compliance with the Jacob Wetterling Crimes Against Children and Sexually Violent Offender Registration Act, as amended, by the prescribed statutory deadlines will result in a 10 percent reduction in the amount of monies awarded to the non-complying state.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: The time burden of the 56 respondents to conduct the legal research and complete the form is 2 hours per form. This will be a one time collection.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total hour burden to complete all certifications is 112 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 10, 1998.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98–10046 Filed 4–15–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Bureau of Justice Statistics; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Reinstatement, with change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

National Survey of Indigent Defense Systems

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until June 15, 1998. Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or need additional information, please contact Dr. Carol J. DeFrances, Statistician, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 7th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20531, or via facsimile (202) 307–5846.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of information collection: Reinstatment, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) The title of the form/collection: National Study of Indigent Defense Systems.

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Previous OMB number was 1121–0095. The agency form number is NSID–2. Bureau of Justice Statistics, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State and local providers of indigent defense services including selected county officials to identify indigent defense programs.

Other: None.

This information collection will identify the number and characteristics of public defense organizations and agencies and measure the way in which States provide legal services for indigent criminal defendants, their caseloads, policies and practices. Information also will be gathered on type of offenses represented, expenditures, funding sources and other related administrative issues. The information collected will provide a comprehensive portrait of state and local efforts to meet the needs of indigent criminal defendants.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: An estimated 1,500 respondents for the data collection period of July 1998 to July 1999. Completion of data form is estimated at 1.5 hours.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 2250.00 total burden hours for the data collection.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 10, 1998.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98–10059 Filed 4–15–98; 8:45am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

Agency Information Collection Activities: New Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review; New information collection; Data collection instrument survey of environmental programs for youth at risk.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days from the date listed at the top of this page in the **Federal Register**.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who