

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed amendments, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to this action would be to deny the request for the amendment. Such action would not reduce the environmental impacts of plant operations.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of the BSEP 1 & 2 Electric Generating Plants.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 22, 1998, the staff consulted with the North Carolina State official, Mr. M. Fry, of the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Radiation Protection. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's letter dated November 1, 1996, as supplemented on October 13, 1997, February 26, 1998, March 13, 1998, April 24, 1998, and May 22, 1998, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington, William Madison Randall Library, 601 College Road, Wilmington, North Carolina 28403-3297.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 22nd day of May 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gordon E. Edison,

*Acting Director, Project Directorate II-1,
Division of Reactor Projects—VII, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 98-14098 Filed 5-26-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT****Proposed Collection; Comment
Request for 1998 Presidential
Management Intern Program
Application**

AGENCY: Office of Personnel
Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that OPM intends to submit a request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for renewal of authority to publish the 1998 Presidential Management Intern Program Application. The information contained in the PMI application is used by OPM's Employment Service to obtain nominations, and to screen and establish a nationwide competitive selection process. Applications are mailed to educational institutions at the beginning of each academic year. Students are nominated by their deans and chairpersons to compete in the PMI Program. The application is completed by the student (nominee) and submitted to the school official for review and nomination. After the initial review process, nominees are invited to participate in a structured assessment center process. Selection as a PMI Finalist is based on a review of the nominee's application, and participation in a structured assessment center process.

It is anticipated that 2000 applications will be received and processed in 1998. Number of hours required for completing PMI application forms by graduate programs deans or chairpersons is 1 hour per application=2000. Number of hours required per graduate student for completing application form is 1 hour=2000.

Comments are particularly invited on:

- Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of Personnel Management, and whether it will have practical utility;
- Whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and
- Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

For copies of the clearance package, call James M. Farron, Reports and Forms Manager, on (202) 418-3208, or by e-mail to jmfarron@opm.gov.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before July 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to: Kathleen A. Keeney, Presidential Management Intern Program, William J. Green Jr., Federal Building, Room 3400, 600 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen A. Keeney (215) 597-1920.

Janice R. Lachance,

*Director, U.S. Office of Personnel
Management.*

[FR Doc. 98-13919 Filed 5-26-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-M

**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT****Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory
Committee; Open Committee Meeting**

According to the provisions of section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee will be held on Thursday, June 11, 1998.

The meeting will start at 10:00 a.m. and will be held in Room 5A06A, Office of Personnel Management Building, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is composed of a Chair, five representatives from labor unions holding exclusive bargaining rights for Federal blue-collar employees, and five representatives from Federal agencies. Entitlement to membership on the Committee is provided for in 5 U.S.C. 5347.

The Committee's primary responsibility is to review the Prevailing Rate System and other matters pertinent to establishing prevailing rates under subchapter IV, chapter 53, 5 U.S.C., as amended, and from time to time advise the Office of Personnel Management.

This scheduled meeting will start in open session with both labor and management representatives attending. During the meeting either the labor members or the management members may caucus separately with the Chair to devise strategy and formulate positions. Premature disclosure of the matters discussed in these caucuses would unacceptably impair the ability of the Committee to reach a consensus on the matters being considered and would disrupt substantially the disposition of its business. Therefore, these caucuses will be closed to the public because of