

impacts, both radiological and nonradiological, associated with the licensing the MNRC for a period of 20 years, are not significant and have been adequately evaluated by the applicant.

Finding of No Significant Impact

The staff has reviewed the applicant's application for an operating license and environmental report in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 51. Based upon the EA, the staff concluded that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action and that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement.

For further details with respect to this action see the applicant's request for an operating license dated October 23, 1996, as supplemented on June 16, September 5, October 7 and 9, and December 17, 1997. These documents are available for public inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20003. Single copies of the EA may be obtained from Alexander Adams Jr., Senior Project Manager, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, M.S. O-11-B-20, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of March 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Marvin M. Mendonca,

Acting Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98-8916 Filed 4-3-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-133]

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact; Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit No. 3)

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of a license amendment to Facility License No. DPR-7, issued to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E or the licensee), for the Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit No. 3, a permanently shut down plant, located near Eureka, California.

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the Technical Specifications to incorporate the requirements of Appendix I to 10 CFR Part 50, into the Radiological Effluents Technical Specification (RETS) and to relocate the controls and limitations on RETS and radiological environmental monitoring (currently in the Technical Specifications) to the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual and the Process Control Program. The proposed action would also revise the Technical Specifications to implement Generic Letter 89-01 (GL 89-01) and to incorporate the requirements of the revised 10 CFR Part 20.

The Need for the Proposed Action

On July 29, 1996, the NRC published a **Federal Register** notice containing decommissioning regulation amendments that became effective August 28, 1996. Contained within these amendments were revisions to 10 CFR 50.36a and 10 CFR 50, Appendix I, making the Appendix I requirements applicable to decommissioning activities as well as operating nuclear power reactors.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

There is no alternative to this proposed action. PG&E, the Humboldt Bay licensee must comply with the recently revised NRC decommissioning regulations which require the technical specification changes contained in the proposed license amendment.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

Although the proposed limits on radiological effluents are much more stringent than the limits in the current technical specifications, the previous radiological effluents from Humboldt Bay decommissioning were so low that they would have been in compliance with the proposed new limits. Thus, the proposed action does not involve any measurable environmental impacts, since neither the facility configuration nor SAFSTOR decommissioning mode will change. The staff has also determined that the proposed action will not have any significant radiological impacts on air, water, land, or biota in the area or any other significant environmental impact.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Finding of No Significant Impact

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action based on the foregoing environmental assessment. The Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment for the reason given above.

For detailed information with respect to this proposed action, see the application for a license amendment dated December 9, 1996, as supplemented on June 12, 1997 and March 13, 1998. These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of March 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Marvin M. Mendonca,

Acting Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98-8915 Filed 4-3-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 100th meeting on April 21-22 (Room T-2B3) and April 23 (Room T-2B1), 1998, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The schedule for this meeting is as follows:

Tuesday, April 21, 1998—8:30 A.M. until 6 P.M.

Wednesday, April 22, 1998—8:30 A.M. until 6 P.M.

Thursday, April 23, 1998—8:30 A.M. until 4 P.M.

A. *Viability Assessment (VA) Guidance*—The NRC staff will discuss guidance being prepared for its review of the Department of Energy's (DOE's) Yucca Mountain Viability Assessment.

B. *NEI Comments on VA*—Representatives from the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) will comment on the DOE's viability assessment for the proposed high-level waste repository at Yucca Mountain.

C. *Meeting with the NRC Executive Director for Operations*—Mr. Callan will discuss a number of issues of mutual interest with the Committee.

D. *Total System Sensitivity Analysis for Yucca Mountain*—The NRC staff will present results from their Total System Sensitivity Analysis for Yucca Mountain. The staff will prioritize the relative contribution to risk from various sources and study the effects of these various contributors in combination.

E. *NRC's Waste Related Research Program*—NRC's nuclear-waste-related research and technical assistance will be reviewed so that the Committee can prepare a report to the Commission on nuclear-waste-related research.

F. *Nuclear Waste Related Rulemaking*—The Committee will hear a briefing on the transfer of the rulemaking process in nuclear waste related areas from NRC's Office of Research to the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

G. *Decommissioning Guidance*—The Committee will review proposed guidance for implementing the recent final rule on radiological criteria for license termination. Guidance to be reviewed will include documents on: surveys, dose modeling, restricted release criteria, and ALARA (as low as is reasonably achievable) criteria. Participation by the NRC staff and industry is anticipated.

H. *Meeting with NRC's Director, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards*—The Committee will meet with the Director to discuss recent developments within the division such as developments at the Yucca Mountain project, rules and guidance under development, available resources, and other items of mutual interest.

I. *Preparation of ACNW Reports*—The Committee will discuss planned reports, including: nuclear-waste-related research, regulatory guides dealing with decommissioning, comments on DOE's Viability Assessment, and other topics discussed during this and previous meetings as the need arises.

J. *Committee Activities/Future Agenda*—The Committee will consider topics proposed for future consideration by the full Committee and Working Groups. The Committee will discuss ACNW-related activities of individual members.

K. *Miscellaneous*—The Committee will discuss miscellaneous matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and organizational activities and complete discussion of matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACNW meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on

September 2, 1997 (62 FR 46382). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written statements may be presented by members of the public, electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Committee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch, Mr. Richard K. Major, as far in advance as practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made to schedule the necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras during this meeting will be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the ACNW Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for taking pictures may be obtained by contacting the Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch, prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACNW meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should notify Mr. Major as to their particular needs.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting Mr. Richard K. Major, Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch (telephone 301/415-7366), between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. EST.

ACNW meeting notices, meeting transcripts, and letter reports are available on the internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/ACRSACNW>.

Dated: March 31, 1998.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 98-8914 Filed 4-3-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration (Federal-Mogul Corporation, Common Stock, No Par Value); File No. 1-1511

March 31, 1998.

Federal-Mogul Corporation ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule

12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security ("Security") from listing and registration on the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange" or "PCX").

The reasons cited in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the following:

The Security also is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE").

The Company has represented that the volume of trading in the Security conducted on the PCX is minimal compared to the volume of trading in the Security conducted on the NYSE. According to the Company, the total number of shares of the Security traded on the PCX in 1997 (611,896) represents less than three days of trading volume in the Security on the NYSE. The Company also stated that large holders of the Security located on the west coast do not use the PCX to trade the Security. Finally, the Company represented that the extra work performed by the Company's investor relations and legal departments in relation to the Security's listing on the PCX is not justified by the benefits of such listing.

At its meeting held on February 4, 1998, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the Company's management to proceed with the voluntary delisting of the Security from the Exchange.

In its letter dated March 4, 1998, the Exchange informed the Company that it would not object to the withdrawal of the Security from listing and registration on the Exchange.

The Company has represented that the Security will continue to be listed for trading on the NYSE.

Any interested person may, on or before April 17, 1998, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Exchange and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-8926 Filed 4-3-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M