

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 97-NM-311-AD.

Applicability: Model 757-200 series airplanes; equipped with Rolls-Royce RB211-535E4/E4B engines, fitted with nose cowlings having serial numbers 9001 through 9124 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an

alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct cracking of the acoustic panels in the engine inlet, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the engine inlet, and consequent engine shutdown or surge; or in the event of a fan blade failure, separation of the inlet from the engine; accomplish the following:

(a) Within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, perform a detailed inspection to detect cracking of the acoustic panels in the engine inlet, in accordance with Rolls-Royce Service Bulletin RB.211-71-B480, Revision 1, dated August 15, 1997.

(1) If no cracking is detected, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 650 hours time-in-service.

(2) If any cracking is detected, accomplish the requirements of either paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii), as applicable.

(i) If cracking is within the acceptance standards provided in paragraph 2.A. of Appendix 1 of the service bulletin, repair within 350 hours time-in-service, in accordance with the service bulletin. Thereafter, repeat the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 650 hours time-in-service.

(ii) If cracking is outside the acceptance standards provided in paragraph 2.A. of Appendix 1 of the service bulletin, prior to further flight, replace the engine inlet with a new engine inlet that incorporates improved acoustic panels, in accordance with Rolls-Royce Service Bulletin RB.211-71-9909, Revision 1, dated May 26, 1995, and Rolls-Royce Service Bulletin RB.211-71-9958, Revision 1, dated March 18, 1994. No further action is required by this AD for that engine inlet.

(b) Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, replace both existing engine inlets with new inlets that incorporate improved acoustic panels, in accordance with Rolls-Royce Service Bulletin RB.211-71-9909, Revision 1, dated May 26, 1995, and Rolls-Royce Service Bulletin RB.211-71-9958, Revision 1, dated March 18, 1994. Accomplishment of such replacement constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199

of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 19, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-7880 Filed 3-25-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-288-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10 Series Airplanes and KC-10A (Military) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10 series airplanes and KC-10A (military) airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive inspections to detect cracking of the lower cap of the wing rear spar, and repair, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by reports of fatigue cracks found in the lower cap of the wing rear spar. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to detect and correct fatigue cracking of the lower cap of the wing rear spar, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 11, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-288-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from The Boeing Company, Douglas Products Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51

(2-60). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Atmur, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5224; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97-NM-288-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-288-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received reports of four instances of crack development in the lower cap of the wing rear spar. In all four instances, a single crack on the left or right wing had propagated from the

left leg into both the vertical and forward legs of the spar cap. All affected airplanes had accumulated over 32,000 flight hours and over 18,000 landings. The cause of the cracking has been attributed to fatigue. Such fatigue cracking, if not detected and corrected in a timely manner, could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Other Relevant Rulemaking

The subject area is designated as Principal Structural Element (PSE) No. 57.10.007/.008 in McDonnell Douglas Report No. L26-012, "DC-10 Supplemental Inspection Document (SID)," Volume I, Revision 5, dated October 1994; Volume II, Revision 5, dated October 1994; and Volume III-94, dated November 1994. Inspections of that PSE are required by AD 95-23-09, amendment 39-9429 (60 FR 61649, December 1, 1995). The inspections required for this PSE follow the fleet leader sampling criteria with a fatigue life threshold (Nth) greater than 34,000 landings, which corresponds to a probability of failure per flight of 10^{-9} ; i.e., failure is extremely improbable. All of the cracks have been detected on airplanes with fewer than 34,000 landings. Additionally, a PSE is defined as structure on which undetected failure could lead to loss of the structural integrity of the airplane. Therefore, the FAA has determined that an additional AD is warranted to require inspection of the lower cap of the wing rear spar on Model DC-10 series airplanes and KC-10A (military) airplanes after accumulation of 7,000 total landings. Such inspections would ensure that fatigue cracking is detected in a timely manner, well in advance of cracking reaching a critical length.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC10-57A137, dated July 31, 1997, which describes procedures for repetitive eddy current surface inspections to detect cracking in the lower cap of the wing rear spar.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the alert service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between the Alert Service Bulletin and This Proposed AD

Operators should note that, although the alert service bulletin specifies that the manufacturer may be contacted for disposition of repair conditions, this proposal would require the repair of those conditions to be accomplished in accordance with a method approved by the FAA.

Operators also should note that, although the alert service bulletin recommends a compliance time of 60 days for accomplishment of the initial inspection for airplanes that have accumulated more than 7,000 total landings, this proposed AD would require that the initial inspection be accomplished within 18 months after the effective date of the AD. In developing the proposed compliance time, the FAA determined that a compliance time of 18 months is appropriate in consideration of the safety implications, the average utilization rate of the affected fleet, and the practical aspects of an orderly inspection of the fleet during regular maintenance periods.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 283 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 201 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 8 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$96,480, or \$480 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action"

under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 97–NM–288–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–10 series airplanes and KC–10A (military) airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC10–57A137, dated July 31, 1997; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct fatigue cracking of the lower cap of the wing rear spar, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Conduct an eddy current surface inspection to detect cracking of the lower cap of the wing rear spar, in accordance with the

Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC10–57–A137, dated July 31, 1997; at the later of the times specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD. Thereafter, repeat this inspection at intervals not to exceed 1,500 landings.

(1) Prior to the accumulation of 7,000 total landings, or within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Or

(2) Within 1,500 landings after the accomplishment of the inspection of Principal Structural Elements 57.10.007 and 57.10.008, in accordance with AD 95–23–09, amendment 39–9429.

(b) If any crack is found during any inspection required by this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 19, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–7879 Filed 3–25–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97–CE–110–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace Model HP.137 Jetstream Mk.1, Jetstream Model 3101, Jetstream Model 3201, and Jetstream 200 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain British

Aerospace (BAe) Model HP.137 Jetstream Mk.1, Jetstream Model 3101, Jetstream Model 3201, and Jetstream 200 series airplanes. The proposed AD would require replacing the windshield wiper arm attachment bolts and windshield wiper arm on all of the affected airplanes, and measuring the material thickness of the upper and lower toggle attachment brackets on the nose landing gear of the affected airplanes, and replacing the toggle attachment bracket lugs if necessary. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent the windshield wiper arm from corroding, detaching from the airplane during flight, and penetrating the fuselage, which, if not corrected, could result in possible injury to the pilot and passengers; and to prevent collapse of the nose landing gear caused by design deficiency, which, if not corrected, could result in loss of control of the airplane during landing operations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–110–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft, Prestwick International Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW, Scotland; telephone: (01292) 479888; facsimile: (01292) 479703. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. S. M. Nagarajan, Aerospace Engineer, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426-6932; facsimile: (816) 426-2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All