Unfunded Mandates
This rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 935
Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Undermining mining.


Michael K. Robinson,
Acting Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office, Telephone: (918) 581-6430.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Background on the Texas Program
II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment
III. Public Comment Procedures
IV. Procedural Determinations

I. Background on the Texas Program
On February 16, 1980, The Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Texas program. General background information on the Texas program, including the Secretary’s findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the February 27, 1980, Federal Register (45 FR 12998). Subsequent actions concerning the Texas program can be found at 30 CFR 943.10, 943.15, and 943.16.

II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment
By letter dated December 1, 1997 (Administrative Record No. TX–644), Texas submitted a proposed amendment to its program pursuant to SMCRA. Texas submitted the proposed amendment in response to a June 17, 1997, letter (Administrative Record No. 640) that OSM sent to Texas in accordance with 30 CFR 732.17(h). OSM announced receipt of the proposed amendment in the December 29, 1997, Federal Register (62 FR 67598) and invited public comment on its adequacy. The public comment period ended January 28, 1998. During the review of the amendment, OSM identified concerns related to release of performance bond, backfilling and grading, and prime farmland. The amendment is intended to revise the Texas program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations.

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., c.d.t., May 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed or hand delivered to Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office at the address listed below.

Copies of the Texas program, the proposed amendment, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM’s Tulsa Field Office.

Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 5100 East Skelly Drive, Suite 470, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74135–6547, Telephone: (918) 581–6430.

Surface Mining and Reclamation Division, Railroad Commission of Texas, 1701 North Congress Avenue, P.O. Box 12967, Austin, Texas 78711–2967, Telephone: (512) 463–6900.
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IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

This proposed rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities.

Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

OSM has determined and certifies pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 et seq.) that this rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 943

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.


Brent Wahlquist,
Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 98–11282 Filed 4–28–98; 8:45 am]
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38 CFR Part 21

RIN 2900–AH76

Claims and Effective Dates for the Award of Educational Assistance

AGENCIES: Department of Defense, Department of Transportation (Coast Guard), and Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the educational assistance and educational benefit regulations of the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). It proposes a standard for determining what constitutes a formal claim, an informal claim, and an abandoned claim that can be applied uniformly to the educational assistance programs VA administers. In addition, it proposes less restrictive effective dates for awards of educational assistance; proposes uniform time limits for acting to complete claims; and proposes to state VA’s responsibilities when a claim is filed. It appears that this rule will result in a more uniform adjudication of claims for educational assistance under each of the education programs VA administers.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 29, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Mail or hand deliver written comments to: Director, Office of Regulations Management (02D), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Room 1154, Washington, DC 20420. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to “RIN 2900–AH76.” All written comments received will be available for public inspection at the above address in the Office of Regulations Management, Room 1158, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays).

Comments on the collection of information contained in this proposal should be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Washington, DC 20503, with copies mailed or hand delivered to the Director, Office of Regulations Management (02D), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Room 1154, Washington, DC 20420. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to “RIN 2900–AH76.” All written comments to VA will be available for public inspection at the above address in the Office of Regulations Management, Room 1158, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William G. Susling, Jr., Education Adviser, Education Service (225C), Veterans Benefits Administration, (202) 273–7187.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulations concerning VA-administered educational assistance and educational benefits are contained in 38 CFR Part 21. Rules governing time limits for filing claims or completing claims are contained in subparts B, C, G, H, K, and L. Each rule is applicable to one of the educational programs VA administers. Although there is no statutory reason why the rules could not be identical, they are not. This proposed rule would put one set of regulations concerning time limits in subpart B and apply them to all the educational programs VA administers. This would result in the following changes.

Regulations governing the Post-Vietnam Era Veterans’ Educational Assistance Program (VEAP) do not permit extension of time limits to act to perfect a claim or to challenge an