

certificate holder under part 135 without having received ground and flight training in accordance with that certificate holder's training program approved under subpart H of part 135. That exemption also permits simulator instructors employed by Bombardier and listed in a certificate holder's approved training program to serve in advanced simulators without being employed by the certificate holder for 1 year, provided the instructors receive applicable training in accordance with the provisions of this exemption.

Grant, April 30, 1998, Exemption No. 6446A.

Docket No.: 29176.

Petitioner: Col. Marcus F. Cooper, Jr.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.383(c).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit the petitioner to act as a pilot in operations conducted under part 121 after reaching his 60th birthday.

Denial, April 30, 1998, Exemption No. 6759.

Docket No.: 28499.

Petitioner: Sky Helicopters, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Sky Helicopters to operate certain aircraft under the provisions of part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft

Grant, April 30, 1998, Exemption No. 6430A.

Docket No.: 26017.

Petitioner: ERA Aviation, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.3(a) and 135.443(b)(3).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit ERA to allow appropriately trained and certificated pilots employer by ERA to install and remove an approved emergency rescue hoist on its Aerospatiale AS332 Super Puma helicopters.

Disposition, Date, Exemption No. 6760.

Docket No.:

Petitioner:

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR.

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit.

Disposition, Date, Exemption No.

[FR Doc. 98-13267 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 98-01-C-00-MHK To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Manhattan Regional Airport, Manhattan, KS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Manhattan Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 18, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Central Region, Airports Division, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Ken Black, Airport Manager, Manhattan Regional Airport, at the following address: City of Manhattan, Kansas, Manhattan Regional Airport, 5500 Fort Riley Blvd., Suite 120, Manhattan Kansas 66502-9721.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the City of Manhattan, Manhattan Regional Airport, under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lorna Sandridge, PFC Program Manager, FAA, Central Region, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106, (816) 426-4730. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invite public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at the Manhattan Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On May 1, 1998, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the City of Manhattan, Kansas, was

substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than July 31, 1998.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00.
Proposed charge effective date: June, 1998.

Proposed charge expiration date: January, 2004.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$401,978.

Brief description of proposed project(s): Construction of Access Road (Phase 1); Installation of Part 139 Signage; Construct Terminal Building; Terminal Building Site Development; Construct Service Road; Update the Airport Master Plan; Rehabilitate Apron.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Manhattan Regional Airport. Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on May 1, 1998.

George A. Hendon,

Manager, Airports Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 98-13266 Filed 5-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Outagamie County Airport, Appleton, WI

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Outagamie County Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 18, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Minneapolis Airports District