

provides for the implementation and enforcement of those limits.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no relevant adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated and the direct final rule will become effective. If the EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by May 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 9, 1998.

Dennis Grams, P.E.,

Regional Administrator, Region VII.

[FR Doc. 98-10856 Filed 4-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[IA 051-1051b; FRL-6002-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Iowa; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the Iowa state 111(d) plan for controlling landfill gas emissions from existing municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills. The plan was submitted to fulfill the requirements of the Clean Air Act. The state plan establishes emission

limits for existing MSW landfills, and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those limits.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated and the direct final rule will become effective. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by May 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 9, 1998.

Dennis Grams, P.E.,

Regional Administrator, Region VII.

[FR Doc. 98-10854 Filed 4-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 88

[FRL-5994-6]

RIN 2060-AH56

Clean Fuel Fleet Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; delay of implementation date.

SUMMARY: The provisions of subpart C of Title II of the Clean Air Act require states with certain ozone and carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment areas to revise their State Implementation Plans (SIP) to incorporate a Clean Fuel Fleet Program. Under this program, specified

percentages of new vehicles acquired by covered fleet operators in certain ozone and CO nonattainment areas must meet EPA's clean-fuel vehicle (CFV) emissions standards. In this action, EPA proposes to delay by one model year, the requirement that a covered area's State Implementation Plan implement a Clean Fuel Fleet Program (CFFP) fleet operator purchase requirement. As a result, EPA would approve a CFFP SIP revision which provides that covered fleet operators must include a certain percentage of CFVs in their fleet vehicle purchases each year beginning with model year 1999. This proposal is intended to ensure successful implementation of the CFFP, and to ensure that an adequate supply of appropriate vehicles is available for fleet operators to purchase and use once the program is underway, so that compliance with the mandatory purchase requirements will be possible and economically feasible for covered fleet operators.

DATES: Written comments on this proposal must be received no later than May 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may submit written comments in response to this rule (in duplicate if possible) to Public Docket No. A-97-53. It is requested that a duplicate copy may be submitted to Sally Newstead at the address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section below. The docket is located at the Air Docket, Room M-1500 (6102), Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460. The docket may be inspected between 8:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. on weekdays, excluding holidays. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket material.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally Newstead, Office of Mobile Sources, National Vehicle and Fuel Emissions Laboratory, 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48105. Telephone (734) 668-4474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statutory Authority

The statutory authority for this action is provided by sections 246 and 301 of the Clean Air Act.

Background

In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is adopting this provision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this action is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no