turbo shaft engine. Should Turbomeca S.A., of Bordes, France, apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same or novel or unusual design features, the special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101(a)(1).

**Conclusion**

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model of engines. It is not a rule of general applicability and it affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the engine.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 33**

- Air Transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The authority citations for these special conditions is as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421, 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g).

**The Proposed Special Conditions**

Accordingly, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposes the following special conditions as part of the type certification basis for the Turbomeca S.A., Model Arriel 251 turboshaft engine:

Section 33.4, Instructions for Continued Airworthiness

(a) In addition to the requirements of § 33.4, the procedures must:

(1) Ensure that the engine deterioration in service will not exceed the level shown in certification using the rated 30-minute rating.

(2) Be included in the airworthiness limitations section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness.

Section 33.7, Engine Ratings and Operating Limitations

(a) In addition to the ratings provided in § 33.7, a “Rated 30-minute power” rating is available, which shall be defined as the approved brake horsepower developed under static conditions at specified altitudes and temperatures within the operating limitations established under part 33 of this chapter, and limited in use to periods of not over 30 minutes each.

Section 33.87, Endurance Test

(a) Unless already accomplished under § 33.87(d), in addition to the requirements of § 33.87, the following test must be conducted:

Rated 30-minute power. Thirty minutes at rated 30-minute power during the twenty-five 6-hour endurance test cycles.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 901

[SPATS No. AL–065–FOR]

**Alabama Regulatory Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** OSM is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Alabama regulatory program (hereinafter the “Alabama program”) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of revisions to and additions of statutes pertaining to the small operator assistance program (SOAP), the repair of homes and other structures materially damaged by underground coal mining, and the replacement of affected water supplies. The amendment is intended to revise the Alabama program to be consistent with SMCRA.

This document sets forth the times and locations that the Alabama program and proposed amendment to that program are available for public inspection, the comment period during which interested persons may submit written comments on the proposed amendment, and the procedures that will be followed regarding the public hearing, if one is requested.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., c.d.t., May 29, 1998. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on May 26, 1998. Requests to speak at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., c.d.t. on May 14, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to Arthur Abbs, Director, Birmingham Field Office, at the address listed below.

Copies of the Alabama program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM’s Birmingham Field Office.

Arthur Abbs, Director, Birmingham Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 135 Gemini Circle, Suite 215, Homewood, Alabama 35209, Telephone: (205) 290–7282.

Alabama Surface Mining Commission, 1811 Second Avenue, P.O. Box 2390, Jasper, Alabama 35502–2390, Telephone (205) 221–4130.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Arthur Abbs, Director, Birmingham Field Office, Telephone: (205) 290–7282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

I. Background on the Alabama Program

On May 20, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Alabama program. Background information on the Alabama program, including the Secretary’s findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the May 20, 1982, Federal Register (47 FR 22062). Subsequent actions concerning the conditions of approval and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 901.15 and 901.16.

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated April 14, 1998 (Administrative Record No. AL–5079), Alabama submitted a proposed amendment to its program pursuant to SMCRA. Alabama submitted the proposed amendment in response to a May 20, 1996, letter (Administrative Record No. AL–5055) and a June 17, 1997, letter (Administrative Record No. AL–0568) that OSM sent to Alabama in accordance with 30 CFR 732.17(c). Alabama proposes to amend the Alabama Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act. The full text of the proposed program amendment submitted by Alabama is available for public inspection at the locations listed above under **ADDRESSES.** A brief discussion of the proposed amendment is presented below.

A. Section 9–16–82. Permits; Fee

1. Alabama proposes to revise paragraph (c) to read as follows:

(C)(1) If the regulatory authority finds that the probable total annual production at all locations of any surface coal mining operator will not exceed 300,000 tons, the cost of the
following activities, which shall be performed by a qualified public or private laboratory or such other public or private qualified entity designated by the regulatory authority, shall be assumed by the regulatory authority upon the written request of the operator in connection with a permit application, provided that funds are made available to the regulatory authority for such purposes by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Interior.

(A) The determination of probable hydrologic consequences required by subsection (b)(10), including the engineering analyses and designs necessary for the determination.

(B) The development of cross-section maps and plans required by subsection (b)(13).

(C) The geologic drilling and statement of results of test borings and core samplings required by subsection (b)(14).

(D) The collection of archaeological information required by subsection (b)(12) and any other archaeological and historical information required by the regulatory authority, and the preparation of plans necessitated thereby.

(E) Pre-blast surveys required by subsection 9–16–90(b)(15).

(F) The collection of site-specific resource information and production of protection and enhancement plans for fish and wildlife habitats and other environmental values required by the regulatory authority under this Act.

(2) The regulatory authority shall provide or assume the cost of training coal operators that meet the qualifications stated in paragraph (1) concerning the preparation of permit applications and compliance with the regulatory program, and shall ensure that qualified coal operators are aware of the assistance available under this subsection; provided that funds for such purposes are made available to the regulatory authority by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Interior.

2. Alabama proposes to add new paragraph (h) to read as follows:

(h) A coal operator that has received assistance pursuant to subsection (c)(1) or (2) shall reimburse the regulatory authority for the cost of the services rendered if the program administrator finds that the operator's actual and attributed annual production of coal for all locations exceeds 300,000 tons during the 12 months immediately following the date on which the operator is issued the surface coal mining and reclamation permit.

B. Section 9–16–91. Underground Coal Mining: Effects on Surface

Alabama proposes to add new paragraph (e) to read as follows:

(e) Underground coal mining operations conducted after the date enactment of this section shall comply with each of the following requirements:

(1) Promptly repair, or compensate for, material damage resulting from subsidence caused to any occupied residential dwelling and structures related thereto, or non-commercial building due to underground coal mining operations. Repair of damage shall include rehabilitation, restoration, or replacement of the damaged occupied residential dwelling and structures related thereto, or non-commercial building. Compensation shall be provided to the owner of the damaged occupied residential dwelling and structures related thereto or non-commercial building and shall be in the full amount of the diminution in value resulting from the subsidence. Compensation may be accomplished by the purchase, prior to mining, of a noncancellable premium prepaid insurance policy.

(2) Promptly replace any drinking, domestic, or residential water supply from a well or spring in existence prior to the application for a surface coal mining and reclamation permit, which has been affected by contamination, diminution, or interruption resulting from underground coal mining operations. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit or interrupt underground coal mining operations.

III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Alabama program.

Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under DATES or at locations other than the Birmingham Field Office will not be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by 4:00 p.m., c.d.t. on May 14, 1998. The location and time of the hearing will be arranged with those persons requesting the hearing. Any disabled individual who has not been provided with a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. If no one requests an opportunity to speak at the public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions. The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to speak have been heard.

Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to speak, and who wish to do so, will be heard following those who have been scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. A written summary of each meeting will be made part of the Administrative Record.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review). Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of
Paperwork Reduction Act
This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act
The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates
OSM has determined and certifies pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 et seq.) that this rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment
By letter dated February 11, 1993 (Administrative Record No. OH–1831), Ohio submitted proposed Program Amendment Number 61 concerning augmentative practices. OSM announced receipt of this amendment in the April 1, 1993, Federal Register (58 FR 17173) and, in the same notice, opened the public comment period and provided opportunity for a public hearing on the adequacy of the proposed amendment. The public comment period closed on May 3, 1993. Since no one requested an opportunity to provide testimony at a public hearing, the scheduled hearing was canceled.

By letter dated June 11, 1993 (Administrative Record No. OH–1888), Ohio submitted additional revisions to this proposed amendment. OSM announced receipt of the revised amendment in the July 6, 1993, Federal Register (58 FR 36177) and, in the same notice, reopened the public comment period and again provided an opportunity for a public hearing. The public comment period closed on July 21, 1993. On August 16, 1993 (58 FR 43261), OSM approved most of the proposed amendment, but deferred decision on Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 1501:13–9–15(F) (5), (6), and (7) concerning nonaugmentative practices.

OSM reopened a public comment period on September 15, 1993 (58 FR 48333) for the provisions OAC 1501:13–9–15(F) (6) and (7) as originally submitted on February 11, 1993, and revised on June 11, 1993, with regard to removal of sedimentation ponds and associated areas. The comment period closed on October 15, 1993. This notice also included similar proposed revisions to the Kentucky and Illinois regulations as well as a discussion of OSM's proposed policy concerning restart of the revegetation responsibility

For further information contact:
George Rieger, Field Branch Chief, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center, Telephone: (412) 937–2153.

Supplementary Information:

I. Background on the Ohio Program
On August 16, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Ohio program. Background information on the Ohio program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the August 10, 1982, Federal Register (47 FR 34688).

Subsequent actions concerning conditions of approval and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 935.11, 935.15, and 935.16.

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated February 11, 1993 (Administrative Record No. OH–1831), Ohio submitted proposed Program Amendment Number 61 concerning augmentative practices. OSM announced receipt of this amendment in the April 1, 1993, Federal Register (58 FR 17173) and, in the same notice, opened the public comment period and provided opportunity for a public hearing on the adequacy of the proposed amendment. The public comment period closed on May 3, 1993. Since no one requested an opportunity to provide testimony at a public hearing, the scheduled hearing was canceled.

By letter dated June 11, 1993 (Administrative Record No. OH–1888), Ohio submitted additional revisions to this proposed amendment. OSM announced receipt of the revised amendment in the July 6, 1993, Federal Register (58 FR 36177) and, in the same notice, reopened the public comment period and again provided an opportunity for a public hearing. The public comment period closed on July 21, 1993. On August 16, 1993 (58 FR 43261), OSM approved most of the proposed amendment, but deferred decision on Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 1501:13–9–15(F) (5), (6), and (7) concerning nonaugmentative practices.

OSM reopened a public comment period on September 15, 1993 (58 FR 48333) for the provisions OAC 1501:13–9–15(F) (6) and (7) as originally submitted on February 11, 1993, and revised on June 11, 1993, with regard to removal of sedimentation ponds and associated areas. The comment period closed on October 15, 1993. This notice also included similar proposed revisions to the Kentucky and Illinois regulations as well as a discussion of OSM's proposed policy concerning restart of the revegetation responsibility