

2. Section 117.613 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 117.613 North River.**

The draw of the Plymouth County (Bridge Street) Bridge, mile 4.0, at Norwell, shall open on signal from May 1 through October 31 if at least four hours notice is given. From November 1 through April 30, the draw shall open on signal if at least 24 hours notice is given.

Dated: March 16, 1998.

**James D. Garrison,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting  
Commander, First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 98-9927 Filed 4-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Coast Guard**

**33 CFR Part 117**

[CGD01-97-128]

RIN 2115-AE47

**Drawbridge Operation Regulations:  
Sheepscot River, ME**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is removing the operating rules for the Maine Highway Bridge over the Sheepscot River, mile 14.0, between Wiscasset and North Edgcombe, Maine. The Maine Highway Bridge was replaced by a fixed span bridge in 1983, and the regulations are no longer applicable. Notice and public procedure have been omitted from this action because the bridge the regulations formerly governed no longer exists.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on April 15, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at the First Coast Guard District Office, 408 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, 7 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (617) 223-8364.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John W. McDonald, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, (617) 223-8364.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Maine Highway Bridge was replaced by a new fixed span bridge in 1983, and the old bridge has been removed.

The Coast Guard has determined that good cause exists under the

Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) to forego notice and comment for this rulemaking because notice and comment are unnecessary. Notice and comment are unnecessary because the bridge the regulations governed no longer exists.

The Coast Guard, for the reason just stated, has also determined that good cause exists for this rule to be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

**Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. This conclusion is based on the fact that the bridge has been removed and the regulations for said bridge are no longer needed.

**Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard considered whether this final rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000. Therefore, for the reasons discussed in the Regulatory Evaluation section above, the Coast Guard certifies under section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

**Collection of Information**

This final rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

**Federalism**

The Coast Guard has analyzed this final rule in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to

warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

**Environment**

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that, under section 2.B.2.e.(34) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B, as amended by 59 FR 38655, July 29, 1994, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117**

Bridges.

**Regulations**

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

**PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE  
OPERATION REGULATIONS**

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. Section 117.533 is revised as follows:

**§ 117.533 Sheepscot River.**

The draw of the Maine Central Railroad Bridge, mile 15.0, between Wiscasset and North Edgcombe, Maine, need not be opened for the passage of vessels. The draw of the Maine Central Railroad Bridge shall be returned to operable condition within six months after notification by the District Commander to do so.

Dated: March 16, 1998.

**James D. Garrison,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting  
Commander, First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 98-9929 Filed 4-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 8**

[FRL-5994-2]

**Extension of Effective Date of  
Environmental Impact Assessment of  
Nongovernmental Activities in  
Antarctica**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct amendment to interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** On April 30, 1997, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a regulation on environmental impact assessment of nongovernmental activities in Antarctica under Public Law 104-227, the Antarctic Science, Tourism, and Conservation Act of 1996. The April 30, 1997, Interim Final Rule applies only to nongovernmental activities that may occur through the 1998-99 austral summer, to be replaced by a final rule. The EPA had planned to promulgate the final rule prior to October 2, 1998. However, representatives from the affected industry and environmental nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) have requested that EPA delay promulgation of the final rule for at least one year so that more experience with the Interim Final Rule can be considered in developing the final rule. After consultation with other Federal agencies which are involved with nongovernmental activities in Antarctica, EPA has determined that this request is reasonable and that additional time to develop the final rule will be beneficial. In order to delay promulgation of the final rule, EPA must amend the Interim Final Rule to extend its applicability through the 2000-2001 austral summer.

Accordingly, EPA is promulgating this amendment to the Interim Final Rule as a direct amendment to interim final rule without prior proposal, because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments.

**DATES:** Effective date: July 14, 1998 unless relevant adverse comments are received by June 15, 1998. If the effective date is delayed, timely notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments must be addressed to Mr. Joseph Montgomery or Ms. Katherine Biggs, Office of Federal Activities (2252A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Joseph Montgomery or Ms. Katherine Biggs, Office of Federal Activities (2252A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460; telephone: (202) 564-7157 or (202) 564-7144, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The EPA is also proposing an identical amendment and soliciting comment on it in the **PROPOSED RULES** section of today's **Federal Register**. The accompanying notice of proposed

rulemaking serves as the basis of a subsequent final rule if the time extension amendment in the direct amendment to interim final rule receives relevant adverse comment and the direct amendment to interim final rule does not take effect as described below. If relevant adverse comments are received on the direct amendment to interim final rule, then EPA will withdraw this direct amendment to interim final rule prior to its effective date, consider the comments received on it as comments on the identical amendment in the **PROPOSED RULES** section, and address these comments during this subsequent final rulemaking.

This direct amendment to interim final rule will become effective without further notice ninety (90) days from the date of today's **Federal Register** publication unless the EPA receives relevant adverse comment within sixty (60) days from the date of today's publication. For instructions on commenting to EPA, please see the **ADDRESSES** section and the **ADDRESSES** section of the corresponding proposed rule in the **PROPOSED RULES** section of today's **Federal Register**.

For additional information, see the proposed rule published in the **PROPOSED RULES** section of this **Federal Register**. For information on the direct amendment to interim final rulemaking process and associated proposed rulemaking, see the **SUMMARY** section of this document.

### I. Executive Order Clearance

Under Executive Order 12866, (58 FR 51,735 (October 4, 1993)) the EPA must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Order defines "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

- (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;
- (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
- (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or
- (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of Executive Order 12866, EPA determined that the Interim Final Rule (62 FR 83, 23544 (April 30, 1997)) was a "significant regulatory action." Although none of the first three criteria apply, the Interim Final Rule raised novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates under P.L. 104-227, the Antarctic Science, Tourism, and Conservation Act of 1996 and the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty of 1959. Accordingly, the Interim Final Rule was submitted to OMB for review. Changes were made in response to OMB recommendations. The EPA has determined, however, that this action to amend the effective date of the Interim Final Rule is not a "significant regulatory action" because the legal and policies issues raised are no longer novel and were considered previously by OMB and because the first three criteria still do not apply. Accordingly, this action was not submitted to OMB for review.

### II. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The EPA determined that the Interim Final Rule issued April 30, 1997, was not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), which generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for any proposed and final rule, unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. By its terms, the RFA applies only to rules for which the Agency is required to conduct notice-and-comment rulemaking under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) or any other statute. The Interim Final Rule was not subject to the RFA because EPA promulgated the rule invoking the "good cause" exemption provided in section 553(b) of the APA, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), which removed the rule from the APA notice and comment requirements.

Today's regulation, although it does no more than extend the effective date of the Interim Final Rule, is not exempt from APA notice and comment requirements, and is, therefore, subject to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The Agency has carefully assessed the impact of this regulation on small entities, and has determined that it is appropriate to certify that it will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This determination is based on several factors. First, the total number of entities subject to the rule is small, probably no more than 10. However, the overwhelming majority of the affected entities will be small. Nevertheless, the

impact of the rule will be low because assessments are already done pursuant to the current rule. Further, because the Interim Final Rule, as extended today, only requires assessment of environmental impacts, it will not cause any revenue reductions. The only economic effects of the rule on small businesses will be limited primarily to the cost of preparing an assessment. As explained further below in the discussion of the Paperwork Reduction Act, these costs should have been relatively minor even for the first year's submission, which all operators completed. Further, EPA anticipates few, if any, new operators will enter the field, and that for existing operators submissions in succeeding years will be able to re-use or modify substantial portions of the first year's documentation, further reducing costs.

In addition, EPA has ensured the impact to small entities is minimized by drafting the Interim Final Rule such that the requirements it imposes are no greater than necessary to ensure that the United States will be in compliance with its international obligations under the Protocol and the Treaty. Finally, EPA has included a number of provisions, e.g., incorporation of information and consolidation of documentation, in the Interim Final Rule which should minimize the cost of such an analysis.

### III. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and Executive Order 12875

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), P.L. 104-4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on state, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. The UMRA did not apply to the Interim Final Rule because it was necessary for the ratification and implementation of international treaty obligations. The Interim Final Rule was not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA. In any event, EPA determined that the Interim Final Rule did not contain a Federal mandate that may result in annual expenditures of \$100 million or more for state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or for the private sector. The EPA also determined that the Interim Final Rule contained no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments under section 203 of the UMRA. This action is merely an extension of the effective date of the Interim Final Rule and imposes no burdens that may result in annual expenditures of \$100 million or more. The rule, as extended, also is not

expected to impact small governments significantly or uniquely. Accordingly, the requirements of UMRA do not apply.

Executive Order 12875, Enhancing Intergovernmental Partnerships, likewise requires EPA to address certain effects on state, local, and tribal governments, but does not apply to the private sector. Since this regulation will affect only the private sector, and not any local, state, or tribal governments, the Executive Order does not apply.

### IV. Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements in the Interim Final Rule were submitted for approval to the OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* Under Section 1320.13 of this Act, EPA received emergency approval, and a six month extension of this approval, from OMB for the Interim Final Rule. The OMB's approval expires in August 1998. Information Collection Request (ICR) Supporting Statements were prepared by EPA for the emergency approval of the ICR for the Interim Final Rule (ICR No. 1808.01) and the extension of this approval, and copies may be obtained from Ms. Sandy Farmer, Regulatory Information Division (2136), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460; telephone: (202) 260-2740.

The emergency request for ICR approval along with the Interim Final Rule were necessary so that implementing regulations would be in place contemporaneously with the United States' ratification of the Protocol and in order to implement its obligations under the Protocol as soon as the Protocol entered into force. The Interim Final Rule provides nongovernmental operators with the specific environmental documentation requirements they must meet in order to comply with the Protocol.

Nongovernmental operators, including tour operators, conducting expeditions to Antarctica are required to submit environmental documentation to EPA that evaluates the potential environmental impact of their proposed activities. If EPA has no comments, or if the documentation is satisfactorily revised in response to EPA's comments, and the operator does not receive a notice from EPA that the environmental documentation does not meet the requirements of Article 8 and Annex I of the Protocol and the provisions of the interim final regulations, the operator will have no further obligations pursuant to the applicable requirements of the interim final regulations provided that any appropriate measures, which

may include monitoring, are put in place to assess and verify the impact of the activity.

The type of environmental document required depends upon the nature and intensity of the environmental impacts that could result from the activity under consideration. The Interim Final Rule provides for incorporation of material into an environmental document by referring to it in the document when the effect will be to reduce paperwork. Further, an operator may include more than one proposed expedition within one environmental document and one environmental document may also be used to address expeditions being carried out by more than one operator further reducing burden. In addition, EPA anticipates that operators will likely use the environmental documents submitted for their 1997-1998 expeditions, with appropriate revisions, for submittal in subsequent years under the Interim Final Rule.

This action is merely an extension of the effective date of the Interim Final Rule, and is being taken in part in response to Antarctica tour operators. The EPA is preparing the ICR Supporting Statement for the Interim Final Rule taking into account the experience of the Federal agencies and the nongovernmental operators, including tour operators, subject to the Interim Final Rule during the 1997-1998 austral season covered by OMB's emergency ICR approval. A **Federal Register** Notice will be published informing the public of the availability of the Supporting Statement for review and comment. Following the public comment period, EPA will address any relevant comments and then request OMB's approval of the ICR for the Interim Final Rule prior to the information collection schedule for the 1998-1999 austral season. For the limited time the Interim Final Rule will be in effect, the EPA anticipates that operators will, as they did for the 1997-1998 austral season, make one submittal per year for all of their expeditions for that year. No capital costs or operational and maintenance costs are anticipated to be incurred as a result of the ICR for the Interim Final Rule. The following estimates were provided in the Interim Final Rule promulgated on April 30, 1997 (62 FR 83, 23538 (April 30, 1997)).

*Frequency of Reporting:* Once per year.

*Affected Public:* Businesses, other nongovernmental entities including for profit entities, and not for profit institutions.

*Number of Respondents:* 8.  
*Estimated Average Time Per Respondent:* 120 Hours.

*Total Annual Burden Hours: 960.*

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to: review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

#### V. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Under the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act, 15 U.S.C. 272 note, EPA must use voluntary consensus standards to carry out policy objectives or activities unless it would be impractical to do so. In this case, such standards, applicable to this regulation, do not exist. Accordingly, the use of such standards is not required.

#### VI. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that, before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this direct amendment to interim final rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the direct amendment to interim final rule in the **Federal Register**. This direct final rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 8

Environmental protection, Antarctica, Enforcement, Environmental

documentation, Environmental impact assessment, Penalties, Prohibited acts.

Dated: April 2, 1998.

**Steven A. Herman,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.*

Therefore, for the reasons set out in the preamble, title 40 chapter 1 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 8—ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF NONGOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES IN ANTARCTICA

1. The authority citation for part 8 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 2401 *et seq.*, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 2403a.

2. Section 8.2 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

##### § 8.2 Applicability and effect.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) This part is effective on April 30, 1997. This part will expire upon the earlier of the end of the 2000–2001 austral summer season or upon issuance of a final regulation.

3. Section 8.8 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) to read as follows:

##### § 8.8 Comprehensive environmental evaluation.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) *Submission of Draft CEE to the EPA and Circulation to Other Parties.*

(1) For the 1998–1999, 1999–2000, and 2000–2001 austral seasons, any operator who plans a nongovernmental expedition which would require a CEE must submit a draft of the CEE by December 1, 1997, December 1, 1998, and December 1, 1999, respectively. Within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the draft CEE, EPA will: send it to the Department of State which will circulate it to all Parties to the Protocol and forward it to the Committee for Environmental Protection established by the Protocol, and publish notice of receipt of the CEE and request for comments on the CEE in the **Federal Register**, and will provide copies to any person upon request. The EPA will accept public comments on the CEE for a period of ninety (90) days following notice in the **Federal Register**. The EPA, in consultation with other interested federal agencies, will evaluate the CEE to determine if the CEE meets the requirements under Article 8 and Annex I to the Protocol and the provisions of this part and will transmit its comments to the operator within 120 days following publication in the **Federal**

**Register** of the notice of availability of the CEE.

(2) The operator shall send a final CEE to EPA at least seventy-five (75) days before commencement of the proposed activity in the Antarctic Treaty area. The CEE must include (or summarize) any comments on the draft CEE received from EPA, the public, and the Parties, including comments offered at the XXII Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting in 1998, the XXIII Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting in 1999, and the XXIV Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting in 2000 for CEEs submitted for the 1998–1999, 1999–2000, and 2000–2001 austral seasons, respectively. Following the final response from the operator, the EPA will inform the operator if EPA, with the concurrence of the National Science Foundation, makes the finding that the environmental documentation submitted does not meet the requirements of Article 8 and Annex I of the Protocol and the provisions of this part. This notification will occur within fifteen (15) days of submittal of the final CEE by the operator if the final CEE is submitted by the operator within the time limits set out in this section. If no final CEE is submitted or the operator fails to meet these time limits, EPA will provide such notification sixty (60) days prior to departure of the expedition. If EPA does not provide such notice, the operator will be deemed to have met the requirements of this part provided that procedures, which include appropriate monitoring, are put in place to assess and verify the impact of the activity. The EPA will transmit the CEE, along with a notice of any decisions by the operator relating thereto, to the Department of State which shall circulate it to all Parties no later than sixty (60) days before commencement of the proposed activity in the Antarctic Treaty area. The EPA will also publish a notice of availability of the final CEE in the **Federal Register**.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 98–10006 Filed 4–14–98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 180

[OPP–300623; FRL–5773–9]

2070–AB78

#### Canola Oil; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.