may exist in California that are reflected in the unique emissions standards, engine calibrations, and fuel specifications of the State. While requirements of the federal urban bus program apply to several metropolitan areas in California, EPA understands the view of CARB that equipment certified under the urban bus program, to be used in California, must be provided with an executive order exempting it from the anti-tampering prohibitions of that State. Those interested in additional information should contact the Aftermarket Part Section of CARB, at (818) 575–6848.

Certification of the candidate DDC equipment would affect operators as follows. EPA has not yet certified equipment, for the applicable DDEC engines, to comply with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard and as being available for less that the applicable life cycle cost. Therefore, the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard has not been triggered for the applicable engines. If the candidate equipment is certified, then no new requirements would be placed on operators and no operator would be required to purchase this equipment as a result of certification.

If the DDC kit is certified, then it would be available to be used in full compliance with urban bus program requirements. Certification of CMX™ converter/muffler manufactured by the Engelhard Corporation (60 FR 28402; May 31, 1995) triggered the requirement for the applicable engines, when rebuilt or replaced, to reduce PM by at least 25 percent. Until such time that the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard is triggered, the certification of the CMX™ means that operators who elect to use compliance program 1 must use equipment certified to reduce PM emissions by at least 25 percent, when rebuilding or replacing the applicable engines. If certified, the DDC kit would meet, and exceed, this requirement. The DDC kit could also be used in full compliance when the program requirement to use equipment certified to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard is triggered.

If the Agency certifies the candidate equipment, then operators who choose to comply with Program 2 and install this equipment, would use the 0.10 g/bhp-hr certification level in their calculations for fleet level attained (FLA) as specified in the program regulations.

At a minimum, EPA expects to evaluate this notification of intent to certify, and other materials submitted as applicable, to determine whether there is adequate demonstration of compliance with: (1) The certification requirements of § 85.1406, including whether the testing accurately substantiates the claimed emission reduction or emission levels; and, (2) the requirements of § 85.1407 for a notification of intent to certify.

The Agency requests that those commenting also consider these regulatory requirements, plus provide comments on any experience or knowledge relevant to: (a) Problems with installing, maintaining, and/or using the candidate equipment on applicable engines; and, (b) whether the equipment is compatible with affected vehicles.

The date of this notice initiates a 45-day period during which the Agency will accept written comments relevant to whether or not the equipment described in the DDC notification of intent to certify should be certified pursuant to the Urban Bus Rebuild Requirements. Interested parties are encouraged to review the notification of intent to certify and provide comment during the 45-day period. Please send separate copies of your comments to each of the above two addresses.

The Agency will review this notification of intent to certify, along with comments received from interested parties, and attempt to resolve or clarify issues as necessary. During the review process, the Agency may add additional documents to the docket. These documents will also be available for public review and comment.

Dated: March 12, 1998.

Richard D. Wilson,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 98–7309 Filed 3–19–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–5489–9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 09, 1998 Through March 13, 1998 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

EIS No. 980070, FINAL EIS, NPS, ME, Saint Croix Island International Historic Site, General Management Plan, Implementation, Calais, Washington County, ME, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: David Clark (207) 288–5472.

EIS No. 980071, DRAFT EIS, IBR, UT, Narrows Dam and Reservoir Project, Construction of Supplemental Water Supply for Agricultural and Municipal Water Use, Gooseberry Creek, Sanpete and Carbon Counties, UT, Due: May 04, 1998, Contact: Kerry Schwartz (801) 379–1167.

EIS No. 980072, FINAL EIS, NRC, ADOPTION—NAT, Programmatic Spent Nuclear Fuel Management and Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Programs, Implementation, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: Dr. Edward Y. Shum (301) 415–8545. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's has adopted the US Department of Energy's FEIS #950163 filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on 04–21–95, NRC was not a Cooperating Agency on this project. Recirculation of the document is necessary under Section 1506.3(b) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations

EIS No. 980073, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FHW, PA, Marshalls Creek Traffic Study, Construction, New and Updated Information, Connector between PA–209, Business 209 and PA–402, COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, Middle Smithfield and Smithfield Townships, Monroe County, PA, Due: May 04, 1998, Contact: Ronald W. Carmichael (712) 221–3461.

EIS No. 980074, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Stillwater Mine Revised Waste Management Plan and Hertzler Tailings Impoundment, Construction and Operation, Plan-of-Operation, and COE Section 404 Permit, Custer National Forest, Stillwater County, MT, Due: May 19, 1998, Contact: Pat Pierson (406) 446–2103.

EIS No. 980075, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, COE, NY, NJ, Arthur Kill Channel—Howland Hook Marine Terminal, Deepening and Realignment, Limited Reevaluation Report (LRR) Port of New York and New Jersey, NY and NJ, Due: May 04, 1998, Contact: Mark H. Burlas (212) 264–4663.

EIS No. 980076, FINAL EIS, FHW, MO, MO–60, Transportation Improvements, Connecting the Van Buren to Poplar Bluff (Job No. J9P0455Z), COE Section 404 Permit, Butter and Carter Counties, Mo, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: Donald Neumann (573) 636–7104.

EIS No. 980077, DRAFT EIS, BOP, DC, District of Columbia, Department of Corrections (DCDC), Felony Inmate Population, Implementation, Contracting Private Correctional Facilities for Housing of Inmate Population, United States Capitol, City of Washington, D.C., Due: May

05, 1998, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514–6470.

EIS No. 980078, FINAL EIS, USN, FL, SC, VA, NC, Cecil Field Naval Air Station, Realignment of F/A–18 Aircraft and Operational Functions, to Other East Coast Installations; NAS Oceana, VA; MCAS Beaufort, SC and MCAS Cherry Point, NC, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit, FL, SC, NC and VA, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: J. Daniel Cecchini (703) 604–5469.

EIS No. 980079, DRAFT EIS, IBR, CA, Programmatic—CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Long-Term Comprehensive Plan to Restore Ecosystem Health and Improve Water Management, Implementation, San Francisco Bay—Sacramento/San Joaquin River Bay-Delta, CA, Due: June 01, 1998, Contact: Rick Brietenbach (916) 657–2666.

EIS No. 980080, DRAFT EIS, IBR, CA, NV, CA, NV, Truckee River Operating Agreement (TROA, Modify Operation and Selected Non-Federal Reservoirs, Implementation, Truckee River Basin, EL Dorado, Nevada, Placer and Sierra Counties, CA and Douglas, Lyon, Storey and Washoe Counties, NV, Due: June 19, 1998, Contact: David Overvold (702) 884–8367.

EIS No. 980081, DRAFT EIS, NOA, AK, Kachemak Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (KBNERR) Management Plan, Operations and Development, Southcentral, AK, Due: May 04, 1998, Contact: Stephanie Thornton (301) 713–3125.

EIS No. 980082, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, Poorman Project, Implementation, Harvesting and Road Construction, Helena National Forest, Lincoln Ranger District, Lewis and Clark County, MT, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: Thomas J. Andersen (406) 449–5201 ext. 277.

EIS No. 980083, FINAL EIS, MMS, AK, Beaufort Sea Planning Area Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sale 170 (1997) Lease Offering, Offshore Marine, Beaufort Sea Coastal Plain, North Slope Borough of Alaska, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: George Valiulis (703) 787–1662.

EIS No. 980084, FINAL EIS, FHW, RI, Newport Marine Facilities Project, To Develop the Marine Mode of the Intermodal Gateway Transportation Center, Selected siting in various locations within the City of Newport, Towns of Middletown and Portsmouth, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and US Coast Guard Permit, Aquidreck Island, RI, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: Daniel Berman (401) 528–4541.

EIS No. 980085, FINAL EIS, AFS, CA, Liberty Forest Health Improvement Project, Implementation, Tahoe National Forests, Sierraville Ranger District, Sierra and Nevada Counties, CA, Due: April 20, 1998, Contact: John Kennedy (530) 994–3401.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 980018, DRAFT EIS, AFS, AK, Crane and Rowan Mountain Timber Sales, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Stikine Area, Kuiu Island, AK, Due: March 30, 1998, Contact: Everett Kissenger (907) 772–3841.

Published FR 02–06–98—Review Period extended.

EIS No. 970500, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT EIS, AFS, MT, Asarco Rock Creek Copper and Silver Mining Construction and Operation Project, Plan of Operations Approval, Special Use Permit (s), Road Use Permit, Mineral Material Permit, Timber Sale Contract and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Kootenai National Forest, Sanders County, MT, Due: 04–10–98, Contact: Paul Kaiser, (406) 293–6211.

Published FR 01–09–98—Review Period extended.

Dated: March 17, 1998.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98–7355 Filed 3–19–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–5490–1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 02, 1998 Through March 06, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–COE–E30039–FL Rating EC2, Sunny Isles (North Miami) Proposed Modification to a segment of the Dade County Beach Erosion Control and Hurricane Protection Project, Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding unavoidable losses of biotic resources and how effectively they will be mitigated.

ERP No. D–COE–K30030–CA Rating EO2, Unocal Avila Beach Cleanup Project, Petroleum Hydrocarbon Contamination, Approval and Implementation, US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, San Luis Obispo County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections that the DEIS did not adequately address the environmental consequences of implementing the ''No-Action'' alternative in Area 7 despite data in the DEIS which indicates that Area 7 is extensively contaminated with hydrocarbons which may be adversely affecting shellfish and other aquatic species. EPA commented that it is unclear whether the preferred ''No-Action'' alternative for Area 7 is consistent with Federal and State environmental laws. EPA also indicated that there was insufficient discussion in the DEIS to determine the extent to which existing contamination in the intertidal zone Area 7 may be affecting the environment and human health and whether a ''No-Action'' decision in Area 7 would exacerbate those impacts.

ERP No. D–COE–K39046–AZ Rating EC2, Rio Salado Environmental Restoration of two Sites along the Salt River: (1) Phoenix Reach and (2) Tempe Reach, Feasibility Report, in the Cities of Phoenix and Tempe, Maricopa County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the project's recreational and interpretive aspects received a higher value than potential wildlife and aquatic-related functions. EPA expressed concerns about the potential relationship of this project with several sand and gravel mining operations in the area, in particular, whether mitigation implemented by the sand and gravel operators may be adversely affected by the Salado project.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–COE–K67020–CA, Syar Mining Operation and Reclamation Plan, Six Sites Selected along the Russian River, Construction, Mining-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Healdsburg, Sonoma County, CA.

Summary: EPA continued to have environmental objections with the Supplemental DEIS. EPA requested that the Record of Decision reflect the