

Desire to avoid disclosure to public of violations
Other reason

Please explain:

Please explain why or why not.

Don't know

9. If you circled the "Uncertainty of enforcement response" reason in the previous question, please check the sub-reason(s) and circle the most important sub-reason:

- Process for calculating economic benefit component of penalty is not precise enough
Definition of "repeat violations" is unclear
Unclear whether entity would meet 10-day disclosure condition
Uncertain whether the audit would meet the standard for environmental audits
Uncertain whether compliance management system would meet due diligence standard
Other reason

14. What compliance or environmental improvements, if any, were made possible by the incentives offered under the Audit Policy?

15. What, if anything, should EPA do to increase the regulated community's awareness of the Audit Policy?

16. How can EPA promote the regulated community's use of the Audit Policy?

17. Would you use the Audit Policy again?

- Yes, if applicable
No
Don't know

18. Would you recommend the Policy to clients/counterparts?

- Yes
No
Don't know

19. Would you like to see any changes made to the terms of the Audit Policy?

- Yes
No
Don't know

Please provide any suggested changes here.

10. What relief did you receive under the Audit Policy?

- All penalties eliminated
All gravity-based penalties eliminated with economic benefit penalty assessment
75% of gravity-based penalties eliminated with no economic benefit penalty assessment
75% of gravity-based penalties eliminated with economic benefit penalty assessment
Penalties reduced under another authority because the disclosure did not meet the Audit Policy criteria
Penalties not reduced because the disclosure did not meet the criteria of any authority

11. How do you view EPA's response to your company's correction of the disclosed violation?

- It was reasonable
It was too stringent

Please explain above or other response:

Don't know

12. How do you view EPA's response to your company's efforts to prevent recurrence of the disclosed violation?

- It was reasonable
It was too stringent

Please explain above or other response:

Don't know

13. Were you satisfied with the outcome of your company's self-disclosure?

- Yes
No
Somewhat
Don't know

21. Are you aware of EPA's "Final Policy on Compliance Incentives for Small Businesses," 61 FR 27984, June 3, 1996?

- Yes
No

The Small Business Policy is intended to promote environmental compliance among businesses with 100 or fewer employees through incentives to participate in compliance assistance programs or conduct environmental audits and to subsequently correct any violations discovered.

22. Would you consider using the Small Business Policy?

- Yes
No
Not applicable because have >100 employees
Don't know

Thank you for your participation.

[FR Doc. 98-12428 Filed 5-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, May 19, 1998 at 2:00 p.m. (Eastern Time).

PLACE: Conference Room on the Ninth Floor of the EEOC Office Building, 1801 "L" Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20507.

STATUS: Part of the meeting will be open to the public and part of the meeting will be closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Open Session

- 1. Announcement of Notation Votes, and
2. Mid-year Operational Reports by the Office of General Counsel and Office of Field Programs.

Closed Session

Litigation Authorization: General Counsel Recommendations

Note: Any matter not discussed or concluded may be carried over to a later meeting. (In addition to publishing notices on EEOC Commission meetings in the Federal Register, the Commission also provides a recorded announcement a full week in advance on future Commission sessions.) Please telephone (202) 663-7100 (voice) and (202) 663-4074 (TTD) at any time for information on these meetings. Contact Person for More Information: Frances M. Hart, Executive Officer on (202) 663-4070.

Dated: May 6, 1998.

This Notice Issued May 6, 1998.

Frances M. Hart,

Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 98-12548 Filed 5-7-98; 11:19 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-06-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

May 1, 1998.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing