

and providing the summaries to the members of NBSAC before the scheduled meeting on April 27, 1998. Because of reported delays in publication of that Notice in several recreational boating periodicals and the number of comments received just before and just after the close of the comment period, the Coast Guard is reopening the comment period to provide additional time for submission of public comment. All comments submitted in response to the original Notice are already in the docket. The Coast Guard will summarize all comments it receives during the comment period in response to this Notice and the original one, place a copy of the summary in the public docket, and provide copies to the members of NBSAC for them to consider at their meeting in October, 1998 (The Coast Guard will publish details of the exact time and place of the meeting in the **Federal Register** at a later date. The meeting will be open to the public.) It will itself consider all relevant comments in the formulation of any regulatory or nonregulatory measures that may follow from this notice.

#### Request for Comments

The Coast Guard encourages you to submit comments about the need for, and alternatives to, Federal requirements or incentives for boaters to wear lifejackets (personal flotation devices, or PFDs). In particular, it encourages you to answer the specific questions about these requirements or incentives for wearing lifejackets, which it developed in consultation with members of NBSAC at the meeting in April 1997. It also solicits comments from all segments of the boating community, State boating safety authorities, NBSAC, the National Association of State Boating Law Administrators (NASBLA), and other interested people, groups, and businesses on the economic and other impacts of Federal requirements or incentives for wearing PFDs.

Please include your name and address, identify this rulemaking [CGD 97-059] and the specific question or area of concern to which each comment applies, and give the reason(s) for each comment. Please submit two copies of all comments and attachments in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, to help us with copying and electronic filing. If you want us to acknowledge receipt of your comments, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope.

Dated: March 12, 1998.

**James D. Hull,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Assistant Commandant for Operations.*

[FR Doc. 98-7062 Filed 3-19-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA-169-0065b; FRL-5979-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action is an administrative change which revised various definitions in South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 102, Definition of Terms.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this action is to incorporate changes to the definitions for clarity and consistency with revised Federal and state definitions. EPA is proposing approval of this revision to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment of the National ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone under title I of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this administrative change as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by April 20, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew

Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule and EPA's evaluation report of the rule is available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revision is also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1189.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 102, Definition of Terms. This rule was submitted to EPA on March 26, 1996 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: February 13, 1998.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 98-7006 Filed 3-19-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 60

[AD-FRL-5976-4]

#### National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Petroleum Refineries

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to revise monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements and correct equations of the "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Petroleum Refineries", which was issued as a final rule on August 18, 1995. This rule is commonly known as