

**Proposed Regulations**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Part 100 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

**PART 100—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 100.35.

2. A new section 100.35T-07-008 is added to read as follows:

**§ 100.35T-07-008 Around Alone 1998-99 Sailing Race; Charleston, SC**

(a) *Definitions.* (1) *Regulated area.* The regulated area includes the waters off Charleston, SC, in an area bounded by four corner points located at 32-42.72N, 79-47.64W; 32-42.09N, 79-46.96W; 32-41.61N, 79-47.28W; and 32-41.78N, 79-48.27W. All coordinates reference Datum: NAD 83. These four points will be conspicuously marked with four markers.

(2) *Coast Guard Patrol Commander.* The Coast Guard Patrol Commander is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Group Charleston, SC.

(b) *Special local regulations.* (1) Entry into the regulated area by other than event participants is prohibited, unless otherwise authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(2) The Coast Guard Patrol Commander may delay, modify, or cancel the race as conditions or circumstances require. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander shall monitor the start of the race with the race committee, to allow for a window of opportunity for the race participants to depart the harbor with minimal interference with inbound or outbound commercial traffic.

(3) Spectator and other non-participating vessels may only follow the participants out of Charleston Harbor to the race starting area if they maintain a minimum distance of 500 yards behind the last participant, at the discretion of the Patrol Commander. Upon completion of the start of the race and when the last race participant has passed the outermost boundary of the regulated area, all vessels may resume normal operations.

(c) *Date.* This section becomes effective at 10 a.m. and terminates at 2 p.m. EDT on September 26, 1998.

Dated: March 16, 1998.

**Norman T. Saunders,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 98-8256 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[OH103-1b; FRL-5978-7]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Ohio**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** USEPA proposes to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Ohio on December 9, 1996, which provides for a Statewide sulfur dioxide exemption provision for sources burning natural gas and also changes the sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) limits for the Sun Oil Company in Lucas County. The Sun Oil site specific revision revises emission limits to remove a restriction on the simultaneous operation of three heaters (B010, B008, and B006) at a Sun Oil Company facility. The statewide revision provides that sources burning natural gas are exempt from operating hour and rate restrictions that would otherwise apply for purposes of sulfur dioxide control, and USEPA also approves a previous revision to rule OAC 3745-18-06, entitled general emission limit provisions. This includes paragraph (F), relating to stationary gas turbines, and paragraph (G), relating to stationary internal combustion engines.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's requests as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for approving the State's request is set forth in the direct final rule. The direct final rule will become effective without further notice unless the Agency receives relevant adverse written comment on this notice of proposed rulemaking. Should the Agency receive such comment, it will publish a final rule informing the public that the direct final rule did not take effect and such public comment received will be addressed in subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. If no adverse written comments are received, the direct final rule will take effect on the date stated in that document and no further activity

will be taken on this proposed rule. USEPA does not plan to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before April 29, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Region 5 at the address listed below.

Copies of the materials submitted by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency may be examined during normal business hours at the following location: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Phuong Nguyen at (312) 886-6701.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 23, 1998.

**Michelle D. Jordan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region V.*

[FR Doc. 98-7758 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 207-0068a; FRL-5987-4]

**Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concerns Rule 4401 from the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD). This rule controls volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from steam-enhanced crude oil production well vents. The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). EPA's final action on this proposed rule will incorporate this rule into the Federally-approved SIP. In addition, the