

and (if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level) representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission countervailing duty and antidumping investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to these investigations upon the expiration of the period for filing entries of appearance.

**Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List**

Pursuant to § 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these investigations available to authorized applicants representing interested parties (as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9)) who are parties to the investigations under the APO issued in the investigations, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

**Conference**

The Commission's Director of Operations has scheduled a conference in connection with these investigations for 9:30 a.m. on April 21, 1998, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC. Parties wishing to participate in the conference should contact Olympia Hand (202-205-3182) not later than April 20, 1998, to arrange for their appearance. Parties in support of the imposition of countervailing or antidumping duties in these investigations and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

**Written Submissions**

As provided in §§ 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission's rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before April 24, 1998, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI,

they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 2, 1998.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-9267 Filed 4-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES**

**Meeting of the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure**

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

**DATES:** June 18-19, 1998.

**TIME:** 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Hotel Loretto, 211 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committees Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 273-1820.

Dated: April 2, 1998.

**John K. Rabiej,**

*Chief, Rules Committees Support Office.*

[FR Doc. 98-9262 Filed 4-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-25-M

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Antitrust Division**

**United States of America v. Chancellor Media Company, Inc. and SFX Broadcasting, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York in *United States v. Chancellor Media Company, Inc. and SFX Broadcasting, Inc.* Civil Action No. CV97-6497. The proposed Final Judgment is subject to approval by the Court after the expiration of the statutory 60-day public comment period and compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h).

Plaintiff filed a civil antitrust Complaint on November 6, 1997, alleging that Chancellor Media Corporation's (successor in interest to Chancellor Media Company, Inc.) ("Chancellor") proposed acquisition of four radio stations in Suffolk County, Long Island, New York owned by SFX Broadcasting, Inc. ("SFX") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18 and Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Chancellor and SFX are the number one and number two radio companies on Long Island and that they each own radio stations in Suffolk County, New York. The Complaint also alleges that the proposed acquisition would increase Chancellor's share of the radio advertising market in Suffolk County, New York from 33 percent to over 65 percent. It further alleges that prices for radio advertising for coverage of Suffolk County would likely increase and the quality of promotional services would likely decline—especially to regional and local customers.

The prayer for relief seeks: (a) Adjudication that Chancellor's proposed acquisition would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act and Section 1 of the Sherman Act; (b) permanent injunctive relief preventing the consummation of the proposed acquisition; (c) a finding that the Local Marketing Agreement (LMA) between Chancellor and SFX regarding SFX's Suffolk County radio stations violates Section 1 of the Sherman Act and an Order terminating the LMA; (d) an award to the United