comments were due April 2, 1998. By Order Granting Extension of Time for Filing Comments of February 23, 1998 ("February 23 Order"), the Mass Media Bureau extended the deadline for filing comments to May 4, 1998 and for filing reply comments to June 2, 1998. On May 13, 1998, The Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ, the Benton Foundation, the Center for Media Education, the Civil Rights Forum, and the Media Access Project ("Petitioners") submitted a Request for Extension of Time to file reply comments. Petitioners contend that additional time is necessary to examine, analyze and respond to the economic studies filed as comments in this proceeding. Petitioners request that the Commission extend the reply comment deadline to August 3, 1998.

The Commission's Rules state that it is our policy that extensions of time for filing comments in rulemaking proceedings shall not be routinely granted. 47 CFR 1.46. However, as we stated in the February 23 Order, the complexity of the instant proceeding and the potential benefits of the commenters' economic studies warranted the original extension of the comment period. The economic studies submitted by commenters are consistent with the Commission's request in paragraph 27 of the NPRM, that commenters "make specific recommendations as to the level of the fee and type of fee assessment program